Exhibit K

| T | |
|--|---|
| Page 1 | Page 3 |
| 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI CENTRAL DIVISION 3 SHONDEL CHURCH, et al.,) 4) Plaintiffs,) 5) Case No. vs.) 17-04057-CV-C-NKL 6) STATE OF MISSOURI, et al.,) 7 Defendants.) 8 9 10 11 VIDEO DEPOSITION OF RUTH PETSCH 12 TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS 13 December 5, 2017 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | 1 EXHIBITS CONT. 2 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE 3 Exhibit 11 Application for Services and Promise to Pay 201 4 Exhibit 12 Instructions for Determining Indigence 203 6 (Original exhibits attached to original transcript.) 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 |
| INDEX WITNESS: PAGE RUTH PETSCH EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 7 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 145 EXAMINATION BY MS. SHIPMA 198 EXAMINATION BY MR. RAMSEY 206 EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 208 EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON 208 EXHIBITS NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE Exhibit 1 Client Contact Policy E-mail 58 Exhibit 2 Duties Under Missouri v. Frye 88 Exhibit 3 10/2/2017 Letter 123 Exhibit 4 Rules of Professional Responsibility E-mail 124 Exhibit 5 Suggestions in Support of Writ of Prohibition and/or Mandamus 124 Exhibit 6 MCRC - Notification of Case Assignment 129 Exhibit 7 Petition for Writ of Prohibition and Suggestions 136 Exhibit 8 Motion Requesting Conference to Discuss Caseload Issues 137 Exhibit 9 Complaint Form 139 Exhibit 10 Guidelines for Determination of Indigence 199 | Page 4 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI CENTRAL DIVISION 3 SHONDEL CHURCH, et al.,) 4) Plaintiffs,) 5) Case No. vs.) 17-04057-CV-C-NKL 6) STATE OF MISSOURI, et al.,) 7 Defendants.) 8 9 VIDEO DEPOSITION OF RUTH PETSCH, produced, sworn and examined on December 5, 2017, at the offices of the American Civil Liberties Union of Missouri Foundation, 406 West 34th Street, Suite 420, 13 Kansas City, Missouri 64111, before Emily S. Hughes, a Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Missouri, in a certain cause now pending in the United States District Court, Western District of Missouri, between SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., Plaintiffs, vs. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al., Defendants; on behalf of the Plaintiffs. |

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

| | Page 5 | | Page 7 |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | APPEARANCES | 1 | MS. SHIPMA: Jacqueline Shipma for the MSPD |
| 2 3 APPE | ARING FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: | 2 | defendants. |
| 4 | Mr. Jason D. Williamson | 3 | MR. RAMSEY: Steven Ramsey for the State of |
| | ACLU FOUNDATION 125 Broad Street | 4 | Missouri and Governor Greitens. |
| | 8th Floor | 5 | |
| | New York, New York 10004 | | VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court reporter |
| | 212.607.3300 williamson@aclu.org | 6 | please swear in the witness? |
| 8 | • | 7 | RUTH PETSCH, |
| | ARING FOR THE STATE OF MISSOURI GOVERNOR GREITENS: | 8 | of lawful age, produced, sworn and examined on behalf |
| 10 | Mr. Steven Alan Ramsey | 9 | of the Plaintiffs, deposes and says: |
| | STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 207 West High Street | 10 | EXAMINATION |
| | Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 | 11 | BY MR. WILLIAMSON: |
| | 573.751.3321 Steven.Ramsey@ago.mo.gov | 12 | Q. Good morning, Ms. Petsch. |
| 13 | steven.kamsey@ago.mo.gov | 13 | A. Good morning. |
| | ARING FOR THE MSPD DEFENDANTS: | 14 | J |
| | Ms. Jacqueline Shipma MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE | | Q. How are you? |
| 16 | 000 West Nifong | 15 | A. Good. |
| | Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 | 16 | Q. My name is Jason Williamson. I represent |
| | 573.526.5212 | 17 | the plaintiffs in this case. Have have you ever |
| 18 19 | acqueline.shipma@mspd.mo.gov | 18 | been deposed before? |
| Video | grapher: | 19 | A. I don't think I have. |
| 20 Ryan (| | 20 | Q. Okay. Well, it's pretty straightforward, |
| | Reporter: 5. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353 | 21 | but I'm going to ask you a few questions relevant to |
| 22 | | 22 | this case. You're required to answer those questions |
| | Litigation Services .ocust Street | | • |
| Kansa | s City, Missouri 64108 | 23 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember |
| 24 816.22 | r1.1160 280.3376 | 24 | that your testimony here is under oath, so it's just |
| 25 | 250.3370 | 25 | as if you were testifying in court. Do you understand |
| | Page 6 | | Page 8 |
| 1 IT | IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between | | |
| 2 coun | IS TIERED I STILL GEATED AIND AGREED BY GIRL BERNEEL | 1 | that? |
| _ COUII | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the | 1 2 | that? A. Yes. |
| | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 Defe | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the ndants that this deposition may be taken in | 2 3 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of |
| 3 Defe4 short | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the ndants that this deposition may be taken in hand by Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353, | 2 3 4 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, |
| 3 Defe4 short5 and I | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the ndants that this deposition may be taken in hand by Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353, Missouri Notary Public, and afterwards transcribed | 2 3 4 5 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? |
| 3 Defe4 short5 and I6 into t | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the ndants that this deposition may be taken in hand by Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353, Missouri Notary Public, and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is | 2 3 4 5 6 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, |
| 3 Defe4 short5 and I6 into t | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the ndants that this deposition may be taken in hand by Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353, Missouri Notary Public, and afterwards transcribed | 2 3 4 5 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? |
| 3 Defe4 short5 and I6 into t | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the ndants that this deposition may be taken in hand by Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353, Missouri Notary Public, and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is | 2 3 4 5 6 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the ndants that this deposition may be taken in hand by Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353, Missouri Notary Public, and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and f 6 into t 7 expre 8 | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the ndants that this deposition may be taken in hand by Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353, Missouri Notary Public, and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is essly reserved. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the ndants that this deposition may be taken in hand by Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353, Missouri Notary Public, and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is essly reserved. * * * * * * (Deposition commenced at 9:05 a.m.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the Indants that this deposition may be taken in Indants that this deposition may be taken in Indant by Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353, Missouri Notary Public, and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is essly reserved. ***** (Deposition commenced at 9:05 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. y's date is December 5, 2017, and the time is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda 12 9:05 | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the Indants that this deposition may be taken in Indants that this deposition, Alissouri Notary Public, and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is easyl reserved. * * * * * * (Deposition commenced at 9:05 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. y's date is December 5, 2017, and the time is a.m. This is the video recorded deposition of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our court reporter here today: First of all, when you are |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda 12 9:05 13 Ruth | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the Indants that this deposition may be taken in Indants that this deposition, and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is easyly reserved. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our court reporter here today: First of all, when you are answering questions, just be sure to to speak |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda 12 9:05 13 Ruth 14 versu | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the indants that this deposition may be taken in hand by Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353, Missouri Notary Public, and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is easly reserved. * * * * * * * (Deposition commenced at 9:05 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. y's date is December 5, 2017, and the time is a.m. This is the video recorded deposition of Petsch in the matter of Shondel Church, et al., is State of Missouri, et al., Case Number | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our court reporter here today: First of all, when you are answering questions, just be sure to to speak clearly and loudly enough for her to hear you. Also |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda 12 9:05 13 Ruth 14 versu 15 17-04 | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the Indants that this deposition may be taken in Indants that this deposition and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is easyly reserved. * * * * * * (Deposition commenced at 9:05 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. y's date is December 5, 2017, and the time is a.m. This is the video recorded deposition of Petsch in the matter of Shondel Church, et al., as State of Missouri, et al., Case Number 057-CV-C-NKL in the United States District Court | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our court reporter here today: First of all, when you are answering questions, just be sure to to speak clearly and loudly enough for her to hear you. Also make sure you answer each question verbally as opposed. |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda 12 9:05 13 Ruth 14 versu 15 17-04 16 for th | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the Indants that this deposition may be taken in Indants that this deposition and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is easyly reserved. * * * * * * (Deposition commenced at 9:05 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. y's date is December 5, 2017, and the time is a.m. This is the video recorded deposition of Petsch in the matter of Shondel Church, et al., as State of Missouri, et al., Case Number 057-CV-C-NKL in the United States District Court the Western District of Missouri, Central | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our court reporter here today: First of all, when you are answering questions, just be sure to to speak clearly and loudly enough for her to hear you. Also |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda 12 9:05 13 Ruth 14 versu 15 17-04 16 for th | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the Indants that this deposition may be taken in Indants that this deposition and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is easyly reserved. * * * * * * (Deposition commenced at 9:05 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. y's date is December 5, 2017, and the time is a.m. This is the video recorded deposition of Petsch in the matter of Shondel Church, et al., as State of Missouri, et al., Case Number 057-CV-C-NKL in the United States District Court | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our court reporter here today: First of all, when you are answering questions, just be sure to to speak clearly and loudly enough for her to hear you. Also make sure you answer each question verbally as opposed. |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda 12 9:05 13 Ruth 14 versu 15 17-04 16 for th 17 Divis | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the Indants that this deposition may be taken in Indants that this deposition and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is easyly reserved. * * * * * * (Deposition commenced at 9:05 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. y's date is December 5, 2017, and the time is a.m. This is the video recorded deposition of Petsch in the matter of Shondel Church, et al., as State of Missouri, et al., Case Number 057-CV-C-NKL in the United States District Court the Western District of Missouri, Central | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our court reporter here today: First of all, when you are answering questions, just be sure to to speak clearly and loudly enough for her to hear you. Also make sure you answer each question verbally as opposed to using body movements so that she can record that |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda 12 9:05 13 Ruth 14 versu 15 17-04 16 for th 17 Divis 18 Ameri | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the Indants that this deposition may be taken in Indants of the Indants of the Indants of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our court reporter here today: First of all, when you are answering questions, just be sure to to speak clearly and loudly enough for her to hear you. Also make sure you answer each question verbally as opposed to using body movements so that she can record that for the record. And also, I'd like to ask to let one |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda 12 9:05 13 Ruth 14 versu 15 17-04 16 for th 17 Divis 18 American | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the Indants that this deposition may be taken in Indants that this deposition in Indants that this deposition is being held at the Indants | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our court reporter here today: First of all, when you are answering questions, just be sure to to speak clearly and loudly enough for her to hear you. Also make sure you answer each question verbally as opposed to using body movements so that she can record that for the record. And also, I'd like to ask to let one another finish before so allow me to finish my question before you answer. I'll do my very best to |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda 12 9:05 13 Ruth 14 versu 15 17-04 16 for th 17 Divis 18 Ameel 19 20 name | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the Indants that this deposition may be taken in Indants of Indants | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our court reporter here today: First of all, when you are answering questions, just be sure to to speak clearly and loudly enough for her to hear you. Also make sure you answer each question verbally as opposed to using body movements so that she can record that for the record. And also, I'd like to ask to let one another finish before so allow me to finish my question before you answer. I'll do my very best to let you finish your answer before I ask you another |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda 12 9:05 13 Ruth 14 versu 15 17-04 16 for th 17 Divis 18 American | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the Indants that this deposition may be taken in Indants of Indants | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our court reporter here today: First of all, when you are answering questions, just be sure to to speak clearly and loudly enough for her to hear you. Also make sure you answer each question verbally as opposed to using body movements so that she can record that for the record. And also, I'd like to ask to let one another finish before so allow me to finish my question before you answer. I'll do my very best to let you finish your answer before I ask you another question; okay? |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda 12 9:05 13 Ruth 14 versu 15 17-04 16 for th 17 Divis 18 Amer 19 20 name 21 are v 22 | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the indants that this deposition may be taken in hand by Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353, Missouri Notary Public, and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is easly reserved. * * * * * * (Deposition commenced at 9:05 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. y's date is December 5, 2017, and the time is a.m. This is the video recorded deposition of Petsch in the matter of Shondel Church, et al., as State of Missouri, et al., Case Number 057-CV-C-NKL in the United States District Court e Western District of Missouri, Central on. This deposition is being held at the ican Civil Liberties Union of Missouri Foundation. The reporter's name is Emily Hughes. My e is Ryan Gray. I'm the legal videographer. We with Alaris Litigation Services. Would the attorneys present please | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our court reporter here today: First of all, when you are answering questions, just be sure to to speak clearly and loudly enough for her to hear you. Also make sure you answer each question verbally as opposed to using body movements so that she can record that for the record. And also, I'd like to ask to let one another finish before so allow me to finish my question before you answer. I'll do my very best to let you finish your answer before I ask you another question; okay? A. Okay. |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda 12 9:05 13 Ruth 14 vers 15 17-04 16 for th 17 Divis 18 Ame 19 20 name 21 are v 22 23 introce | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the indants that this deposition may be taken in hand by Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353, Missouri Notary Public, and afterwards transcribed appewriting; and the signature of the witness is easily reserved. * * * * * * (Deposition commenced at 9:05 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. y's date is December 5, 2017, and the time is a.m. This is the video recorded deposition of Petsch in the matter of Shondel Church, et al., as State of Missouri, et al., Case Number 057-CV-C-NKL in the United States District Court et Western District of Missouri, Central on. This deposition is being held at the ican Civil Liberties Union of Missouri Foundation. The reporter's name is Emily Hughes. My et is Ryan Gray. I'm the legal videographer. We with Alaris Litigation Services. Would the attorneys present please duce themselves? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our court reporter here today: First of all, when you are answering questions, just be sure to to speak clearly and loudly enough for her to hear you. Also make sure you answer each question verbally as opposed to using body movements so that she can record that for the record. And also, I'd like to ask to let one another finish before so allow me to finish my question before you answer. I'll do my very best to let you finish your answer before I ask you another question; okay? A. Okay. Q. Did you prepare for the deposition today? |
| 3 Defe 4 short 5 and I 6 into t 7 expre 8 9 10 11 Toda 12 9:05 13 Ruth 14 versu 15 17-04 16 for th 17 Divis 18 Amei 19 20 name 21 are v 22 | sel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the indants that this deposition may be taken in hand by Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353, Missouri Notary Public, and afterwards transcribed ypewriting; and the signature of the witness is easly reserved. * * * * * * (Deposition commenced at 9:05 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. y's date is December 5, 2017, and the time is a.m. This is the video recorded deposition of Petsch in the matter of Shondel Church, et al., as State of Missouri, et al., Case Number 057-CV-C-NKL in the United States District Court e Western District of Missouri, Central on. This deposition is being held at the ican Civil Liberties Union of Missouri Foundation. The reporter's name is Emily Hughes. My e is Ryan Gray. I'm the legal videographer. We with Alaris Litigation Services. Would the attorneys present please | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Yes. Q. Your attorney may object to one or more of my questions, but except in limited circumstances, you're still required to answer the question; okay? A. (Nonverbal response.) Q. If you do not hear or understand my question, just let me know, and I can ask a a better question or rephrase the question; okay? A. Okay. Q. And a few things that I think will help our court reporter here today: First of all, when you are answering questions, just be sure to to speak clearly and loudly enough for her to hear you. Also make sure you answer each question verbally as opposed to using body movements so that she can record that for the record. And also, I'd like to ask to let one another finish before so allow me to finish my question before you answer. I'll do my very best to let you finish your answer before I ask you another question; okay? A. Okay. |

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

| | Page 9 | | Page 11 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | A. Yesterday, I met with Jackie for, you know, | 1 | law school, but I graduated law school in 1997, took |
| 2 | 45 minutes, something like that. I read back over | 2 | the bar, and my first legal job was with MSPD. |
| 3 | some affidavits that my attorneys had written about | 3 | Q. What did you do prior to law school? |
| 4 | their case overload, and I looked I looked at the | 4 | A. Well, I was an undergrad. I mean, I I |
| 5 | time frame, because in speaking with Jackie, I | 5 | did a lot of catering. |
| 6 | wasn't I wasn't sure a a lot of things have | 6 | Q. So you went straight from undergrad to law |
| 7 | happened recently, and I and I wanted to be more | 7 | school? |
| 8 | clear on the time frame, because it if you it | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | feels like they happened all at once, so | 9 | Q. Now, you mentioned that Area 16 encompasses |
| 10 | Q. And did you did you bring any or all of | 10 | Kansas City. Are there any other counties that are |
| 11 | those documents with you today? | 11 | part of Area 16? |
| 12 | A. No. | 12 | A. Well, we Area 16 is strange in that |
| 13 | Q. Okay. Ms. Petsch, by whom are you | 13 | there's two courthouses. There's one in Kansas City; |
| 14 | employed? | 14 | there's one in Independence. So we go to both |
| 15 | A. Missouri State Public Defender. | 15 | courthouses. And then I do have some lawyers that are |
| 16 | Q. And what is your current title? | 16 | doing some conflicts. That's dying out because we got |
| 17 | A. I'm the district defender for the | 17 | conflict funds this year, so in some of the |
| 18 | Kansas City trial office. | 18 | surrounding counties like Platte, Clay, Cass, I feel |
| 19 | Q. And how long have you served as district | 19 | like Clinton. But again, most of that is since |
| 20 | defender? | 20 | July 1, most of that, we're cutting back on because |
| 21 | A. I became district defender in, I believe | 21 | those cases are being contracted, but I do have some |
| 22 | March of '11. | 22 | lawyers who do conflict cases in some of the |
| 23 | Q. Have you served with the MSPD in any other | 23 | surrounding counties. |
| 24 | capacity? | 24 | Q. Is it your understanding that your office, |
| 25 | A. Yes. I was assistant public defender. I | 25 | going forward, will no longer be required or expected |
| | | | |
| | Page 10 | | Page 12 |
| 1 | _ | 1 | _ |
| 1 2 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I | 1 2 | to — to cover conflicts in these other counties? |
| 1 2 3 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant | | _ |
| 2 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I | 2 | to to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the |
| 2 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender | 2 | to to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that that doesn't |
| 2 3 4 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district | 2 3 4 | to — to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that — that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few |
| 2 3 4 5 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. | 2 3 4 5 | to to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from conflict cases mostly because we've had |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is | 2 3 4 5 6 | to to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | to to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? A. Yes. We we're all of District 16 for | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | to to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to us. But yes, my my hope would be that my my |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? A. Yes. We we're all of District 16 for the most part. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | to — to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that — that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from — conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to us. But yes, my — my hope would be that my — my office, every MSPD office is out of the conflict |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? A. Yes. We we're all of District 16 for the most part. Q. And I want to get this right. Do you refer | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | to to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to us. But yes, my my hope would be that my my office, every MSPD office is out of the conflict business, and we can focus on the 16th Judicial |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? A. Yes. We we're all of District 16 for the most part. Q. And I want to get this right. Do you refer to it as Area 16 or District 16, or are those | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | to — to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that — that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from — conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to us. But yes, my — my hope would be that my — my office, every MSPD office is out of the conflict business, and we can focus on the 16th Judicial Circuit. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? A. Yes. We we're all of District 16 for the most part. Q. And I want to get this right. Do you refer to it as Area 16 or District 16, or are those interchangeable? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | to — to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that — that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from — conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to us. But yes, my — my hope would be that my — my office, every MSPD office is out of the conflict business, and we can focus on the 16th Judicial Circuit. Q. How much time were your lawyers spending on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? A. Yes. We we're all of District 16 for the most part. Q. And I want to get this right. Do you refer to it as Area 16 or District 16, or are those interchangeable? A. MSPD calls it Area 16. It is the 16th | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | to to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to us. But yes, my my hope would be that my my office, every MSPD office is out of the conflict business, and we can focus on the 16th Judicial Circuit. Q. How much time were your lawyers spending on conflict cases prior to July 1? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? A. Yes. We we're all of District 16 for the most part. Q. And I want to get this right. Do you refer to it as Area 16 or District 16, or are those interchangeable? A. MSPD calls it Area 16. It is the 16th Judicial Circuit in in Kansas City, the circuit | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | to to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to us. But yes, my my hope would be that my my office, every MSPD office is out of the conflict business, and we can focus on the 16th Judicial Circuit. Q. How much time were your lawyers spending on conflict cases prior to July 1? A. Well, I had one lawyer, that was his his |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? A. Yes. We we're all of District 16 for the most part. Q. And I want to get this right. Do you refer to it as Area 16 or District 16, or are those interchangeable? A. MSPD calls it Area 16. It is the 16th Judicial Circuit in in Kansas City, the circuit matches up with the office. We only cover one county, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | to — to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that — that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from — conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to us. But yes, my — my hope would be that my — my office, every MSPD office is out of the conflict business, and we can focus on the 16th Judicial Circuit. Q. How much time were your lawyers spending on conflict cases prior to July 1? A. Well, I had one lawyer, that was his — his entire job was to do conflicts, and I had a second |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? A. Yes. We we're all of District 16 for the most part. Q. And I want to get this right. Do you refer to it as Area 16 or District 16, or are those interchangeable? A. MSPD calls it Area 16. It is the 16th Judicial Circuit in in Kansas City, the circuit matches up with the office. We only cover one county, which is the 16th Judicial Circuit. So they get | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | to — to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that — that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from — conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to us. But yes, my — my hope would be that my — my office, every MSPD office is out of the conflict business, and we can focus on the 16th Judicial Circuit. Q. How much time were your lawyers spending on conflict cases prior to July 1? A. Well, I had one lawyer, that was his — his entire job was to do conflicts, and I had a second lawyer who — who probably 50 to 75 percent of her |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? A. Yes. We we're all of District 16 for the most part. Q. And I want to get this right. Do you refer to it as Area 16 or District 16, or are those interchangeable? A. MSPD calls it Area 16. It is the 16th Judicial Circuit in in Kansas City, the circuit matches up with the office. We only cover one county, which is the 16th Judicial Circuit. So they get called a lot of things, but I think internally, we | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | to — to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that — that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from — conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to us. But yes, my — my hope would be that my — my office, every MSPD office is out of the conflict business, and we can focus on the 16th Judicial Circuit. Q. How much time were your lawyers spending on conflict cases prior to July 1? A. Well, I had one lawyer, that was his — his entire job was to do conflicts, and I had a second lawyer who — who probably 50 to 75 percent of her caseload was conflicts, so if you — |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? A. Yes. We we're all of District 16 for the most part. Q. And I want to get this right. Do you refer to it as Area 16 or District 16, or are those interchangeable? A. MSPD calls it Area 16. It is the 16th Judicial Circuit in in Kansas City, the circuit matches up with the office. We only cover one county, which is the 16th Judicial Circuit. So they get called a lot of things, but I think internally, we call it Area 16. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | to — to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that — that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from — conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to us. But yes, my — my hope would be that my — my office, every MSPD office is out of the conflict business, and we can focus on the 16th Judicial Circuit. Q. How much time were your lawyers spending on conflict cases prior to July 1? A. Well, I had one lawyer, that was his — his entire job was to do conflicts, and I had a second lawyer who — who probably 50 to 75 percent of her caseload was conflicts, so if you — Q. Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? A. Yes. We we're all of District 16 for the most part. Q. And I want to get this right. Do you refer to it as Area 16 or District 16, or are those interchangeable? A. MSPD calls it Area 16. It is the 16th Judicial Circuit in in Kansas City, the circuit matches up with the office. We only cover one county, which is the 16th Judicial Circuit. So they get called a lot of things, but I think internally, we call it Area 16. Q. So we'll come back to your experience | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | to — to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that — that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from — conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to us. But yes, my — my hope would be that my — my office, every MSPD office is out of the conflict business, and we can focus on the 16th Judicial Circuit. Q. How much time were your lawyers spending on conflict cases prior to July 1? A. Well, I had one lawyer, that was his — his entire job was to do conflicts, and I had a second lawyer who — who probably 50 to 75 percent of her caseload was conflicts, so if you — Q. Okay. A. — you know, can put that into attorney |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the I held well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? A. Yes. We we're all of District 16 for the most part. Q. And I want to get this right. Do you refer to it as Area 16 or District 16, or are those interchangeable? A. MSPD calls it Area 16. It is the 16th Judicial Circuit in in Kansas City, the circuit matches up with the office. We only cover one county, which is the 16th Judicial Circuit. So they get called a lot of things, but I think internally, we call it Area 16. Q. So we'll come back to your experience within the Kansas City office, but can you describe | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | to — to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that — that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from — conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to us. But yes, my — my hope would be that my — my office, every MSPD office is out of the conflict business, and we can focus on the 16th Judicial Circuit. Q. How much time were your lawyers spending on conflict cases prior to July 1? A. Well, I had one lawyer, that was his — his entire job was to do conflicts, and I had a second lawyer who — who probably 50 to 75 percent of her caseload was conflicts, so if you — Q. Okay. A. — you know, can put that into attorney hours, but I basically had almost two lawyers devoted |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | started in January of 1998, and then I held the — I held — well, there's different levels of assistant public defender, but I was assistant public defender in one level or another until I became district defender. Q. Okay. And the Kansas City trial office is part of District 16? A. Yes. We — we're all of District 16 for the most part. Q. And I want to get this right. Do you refer to it as Area 16 or District 16, or are those interchangeable? A. MSPD calls it Area 16. It is the 16th Judicial Circuit in — in Kansas City, the circuit matches up with the office. We only cover one county, which is the 16th Judicial Circuit. So they get called a lot of things, but I think internally, we call it Area 16. Q. So we'll come back to your experience within the Kansas City office, but can you describe your professional experience prior to coming to the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | to — to cover conflicts in these other counties? A. Well, that's my hope. I've been with the system long enough to know that that — that doesn't always happen. Since July 1, we have gotten a few cases from — conflict cases mostly because we've had an attorney who already represented that client, and they had another case pop up, and they were sent to us. But yes, my — my hope would be that my — my office, every MSPD office is out of the conflict business, and we can focus on the 16th Judicial Circuit. Q. How much time were your lawyers spending on conflict cases prior to July 1? A. Well, I had one lawyer, that was his — his entire job was to do conflicts, and I had a second lawyer who — who probably 50 to 75 percent of her caseload was conflicts, so if you — Q. Okay. A. — you know, can put that into attorney hours, but I basically had almost two lawyers devoted to doing conflict work. |

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

Fax: 314.644.1334

25

City office, and I did some internships while through

25

attorneys?

| | Page 13 | | Page 15 |
|----------|---|----|--|
| 1 | A. With the exception of I rotated the | 1 | attorney in your office been practicing? |
| 2 | conflicts the person I have had on conflicts, he | 2 | A. Since September 18. |
| 3 | he's been with us 29 years, so he's been in conflicts, | 3 | Q. And even that attorney is carrying felony |
| 4 | I want to say he was doing it when I took over | 4 | cases on his or her caseload? |
| 5 | management position, so he's been on conflicts the | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | entire time. I've rotated people in and out, so I | 6 | Q. Do you make distinctions between A, B |
| 7 | might have had one or two other people who had sort of | 7 | felonies and C, D felonies when you're assigning the |
| 8 | residual cases, but for just just ease of use, it | 8 | cases to your lawyers? |
| 9 | was easier to have a consistent person going to the | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | various counties. | 10 | Q. All right. How many investigators do you |
| 11 | Q. Okay. How many attorneys do you currently | 11 | have on staff right now? |
| 12 | have on staff in your office? | 12 | A. Well, currently staffed, I have three. I |
| 13 | A. Including myself, 35. | 13 | have I'm in the process of hiring a fourth. Full |
| 14 | Q. And how many of those 35 handle felony | 14 | staff, we're at four. |
| 15 | cases? | 15 | Q. Do you have any social workers on staff? |
| 16 | A. All of them. | 16 | A. No. |
| 17 | Q. How many handle misdemeanors? | 17 | Q. How many paralegals do you have? |
| 18 | A. All of them. | 18 | A. I don't have any paralegals. |
| 19 | Q. How many handle juvenile cases? | 19 | Q. Do you have any legal assistants? |
| 20 | A. I think one of my conflict lawyers does | 20 | A. I do. I have five. |
| 21 | not, so I would say and I would say myself and my | 21 | Q. And what what role do they play? |
| 22 | deputy do not handle juvenile cases. I mean, we've | 22 | A. The legal assistants, they screen all of |
| 23 | handled them, but right now, I would say we we | 23 | the clients who are in custody and a number of out of |
| 24 | don't handle juvenile cases, so 32. | 24 | custody. So they go to the jail. We have an |
| 25 | Q. How many of your lawyers handle probation | 25 | application, you have to qualify for the public |
| | Page 14 | | Page 16 |
| 1 | revocation cases? | 1 | defender's office, they fill out those. And then they |
| 2 | A. Every one. | 2 | open every file, so that's, you know, a right |
| 3 | Q. And how many of them do direct appeals? | 3 | around give or take right around 6,000, 5,000 |
| 4 | A. I have one I have one lawyer excuse | 4 | 6,000 cases a year, and more applications, because |
| 5 | me doing work at he kind of has a lot of the | 5 | some of those folks are denied or some of those folks |
| 6 | Bazell cases, which is this a case that came down | 6 | hire counsel in the meantime. We'll screen them in |
| 7 | that they did a lot of felony stealings, misdemeanors, | 7 | jail, and then their family will hire someone. And |
| 8 | so and it created a lot of chaos for a while, so he | 8 | then there are also I have a team structure. I |
| 9 | has a has a bunch of those, so I have maybe one | 9 | have four teams in the office. They're assigned to a |
| 10 | who's doing that. Direct appeals are generally | 10 | trial team, so they do get requests from attorneys to |
| 11 | handled by our appellate office. | 11 | do things like edit video, show there's a |
| 12 | Q. Are there any other types of cases that are | 12 | tremendous amount of video. Most of our all of our |
| 13 | handled by your office that I haven't named? | 13 | discovery comes on disk, and there's a lot of witness |
| 14 15 | A. Again, there's there's different levels | 15 | interviews. Most cases a lot of cases have hours and hours of video between witness interviews and dash |
| 16 | of post-conviction for that Bazell lawyer, which I I couldn't even tell you what they are, but I I | 16 | cam and the like, so they do a lot of showing videos |
| 17 | think that about covers it, yes. | 17 | to clients in the jail. |
| 18 | Q. Now, you have let me rephrase. | 18 | Q. Along with the attorney, or just the |
| 19 | How long has the most experienced lawyer in | 19 | A. Usually, just the legal assistant. |
| 20 | your office been practicing, as far as you know? | 20 | Attorneys sometimes do that as well, but they they |
| 21 | A. 29 years. | 21 | don't do it together. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. And that's the conflicts | 22 | Q. And is that because you just don't have the |
| 23 | A. Yes. | 23 | capacity for them |
| 24 | Q attorney? | 24 | A. Right. |
| 25 | And how long has the least experienced | 25 | Q to do it together? |

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

| | Page 17 | | Page 19 |
|----------|--|-------|---|
| 1 | A. So yeah. We'd see that as a waste of | 1 | as well, so some of them write letters for lawyers or |
| 2 | resources. | 2 | mail letters, but yes, all strictly clerical. |
| 3 | Q. Understood. | 3 | Q. Do you have a sense of what the turnover |
| 4 | How many attorneys are on each trial team? | 4 | rate is among attorneys in your office? |
| 5 | A. Around eight. Everyone is on a team except | 5 | A. Well, last year, I hired I had nine |
| 6 | for myself and my deputy, Joseph Megerman, so | 6 | people start out of the 35, so I don't I haven't |
| 7 | everyone else and I have team leaders for the | 7 | done that math. |
| 8 | teams, but everyone is on a team. | 8 | Q. Does that mean, were those nine people who |
| 9 | Q. And you said the legal assistants are | 9 | replaced nine other people who resigned? |
| 10 | assigned to a trial team; correct? | 10 | A. Left, yes. |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | Q. And do you have any opinion as to what the |
| 12 | Q. So does that mean that individual lawyers | 12 | cause of those departures may have been? |
| 13 | are not individual lawyers don't request assistance | 13 | A. Well, I I can tell I do an exit |
| 14 | from the legal assistant, or is that or or do | 14 | meeting when people depart so I can talk about what |
| 15 | they? | 15 | a lot of people leave for private practice. A lot |
| 16 | A. They do. | 16 | of a lot of people leave to make more money, and |
| 17 | Q. Okay. | 17 | then a number of people leave because of the work, the |
| 18 | A. They have the teams meet, and each of | 18 | grind. |
| 19 | the individual teams sort of allocates the best use of | 19 | Q. Is the is the turnover rate concerning |
| 20 | the resources they have. So some teams have attorneys | 20 | at all to you? |
| 21 | doing requests of legal assistants as part of their | 21 | A. Absolutely. It's I do all the hiring, |
| 22 | team structure, and some of them have set duties for | 22 | and between attorneys and support staff, I I |
| 23 | the team, and it does vary the time kind of on | 23 | literally don't remember the last time I wasn't |
| 24 | votes on it and decides what's the best use of | 24 | engaged in hiring, whether I'm reading resumes or I'm |
| 25 | resources, so | 25 | interviewing, or right now, I'm checking references. |
| | Page 18 | | Page 20 |
| 1 | Q. And each team has the discretion to do | 1 | I it I am constantly doing that. It's a |
| 2 | that? | 2 | tremendous drain on my time as well as, I have to have |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | a lawyer what is a trainer, so we're sort of |
| 4 | Q. You're not involved in that process? | 4 | constantly training people. And obviously, trial work |
| 5 | A. Well, if if it's something that goes | 5 | isn't a you don't walk into it easily and |
| 6 | outside the legal assistant job duty entirely, I'd | 6 | naturally. It requires a lot of training and it |
| 7 | probably have a discussion with them about it, so I | 7 | requires a lot of time and development, so there's |
| 8 | I will ask the team leaders, what are you having | 8 | it's a constant question/answer, constant I believe |
| 9 | what are you having your support staff do, what are | 9 | in open door, so I have a constant stream of people at |
| 10 | you you know, how how are you best utilizing | 10 | my door. Most of my core work that I do, I do at |
| 11 | your team members? And so I have a feel for what each | 11 | home. |
| 12 | team is doing at a given time or what their game plan | 12 | Q. We'll come back to that |
| 13 | is. Sometimes they plan things, and it doesn't come | 13 | A. Sure. |
| 14 | to fruition, but that's but that's how they divide | 14 | Q shortly. |
| 15 | it. | 15 | Is the when someone leaves your office, |
| 16 | Q. Okay. So aside from the 35 attorneys, four | 16 | do you are you generally strike that. |
| 17 | investigators, five legal assistants, are there any | 17 | When someone leaves your office, how long |
| 18 | other staff in your office? | 18 | does it generally take you to fill that position? |
| 19 | A. Yes. I have there's one administrative | 19 | A. At least six weeks. It has to post for two |
| 20 | assistant who acts more like an office manager, and I | 20 | weeks. I generally do two rounds of interviews, so |
| 21 22 | have four office support assistants. | 21 22 | you know, you have to give people notice to get there. And I do it as efficiently as possible. One, I fear |
| | | | AUGUAN IT AS ATTICIONAL AS NOSSINIA CINA LIDAR |
| | Q. And the office support assistants do | | , |
| 23 | strictly administrative work, clerical work? | 23 | losing I've lost positions before, so I'm always |
| | ** | | • |

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

2.5

Page 21

demoralizing for the staff to have people out. When someone leaves, their cases get disbursed, and you know, they -- they feel the pain instantly.

2.5

2.0

2.3

2.4

Q. And how do you handle the caseload for that six weeks or however along the intervening period is to make sure that those cases, the — the lawyer's cases are covered?

A. Well, we reassign the cases immediately, so that means lawyers who thought they had set schedules will now get trial cases dropped in their lap and trial settings dropped in their lap that they weren't anticipating. It's -- there's a lot of things that bug the lawyers, but that really bugs the lawyers.

Q. How do you choose who gets the pleasure of having cases dropped in their lap?

A. So one of the -- and I -- Joseph Megerman does most of the assigning, but I've been the assigner in the office before. Sometimes you look at -- we have the ability to look at people's trial schedules, see and look when people have availability. You will -- obviously, the type of case is important. I'm not going to give new lawyers murder 1. And some of it is, it just has to go somewhere.

Q. Is it fair to say that that is one of the implications of -- of a high turnover rate, that you

trial experience, so – but for the most part, most of

my new hires are brand new.

Q. Right out of law school?

A. Yes. Or this is their first legal job.
I've hired some people -- I hired a guy who just
worked in a start-up for a two years just doing IT
stuff, but he wasn't -- it didn't help his legal
acumen any.

Q. And when those new lawyers come on board, is there a period of time that they have to spend in training before they are assigned clients?

A. No.

Q. Do they -- do they receive any training as new attorneys in the office?

A. Yes. Well, I have a training person, and -- and she had a baby this summer, so it made for hectic times for the people who started this summer. But -- and then we -- I actually moved her position. She wanted to move positions when she came back. So I have a new training person. He goes to the jail with them, he goes to court dates with them until he's confident that they're able to handle certain types of things. That being said, three people start in September. One person started in August. It would be physically impossible for him to attend every dep --

Page 22

have lawyers taking on -- lawyers who are already very busy taking on additional cases, at least in the interim?

A. Yes.

Q. You mentioned that you are always afraid of losing a spot?

A. Right.

Q. Can you explain what you mean?

A. Well, we -- so the system, you know, we're a statewide system, so there are attorney positions. You know, they look at offices that are overload and positions -- I've -- I -- I think I've lost two, and then gained one. But positions will go to other offices who are considered more overloaded, so you'll lose someone, and then you don't get to fill it. I've lost attorney positions that way, and I've lost support staff positions that way.

Q. Are the majority of the new hires relatively inexperienced lawyers?

relatively inexperienced lawyers?

A. Yes. I do -- I've -- I've definitely hired transfers. I'm trying to think in the past year if I've hired any transfers. Maybe -- I think maybe two of the nine were transfers; although, one came with no trial experience, so it wasn't -- you know, I mean, it wasn't like going -- the other did come with some

Page 24

Page 23

every first for all of -- all of that group, so I do have training, and we do work with it, but again, it fluctuates based on training. It's not -- I can't add other trainers. I don't have the resources for that.

Q. So to be clear, the training person is not conducting day-long trainings, for example, for a group of lawyers; this person is shadowing lawyers in -- in their work on a daily basis?

A. Right. Well, he's meeting with them, discussing their cases, he'll go to the jail with them for their first client, you know, sitting in on client interviews, sort of working with them. All of our training is on the job for the most part as far as that goes. I do -- once a month, I do have a -- we call it our second Friday CLE. It's not a real CLE, but it's sort of things that we see that lawyers have issues with, and then it's generally in-house people talking about voir dire, talking about other topics, making a record things like that, to get -- but it's usually over lunch, and it's usually an hour to an hour and a half long.

Q. Is that mandatory for people to attend?

A. It's mandatory -- I make it mandatory for ones and twos, but I mean, if someone is on vacation, I'm not going to hold them. So -- and ones and twos,

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

Page 25

APD 1s and 2s, because I just want them to have that experience, and I'm -- it's open to the whole office, and depending on the topic and the speaker, we have a fair number of people. I will also say the state has statewide training, but that isn't -- I'm not in charge of that.

Q. Are your lawyers required to attend the statewide training?

A. The new lawyers are, yes. And most lawyers want to in some capacity because it gets them their CLE hours, so --

Q. You referenced APDs -- APD 1 and 2s?

A. Uh-huh.

2.4

2.5

Q. Can you just explain what you mean?

A. So a new hire is an APD 1, and you're an APD 1 -- you're eligible to be promoted after a year of practice and successful performance, and then you become an APD 2.

I write -- I personally write every review in the office, so the attorneys are reviewed, and then they become APD 2s. And you're an APD 2 -- you're not eligible to move up for two years in successful practice including trial practice. We have -- we have a form for it, and I -- I review every -- all reviews are written by me in my office, so most attorneys,

Page 27

successful performance in A and B felony. So for me, my four standard is you should be able to take any type of case. If you got to each of the marks and got promoted in the timliest manner possible, the soonest you could reach that is five years, and that is our -- that's our top level of trial attorney. Obviously, we have management positions, but that is where attorneys top out

Q. And in order to be a trial team leader, do you have to be an APD 4?

A. No. I -- the trial team leader is sort of my own organizational tool. It's not MSPD. Because it's basically impossible for myself and my deputy to effectively supervise and mentor 33 people. So I do interviews, I have a form, I meet with attorneys. So I've made -- I've definitely made threes team leaders before.

Q. Okay.

A. Obviously, I'd prefer a four, but when you have turnover, sometimes you don't have that many fours or you don't have that many interested fours.

Q. And does -- since it's not tied to any MSPD requirements, is it -- is there any -- is there a salary implication for becoming a team leader?

A. No.

Page 26

unless they've not had trial experience or they've had issues that we're working on, move up to ADP 3 at about the three-year mark.

Q. Does every attorney start as an APD 1, or does it depend on your level --

A. Every attorney starts as an APD 1. Oh, well, no. I guess that's not true. There -- if we have experienced people, they can be waived in.

Q. Okay.

A. So I've -- certainly, the transfers -- with transfers, it's easy. They -- they're already at a level, and they transfer at the same level. But I have hired people with experience who were -- their experience was given weight, so they came in as twos. I just had -- I just had a lawyer who had some experience, so they -- they had me promote him six months early. Normally, you'd be eligible at a year, and I promoted him at six months, so there are some concessions made when people have experience.

Q. And can -- can you just sort of name what the other levels of promotion are after AP -- APD 3?

A. Well, there's APD 4.

Q. Okay.

A. So -- and that, again, is another two years -- you have to have at least two years of highly

Page 28

Q. And just — I just want to clarify one thing. You mentioned that the — your — your trainer shadows the — the lawyers. So does that mean that that person is really only training one lawyer a day or a couple of lawyers a day?

A. I think it varies. And it depends — you — it depends how their — their court is scheduled, so he — I think he'll meet with them sort of informally as a group to talk about — let's talk about offers today. But I would say — the truth is I — I don't know. I think there are days when he probably touches base with everybody on the training team, and there are probably days when he doesn't touch base with any of them. It just varies depending on what they have going on and what their needs are.

Q. So you mentioned that, at least at the moment, you're doing a lot of hiring?

Δ Yes

Q. Can you just talk a little bit about your -- your other day-to-day responsibilities as district defender?

A. Along with hiring, I would say I'm constantly promoting with — with the turnover, I'm constantly, I think I've promoted 15 people this year. The upper level promotions take me 20 to 40 hours to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

Page 29

- 1 write, I think. And an APD 1 is a little shorter,
 - because there's not as much to look into. What else
- 3 do I do? I -- I'm in charge of approving all
- 4 encumbrance requests, all requests for depositions. I
- 5 approve all leave, so that's probably five or six
- 6 requests a day for leave. I have been carrying two
- 7 probation violation dockets. I do -- I do the HR for
- 8 the office, so if -- obviously, we have an HR, but,
- 9 you know, I have to do whatever claims come in,
- 10 whatever investigation. I deal directly with the 11 courts, so if there are complaints that way, I'm on --
- 12 I have to -- there's meetings set up with the 13 presiding judge, things like that. I do those things.
 - Q. You mentioned that you also write every review --
- 16 A. Yes.

2

14

15

18

21

22

23

2.4

13

- 17 Q. -- for your attorneys?
 - A. That's part of the promotions, yes.
- 19 Q. Oh, part of the promotions process?
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. What is the basis for that review? In other words, are you getting reports from supervising attorneys about the other attorneys, or are you doing the initial supervision?
- 25 A. So there's a variety of things. I do talk

Page 31

Page 32

it's sitting down with them and asking them about specific trial skills and where people are at.

Q. You mentioned that you do carry your own caseload: correct?

A. Well, I carry a case -- I'm doing only probation violations at the time, so yes. I'm not carrying a trial caseload.

Q. And -- and why only probation cases?

A. Well, probation violations are set at more predictable times, and while they can require -- I mean, I've taken depositions. I've done real work on probation violations. They don't -- it's a more predictable amount of time that you can devote to it than trial cases, which are all over the place, and then you have -- it really, for me, I'm a resource for the office, and then trying to split up what is more valuable, being a resource for the office or my -- my legal obligations to a client when you undertake representation that way is -- especially when you have a trial case, I just -- I tried to keep some cases when I became district defender, and eventually had to assign them out because I wasn't seeing the clients and I wasn't getting any work done on it, so I found that split very difficult. But with probation violations, I can -- plus, they're -- you know, we

Page 30

- 1 to the team leaders about how people are doing, and I
- 2 do try -- occasionally, I get to second chair somebody
- 3 in trial. It's not very often, and -- but I do try if
- 4 someone's in trial to get over there to catch part of
- 5 their trial so that I can see their trial performance
- 6 for myself. But I -- I request cases. I go through 7
- their cases. I go through their entire caseload. I 8 check all of their client contact, whether they're
- 9 seeing their clients -- we have a requirement with
- 10 MSPD that you're supposed to see your client within
- 11 seven days of receiving a case, as well as monthly
- 12 contact after that. So for a number of files, I look
- 14 at first and last contacts. When I look through their

at every contact. For all of their open cases, I look

- 15 files, I look for what kind of motions they're doing,
- 16 general -- kind of what you'd expect a defense
- 17 attorney, what -- how you evaluate a defense attorney,
- 18 what their writing is, and that, for the most part, is
- 19 kind of the bulk of -- looking through files. And
- 20 then I do talk to team leaders and anyone who has
- 21 second chaired. Lask team leaders who second chair.
- 22 trials, because they are -- they are -- I hope that
- 23 they do a bulk of the second chairing to fill out an
- 24 evaluation. Some of them are better at that than 25 others in doing that in a timely manner, so sometimes

- have a lot of divisions, and it means -- I mean, when
- 2 I have turnover, I don't have enough lawyers to take a 3
 - division. The -- usually, lawyers have trial
- 4 assignments, and then they're assigned to a division,
 - and they get all the probation violations that come to
- 6 that division. But when I run out of lawyers, I'm
- 7 looking at either doubling up on some lawyers who 8
 - already have too many cases, or just taking them

Q. How long has it been since you've had a trial docket?

- A. I think I -- probably about six months into when I took over, so 2011.
- Q. And -- and when you refer to a trial docket, does that include any and all felonies?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And all misdemeanors?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Which is to say those cases could go to trial? In other words, you're characterizing -characterizing them as a trial docket because those are cases that could conceivably go to trial?
- 23 A. Yes, correct. And I -- I should --2.4 recently, a number of the Jackson County judges have 25 ordered me to enter on a number of cases, which I have

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

Page 33 Page 35 1 done so, so I guess you could say I'm entered on some 1 Because as I say, I don't think I have a single lawyer 2 cases that could go to trial at this point, but I'm 2 who is meeting their client contact ever. I've never 3 also having -- other attorneys are also entering, 3 had anyone be 100 percent for -- definitely for 4 4 certain, and I would say most people have a fair -- a 5 5 Q. And we will return to that -fair number of not seeing clients on time or not 6 A. Sure. 6 seeing them regularly. So I do -- to me, I feel like 7 7 Q. -- in a bit. I have to take that into account. You know, if you 8 Does the MSPD central office play any role 8 have someone with 100 cases, that's very different 9 at all in -- in performance evaluations of your 9 than if they had 30. 10 10 Q. But it's -- there's no particular formula lawyers -- of the lawyers in your office? A. Yes. Well, I write them, and for APD, the 11 11 that you can use to --12 12 one to two is based on my evaluation solely. That A. No. 13 doesn't get run by anyone. But all the other 13 Q. Okay. Do you -- do you manage the budget 14 evaluations do. So I write an evaluation, and then I 14 in your office? 15 A. Yes. 15 send it -- right now, Ellen supervises me, and I think 16 Joel Elmer also, he looks at -- I know he looks at all 16 Q. So you make decisions about how much money 17 the fours. We just had a supervisor leave, so some of 17 goes where? 18 18 that is up in the air, but I've always sent it to a A. Well, I approve the expenses. 19 supervisor and then gotten feedback about sometimes 19 Q. I see. 20 add something, sometimes -- you know, or this isn't 20 A. The ultimate budget that's handed to me 21 21 comes from upper management, so I don't -- I approve very good client contact. What can you do to motivate 22 this person? Feedback about whether I need to change 22 expenses within that budget, but I sign off on every staples request we make, every -- and I do sign 23 23 the review or work with the attorney in some way. So off on all the trial -- we call them encumbrance 24 ultimately, I don't approve the promotions to three 24 2.5 and four. That's -- I write them, submit them, and 2.5 requests. Although, some of them, if there above Page 34 Page 36 1 \$500, if they're on a murder, some of them go --1 then get feedback, and that comes from upper 2 2 they're approved by the central office. I approve management. 3 Q. And when you refer to "Ellen," that's 3 only my local budget numbers, so -- but I don't -- I 4 Ellen Blaugh? 4 mean, I'm given a certain amount of money. I don't --5 A. Right. 5 and I keep it within that. 6 Q. How long does is generally take for the 6 Q. And how do you make decisions about how to 7 central office to make those ultimate decisions? 7 use that -- how to allocate that -- that pool of money 8 8 A. Of -- it's -- it's varied over the time that you have to depositions versus experts versus 9 I've had the position. Of recent, not -- not very 9 other things that your office may need? 10 10 long at all; although, I am not afraid to pester A. Well, most of the depositions and experts 11 11 people, so I definitely will. Because I'm cognizant come from the central level. And again, my -- my 12 12 of when pay periods hit, so I -- I know if I can get budget, you know, there's a supplies section. I don't 13 1.3 get to borrow from supplies to pay for depos. It -it approved before the next pay period, that means 14 that it will become effective sooner, so I tend to 14 it's already delineated, so -- or if I -- if I have 15 that power, I don't -- I'm unaware of it. I don't do 15 gently hound people to get them to look at it. Because I see my role as I also advocate for the 16 that. 16 17 lawyers in my office, and if I have good lawyers, I 17 Q. So when you say that the -- so even the 18 18 certainly want to get -- them to get promoted in a pool of money that you're given for your office is 19 timely manner, and I try and advocate for them as much 19 already itemized in terms of how much you can spend on 20 20 21 Q. When you are evaluating the performance of 21 A. Yes. 22 22 Q. Okay. And it's your understanding that any of your lawyers, to what extent do you consider 23 23 that's how it works for every district? their caseload in -- in assessing the quality of their 24 24 A. Yes. work? 25 25 A. Well, it -- it's impossible not to. Q. Okay. How much discretion do you have in

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

2

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 37

setting policy for your office?

A. I feel like I have a fair amount. Although, I'm not -- Joel Elmer was my boss my entire 14 years before I ended up taking his job, so there are district defenders who are more leery about talking to upper management. I am not one of them. So for the most part, when I'm thinking about policy, I want to discuss it with experienced people who -who have been through it or have ideas about it. So I don't know that I've ever implemented a policy that upper management said, "You shouldn't do that. Reverse that." That's never happened to me. But I have a pretty -- I have a pretty comfortable relationship with upper management. I'm not afraid to call anybody. I'm not afraid to ask advice. They

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

5

17

20

21

25

Q. And -- and in your opinion, why are some district defenders leery of speaking with upper

probably say I call them too much.

A. Well, I think there's -- I think there are people who think, like, I want to do it on my own. This is all mine. I'm separate. I mean, I think I've always grown up with the concept -- or grown up -grown up through the law and grown up through the system with the concept that it's -- you know, we're a

Page 39

- 1 always said, as an office, I'm going to -- and it
 - being a big office, I have to. I have to be really
- 3 firm about hours. I have to be really firm about how
 - policies go out, and -- and I -- I really think
- 5 keeping the client in mind -- I think a small office
- 6 to attract attorneys will sometimes weigh to keep you,
- 7 I will give you these breaks, and I don't have the
- 8 option of giving people breaks, because everyone would 9 get a break, so I -- it's complex, and it probably

10 varies office to office.

> But I know in general, there's sort of a feeling of -- there can be disconnect. And that's not true -- I mean, I certainly am friends with DDs in rural offices who have no issue. But for me, it's especially easy, because my boss was -- like, Joel was my boss forever, and I'm very -- I was very comfortable being supervised by him before. I'm very comfortable being supervised by him now. And when I have tough times, I can call him, and he's easy to talk to and he -- he's been there. He ran the Kansas City trial office for 20 years, so --

Q. A couple of other budget questions. Generally speaking, you have 35 attorneys in your office. Do you think that's enough?

A. No. No, I don't.

Page 38

- statewide system; that, you know, our goals are that everyone do well, that everything -- you know, I know
- with Joel and hiring, when he was -- supervised me
- 4 would say, like, well, let the rural office -- you
 - know, people who are candidates in multiple offices,
- 6 let the rural offices choose first because it's much
- 7 harder to fill those spots, and I really -- although,
- 8 I have a lot of turnover, I generally have big pools, 9 and I'm -- I've not had a pool yet where I've closed
- 10 it and reopened, that I've found candidates that I
- 11 thought would be successful in the office. But I do
- 12 think that there are people who -- they want to run
- 13 their own thing, and then I think there are people who
- 14 are -- you know, management wants to push the
- 15 envelope, wants to serve clients better, and I think
- 16 when you're already overwhelmed, people see that as sort of a hostile directive to a certain extent.
- 18 And -- yeah. I mean, we'd have to get deep into
- 19 management theory.

But some of it is also that, you know, people really -- there are managers who really want their lawyers to like them, so they'll choose

- 22 23 friendships with lawyers over they'll choose being
- 24 client centered, or you know, like, oh, we'll let
 - everyone leave at 2:00, or we'll let -- and I have

Page 40

- Q. In your ideal world, how many additional attorneys do you think you would like to have?
 - A. 15 to 20.
- Q. And -- and what's the -- the basis for that number?

A. Well, I think it would get attorneys down to a caseload of the 30 to 40, which I think is really sort of an optimal caseload; and again, it varies depending on type of case. We have a lot of serious cases in this -- in my office, so the -- it -- you know, I -- I don't know if you have a bunch of murders and drive-by shootings and things like that that you should -- you probably shouldn't have more than 25 or 20 -- you know, it's hard to say what that -- exactly what that number is. But when I look at getting people the caseloads, controllable caseloads, that's -- that's a number I would come to.

- Q. And you have four investigators on staff. Is that enough?
- Q. So how many -- how many additional investigators do you think you would need right now for the 35 --
 - A. For the 35?
 - Q. lawyers? Yes.

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

Page 41 Page 43 A. That -- I -- I think -- and I -- I don't 1 to sign, but she really doesn't provide me any 1 2 know about an ideal world. I mean, it would be great 2 administrative support, and it's not that she's not 3 to have an investigator per two attorneys. 3 working. 4 Q. Right. 4 Q. Okay. 5 5 A. Which is a lot more investigators. A. But it's also -- I feel like the things I 6 Q. What do you -- what would you consider a 6 have her doing office-wide are more useful than having 7 7 her for myself, but I -- I don't -- she does -- when reasonable caseload for an investigator? 8 A. Honestly, I -- it's -- that's a hard 8 I'm hiring, she does -- she does arrange interviews, 9 question, because I've never -- we've never even been 9 so she does assist me in that way. 10 10 a stone's throw away from it. Maybe 50 cases as far Q. If you had to guess, and I think you 11 11 as, again, with -- at least the structure I have, alluded to this a little earlier, roughly how much 12 12 investigators, they don't enter on a case when it time would you say you spend on administrative work 13 comes in, so they solely work on attorney requests. 13 versus substantive legal work? 14 So if that structure were the same, which I don't 14 A. 75 percent. I mean, if you count -- I got 15 15 think is an ideal structure, honestly. I think having to make tables when I write reviews. You know, if --16 an investigator assigned to a case with an attorney as 16 if I had someone who could -- a lot of what I do when 17 they come in would be a more ideal structure. If that 17 I review people as far as client contact, that 18 18 were the case, you'd want to keep it to basically the doesn't -- that wouldn't necessarily have to be done 19 19 level of where an attorney is at or maybe twice that by me. It's a -- it's a huge chunk. 20 if they're assigned two attorneys, so maybe 50, 60, 20 Q. All right. How many -- approximately, how 21 21 cases, but it -- obviously, I have -- right now, we many cases per year does your office handle? 22 have about 4100 cases that people are entered in, so 22 A. It varies year to year, and I -- that 23 23 number, I didn't look at. But I feel like we're right my attorneys -- or my investigators potentially, when 2.4 I'm full staff, working on potentially 1,000 cases at 24 around 5,000 cases. 25 the time, which is just -- it's ridiculous. 25 Q. Do you have any sense of roughly what Page 42 Page 44 1 1 Q. If you had the number of investigators that percentage of those are felonies? 2 2 A. The majority of them are felony. We -- we you needed, do you think you would still need your 3 legal assistants? 3 carry not a lot of misdemeanors. We -- I don't even 4 4 A. Yes. The legal assistants -- there's a know if we carry 500 a year. 5 5 little overlap, but they do a completely different Q. And I know you mentioned that you're only 6 6 carrying a couple of probation violation cases right 7 Q. And you have five of them in your office 7 now vourself? 8 8 right now? A. Uh-huh. Q. How many cases would you say you handle per 9 A. Right. 9 10 10 Q. Is -- is that enough? vear? 11 A. No. 11 A. Not more than 100. Maybe 80, 90, something 12 12 Q. How many legal assistants do you -- do you like that. It kind of depends on whatever comes up in 13 13 the division. But that's loose, so please don't -think would be ideal? 14 A. I'd say -- well, fairly similar. I'd say 14 Q. No. I understand. 15 15 an legal assistant to every two lawyers, maybe three A. Don't impeach me with that number. I -- I didn't look at that at all. 16 16 lawyers, something like that. 17 Q. And could you use any additional clerical 17 Q. So I just wanted to ask you a few questions 18 staff? 18 just about procedure, criminal procedure here. At 19 A. Yes. Well, I -- you know, I have an office 19 what point is a defendant generally brought before a 20 manager, so I don't -- I don't have an administrative 20 judge for the first time after arrest? 21 assistant. I don't -- I do all of my own typing. I 21 A. It's usually within a day or two of arrest. 22 22 do all my own faxing. I do all of my own filing. I It's usually -- sometimes it's the next day. I mean, 23 23 do all of my administrative work, with the exception the -- our courts do what they call an initial appearance, which -- initial arraignment daily, so 24 2.4 of the office manager does -- she does -- she helps 25 with bills, like, she codes bills and gives them to me 25 every day, they bring in whoever was arrested, and on

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

Page 45 Page 47 1 Monday, they bring whoever was arrested over the 1 depends on availability of the Court, and sometimes 2 weekend. 2 the state has concerns about their witnesses or when 3 Q. And when does a formal arraignment happen? 3 they can bring them in. Again, 99 percent of our 4 A. A formal first arraignment would happen at 4 cases are grand juried, so the prelim setting is 5 that first court date. 5 really just sort of a --6 Q. Okay. And is bail set at that initial 6 Q. I see. 7 7 hearing? A. -- formal --8 8 Q. So at what point does the indictment A. Yes. 9 Q. Is that -- is bail generally based on a 9 occur -- you're saying it goes to the grand jury at 1.0 10 bail schedule? some point between that initial hearing and the A. It goes judge to judge. In Jackson County, 11 11 whatever --12 12 years ago, a number of people got together and put A. No, not usually. 13 recommended bail amounts on what's called a blue 13 Q. Okay. 14 sheet. It was printed out on blue sheets, and it -- a 14 A. What happens is you go to your first prelim 15 lot of judges follow that, but that was really just 15 setting, the State sends us a list of offers. 16 sort of a bunch of people's best guess. In the last 16 Sometimes there's negotiations; sometimes it -- it can 17 couple years, the Court hired some folks to come in 17 be continued over if you're wanting to engage in a 18 plea agreement early or at that step in the process. 18 and do a risk assessment instrument, which they --19 they put in the -- when someone is brought into jail, 19 And sometimes it gets sent over because we're looking they put it -- the questions are derived from at whether people qualify for a diversion, things like 20 20 21 21 questions asked at booking at the jail, and some that. But if you have a client who says, "I want a 22 judges are better about following the risk assessment 22 trial no matter what," and you request a prelim at 23 23 instrument than others. But it really -that point, the judge would set it over usually about 24 individually, I mean, it's completely whatever the 24 three weeks, depending on what -- what the --2.5 judge -- they also have a bond sheet where the 2.5 sometimes a prosecutor will say, "Well, we're going to Page 46 Page 48 1 prosecutor can write whatever they want on it, so --1 grand jury this." They grand jury every drug case. 2 Q. And the bond sheet is submitted to the 2 There's a whole set. They grand jury every sex case, 3 Court? 3 every murder. There are sets of case they always 4 A. Yes. It's filed usually the same time 4 grand jury, and then there's some -- sometimes they'll 5 the -- the complaint is, and we'll list priors, and 5 have a prelim; sometimes they won't. But for the most 6 there's usually a recommendation. 6 part, they'll request time and tell the Court 7 Q. Can the bail amount be changed after that 7 they're -- they're going to send it to the grand jury. 8 8 point? Q. Does the timing of the prelim, or at least 9 A. Yes. 9 the date that's set out for the prelim depend at all 10 Q. Defendants are entitled to a preliminary 10 on whether the person is in custody? 11 hearing; correct? 11 A. I do think there are some judges whose --12 A. Yes. 12 who do these -- the associate judges who handle this, 13 Q. If a preliminary hearing occurs, when --13 I think there are some who -- I think they pretty 14 when would it occur? 14 standardly set it out, but I don't know for that first 15 A. It would occur -- generally, at that 15 setting if judges do that or not. I know for 16 16 initial appearance setting, the judge will set it out continuances, they often won't continue something 17 for a prelim setting; although, the expectation 17 longer when someone's in custody. That, I don't know. 18 generally is not that a prelim is going to happen 18 Q. Okay. Are there any other kinds of 19 at -- at that time. Clients are unrepresented at that 19 pretrial hearings that generally occur in a -- in a 20 point, so there's often a request for the public 2.0 21 defender to screen, which can we do -- sometimes we do 21 A. Like, preindictment or pre --22 22 in court that day. And then -- so usually the prelim Q. Let's start with preindictment. Sorry. 23 23 setting is set a couple of weeks out, and then if a A. Okay. We'll start with preindictment. So client requests a prelim, it's usually set within a 2.4 24 they have -- the judges will also set things for 25 25 month after that, I would say. It kind of -- it settlement that we've -- and I'm not sure if she's

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

Page 49

- doing this right now, but we've had settlement conferences before they get indicted. So mostly, I
- 3 think because judges sort of want to clear off the
- 4 prelim document and sort of group these people that
- people have indicated might be pleas. And sometimes
- to give people time -- you know, there are people --
- if you -- some people, if they have a DUI, if they get
- 8 their license back, they might get one deal; they
- 9 might get another. If they can pay back restitution,
- they might get one deal; they might get another. Someof it is sometimes giving clients to either make
- restitution payments, do things like that, get medical
- records, things that would be persuasive to the
- prosecutor to change the offer that they are initiallygiving.

16

17

5

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And sometimes the Courts -- historically, they always did. Now, there's -- Missouri has
- judicial time standards, and most judges won't letanything set beyond three months over an associate.
- 20 And then if -- you know, a client can waive, have a
- 21 prelim, be bound over, and -- or be indicted, and then
- they'll go -- they'll be arraigned, and the judges
- 23 will often then -- there's -- in that same division
- 24 where they're doing arraignments, he also has a
- 25 settlement docket, and he'll set cases sometimes by

Page 51

public defenders generally in the room at the initial appearance?

A. It -- it depends how and when it's done,

3

1

2

4

5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

3

9

10

11

12

25

- A. No.Q. No?
- but no. And if they are, they're not attentive to
 what's going on because sometimes they'll have an
 initial appear -- they'll do an initial appearance at
 the beginning of a prelim docket, but usually, public
- 9 the beginning of a prelim docket, but usually, publ 10 def -- if public defender's in the room, they are
- 11 talking to prosecutors, they're talking to their
- client, they're -- they're not at the bench with
- clients. Except, on a rare case, you know, if we have a client who picked up a second case, there's
- sometimes the attorney assigned to his cases will show up.
 - Q. Do you think it would be helpful to have attorneys at initial appearance representing clients at initial appearance?
 - A. I think it's better for the clients.
 - Q. Realistically, would your office be able to staff initial appearances?
 - A. No. I mean, we've tried that, and it is -when you weigh the attorney time versus -- you know, we weren't really getting bond reductions, we really

Page 50

- 1 request, and sometimes it's cases the judge just
- 2 thinks should settle that they will just set, because
- 3 I have attorneys who get really frustrated about,
- 4 well, I -- I just wanted to send it to a trial
 - division, and now, I have an extra appearance. So
- 6 sometimes it's cases they think should settle where
 - they'll put them on a settlement docket before it
- 8 moves. After that, it would move to circuit court,
- 9 and that's when you'd have a pretrial. But the first
 10 pretrial is usually -- that's where you pick a trial
- date, which is generally sometimes six months -- we have judges who will set things out nine months to a year for a trial date.
 - Q. Is that after arraignment?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Is it possible for a defendant to plead guilty at their initial appearance?
 - A. We don't staff it, so I don't know if it -honestly, I don't know if there are people who -it's -- I would hope not, because they're all
 unrepresented. I don't -- I don't know if I've ever
 seen or heard of a lawyer doing that. Certainly, it
 better not be my staff.
 - Q. And -- and is the -- so the -- the defendants are unrepresented at that point. Are the

Page 52

- weren't getting those things. That discontinued
- 2 before I took over supervision. But I -- I've
 - staffed -- as an attorney, I've staffed initial
- 4 appearances before. But I -- I mean, I think it's an
- 5 opportunity for bond. I think it's an opportunity for
- your client to say a bunch of stuff that hurts them.
 I think there's a lot of potentially negative things
- 8 that could happen by being upper -- unrepresented at
 - really any court appearance.
 - Q. So I wanted to ask you a little bit about that. What are some other things that a client could -- could say or do at that initial appearance
- 13 that could be harmful to them?
- A. They could admit facts of what they're charged with, and they do. It's -- all of those -those judges don't have record -- or they don't have
- court reporters, but they do -- they tape it, so
- really, the client could say almost anything. Andthere is a discussion where they set bond, and clients
- 20 will say, "Oh, but I could stay with my sister," or
- 21 there's a discourse that sometimes happen. Sometimes
- not, and it really depends on the judge. But yeah,
- there are clients who could admit facts of their case,give up their right to remain silent.
 - Q. Are -- are there -- that being one of them,

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

4

6

9

18

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 53

are there constitutional rights that -- that a defendant could waive in that proceeding?

A. I suspect there are, and again, I haven't witnessed an initial appearance in some time. But yeah. I mean, there -- there are clients who have said, "I did it." There are clients who make all kinds of admissions, which clearly is a problem.

- Q. And your office is generally appointed at that initial appearance or -- let me -- let me rephrase that.
 - A. Well, right now --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q. Let me rephrase that. At what point in the process is your office generally appointed to the

A. So it varies. Before the last two months, which we'll talk about later. Before the last two months, generally, at that hearing, they -- the judge asked the public defender to screen, so we would screen the clients. And prior to the last -- I guess maybe now it's three months, we would -- anyone who qualified, we'd enter on pretty much at -- you know, we'd take the case, open it, and assign it. Because we've had so many concerns about Rule 4, we -- we are not entering until the Court is appointing us.

Q. What is Rule 4?

Page 55

- overload." Rule 4 also says that if -- you should 1 2
 - stop taking cases if you can't -- if you can't handle
- 3 any more. And in fact, taking on more cases is a
 - conflict of interest for the current clients you have.
- 5 A lot of my attorneys expressed to me -- and I
 - actually ended up having a meeting with every attorney
- 7 individually, and we got to a point where we --
- everyone said, "I can't take more cases," at which 8
 - point I wrote the presiding judge and told him I was
- 10 going to put clients on a postponement list. The
- 11 ethical rules indicate if -- if you are in a spot
- 12 where you can't take more cases, that you should
- 13 postpone representation, which I'm -- I've tried to
- 14 do. Our courts have not -- are upset about us doing
- 15 that and have started to appoint us on -- I think
- 16 we're -- I want to say we're up to 110 appointments. 17 Q. Okay. So I have more questions for you
 - about that.
- 19 A. Sure.
 - Q. The -- the -- when you -- when you mentioned screening the cases --
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. -- that your office is asked to screen the cases, does that mean that you're making indigency determinations --

Page 54

that -- well, there's a series of them. There's .1 dash one. But -- but our main concerns, you know, we've had -- we have an attorney disciplined and -who's on probation, and he was told that caseload didn't matter. Under the rules, we're supposed to do diligence, which means we're supposed to timely work out these cases, which is impossible if you have 100 cases. Communications, which I've already touched on in my reviews. You know, we're supposed to -there's no set amount, but you know, is it a reasonable amount and with promptness? Which none of my lawyers are able to go to the jail promptly or respond to most clients' requests in a -- in a prompt manner. So it also covers competence, getting yourself up to speed on the law, getting yourself -you know, if you get a case that involves shot splatter, you should be able to get yourself up to

A. Rule 4 is -- is the Missouri ethical rule

So we had an attorney disciplined. A number of my attorneys immediately e-mailed me and said, "I can't take more cases. I'm ethically at an

speed and work on that. Most of my lawyers have cases

six, nine months before they're even looking for an

expert. There's a whole host of areas that we're

falling down under Rule 4.

Page 56

- A. Yes.
- Q. -- at that point?

issues with our license.

- A. Right.
- Q. Okay. And you're saying that the -- all of the Rule 4 requirements, to your mind, are implicated in making decisions about how many of those cases you can actually handle or -- or how many of those appointments you can actually accept?

A. Right. Well, I -- at this point, I have every lawyer in my office saying they're at capacity for what they can ethically handle. I -- so for me --I mean, the reason they say they can't handle more cases is because of their ethical obligations under Rule 4. If -- if they enter a case, and they're already at overload, they're in a conflict with their current clients, so I would say by entering a case, I -- I would say that that's when -- at least for the individual attorneys, that's when Rule 4 is -- is -would be triggered. I may be wrong about that. I'm not the ethics guru, but at least, that's at least the approach that we've taken; that right now, we're not going to enter on cases to keep us from being in an ethical conflict, and you know, possibly looking at

Q. Okay. Just a couple of other procedural

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

| Page 57 | | Page 59 |
|---|--|---|
| questions: The once you're appointed to once | 1 | Q. Do you recognize this document? |
| your office is appointed to a case, are your attorneys | 2 | A. Yes. |
| always present for any preliminary hearing if it | 3 | Q. And what is it? |
| happens? | 4 | A. It's one of the local Kansas City policies |
| A. They're not always present for any I | 5 | from our our policies and procedures database. |
| guess maybe trial, but aside from that, no. I mean, | 6 | Q. Okay. And this is an e-mail from |
| it's ideal. They try to be. But if someone is in | 7 | Joel Elmer; correct? |
| trial, and a prelim is set, the judge is not going to | 8 | A. Uh-huh. |
| move a preliminary hearing, so they will get coverage | 9 | Q. And was this sent to all the district |
| from another lawyer. | 10 | defenders? |
| Q. And if coverage is required, does that | 11 | A. No. This was when Joel was the district |
| usually lead to a continuance, or does do those | 12 | defender in Kansas City. It was sent to the lawyers |
| proceedings tend to go forward? | 13 | in the office. |
| A. If it if it's a prelim setting | 14 | Q. Okay. And this is what what was the |
| Q. Right. | 15 | date of this e-mail? |
| A and the state actually brought their | 16 | A. The date is October 13 of 2002. |
| witnesses, it will go forward. | 17 | Q. And if you go to the second third page |
| Q. All right. Is the same true for | 18 | of this document |
| arraignment in circuit court; which is to say, are | 19 | A. Uh-huh. |
| lawyers present for those arraignments? | 20 | Q you'll see a document that is labeled |
| A. Not all the time, no. | 21 | "MSPD Local Office Policy"? |
| Q. Okay. Are there times when would an | 22 | A. Uh-huh. |
| arraignment ever go forward without any attorney | 23 | Q. And what is the effective date of that |
| present? | 24 | pol at the top there? |
| A. I don't believe so. | 25 | A. Oh, five is that a 6/14 of '06. |
| Page 58 | | Page 60 |
| Q. Okay. And it would be the judge that would | 1 | Q. So I do you what is the and and |
| make the decision to not go forward if there was no | 2 | what's the date of it looks like the same date; |
| attorney? | 3 | correct? Of the the e-mail? |
| A. Right. But I I would say that, you | 4 | A. The e-mail, yes. |
| know, we have the arraignment docket has usually | 5 | Q. Right. Is this is this a notice that |
| 20 people on it or more, and we probably have multiple | 6 | was sent out when this policy was created, the the |
| public defenders present, so likely, if we had a | 7 | client contact policy was created, or |
| client there whose attorney was somewhere else, they | 8 | A. Yeah. So in Kansas City, we have our |
| would step in, or attorneys arrange coverage for their | 9 | own or our own policies and procedures database. |
| | 10 | The other one looks like it's the MSPD, the statewide |
| cases all the time. | | policies and procedures database. |
| cases all the time. Q. Okay. | 11 | policies and procedures database. |
| | 11 12 | Q. Okay. |
| Q. Okay. | | |
| Q. Okay. COURT REPORTER: If you get to a stopping | 12 | Q. Okay. |
| Q. Okay. COURT REPORTER: If you get to a stopping point | 12 13 | Q. Okay.A. So my guess is Joel sent out that e-mail, |
| Q. Okay. COURT REPORTER: If you get to a stopping point MR. WILLIAMSON: We can go off the record | 12 13 14 | Q. Okay.A. So my guess is Joel sent out that e-mail,added it to our local database, and then it was later |
| Q. Okay. COURT REPORTER: If you get to a stopping point MR. WILLIAMSON: We can go off the record now. | 12 13 14 15 | Q. Okay. A. So my guess is Joel sent out that e-mail, added it to our local database, and then it was later added to the well, so Joel had sort of been on the forefront, I guess. He he'd had his own policies |
| Q. Okay. COURT REPORTER: If you get to a stopping point MR. WILLIAMSON: We can go off the record now. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 10:17 a.m. | 12 13 14 15 16 | Q. Okay. A. So my guess is Joel sent out that e-mail, added it to our local database, and then it was later added to the well, so Joel had sort of been on the forefront, I guess. He he'd had his own policies |
| Q. Okay. COURT REPORTER: If you get to a stopping point MR. WILLIAMSON: We can go off the record now. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 10:17 a.m. (A brief recess was taken.) | 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q. Okay. A. So my guess is Joel sent out that e-mail, added it to our local database, and then it was later added to the well, so Joel had sort of been on the forefront, I guess. He he'd had his own policies and procedures database before we had a statewide |
| Q. Okay. COURT REPORTER: If you get to a stopping point MR. WILLIAMSON: We can go off the record now. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 10:17 a.m. (A brief recess was taken.) VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, 10:27 a.m. | 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q. Okay. A. So my guess is Joel sent out that e-mail, added it to our local database, and then it was later added to the well, so Joel had sort of been on the forefront, I guess. He he'd had his own policies and procedures database before we had a statewide local one, so I think he added it. I'm not sure of |
| Q. Okay. COURT REPORTER: If you get to a stopping point MR. WILLIAMSON: We can go off the record now. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 10:17 a.m. (A brief recess was taken.) VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, 10:27 a.m. Q. (By Mr. Williamson) Ms. Petsch, I'm going | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q. Okay. A. So my guess is Joel sent out that e-mail, added it to our local database, and then it was later added to the well, so Joel had sort of been on the forefront, I guess. He he'd had his own policies and procedures database before we had a statewide local one, so I think he added it. I'm not sure of the timing of when the local office policy database |
| Q. Okay. COURT REPORTER: If you get to a stopping point — MR. WILLIAMSON: We can go off the record now. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 10:17 a.m. (A brief recess was taken.) VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, 10:27 a.m. Q. (By Mr. Williamson) Ms. Petsch, I'm going to hand you a document that I'm going to mark as | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q. Okay. A. So my guess is Joel sent out that e-mail, added it to our local database, and then it was later added to the well, so Joel had sort of been on the forefront, I guess. He he'd had his own policies and procedures database before we had a statewide local one, so I think he added it. I'm not sure of the timing of when the local office policy database started, but my guess is that's a discrepancy. Q. Okay. |
| Q. Okay. COURT REPORTER: If you get to a stopping point MR. WILLIAMSON: We can go off the record now. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 10:17 a.m. (A brief recess was taken.) VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, 10:27 a.m. Q. (By Mr. Williamson) Ms. Petsch, I'm going to hand you a document that I'm going to mark as Exhibit 1 for identification. | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. Okay. A. So my guess is Joel sent out that e-mail, added it to our local database, and then it was later added to the well, so Joel had sort of been on the forefront, I guess. He he'd had his own policies and procedures database before we had a statewide local one, so I think he added it. I'm not sure of the timing of when the local office policy database started, but my guess is that's a discrepancy. Q. Okay. |
| Q. Okay. COURT REPORTER: If you get to a stopping point MR. WILLIAMSON: We can go off the record now. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 10:17 a.m. (A brief recess was taken.) VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, 10:27 a.m. Q. (By Mr. Williamson) Ms. Petsch, I'm going to hand you a document that I'm going to mark as Exhibit 1 for identification. (Petsch Exhibit 1 was marked for | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. Okay. A. So my guess is Joel sent out that e-mail, added it to our local database, and then it was later added to the well, so Joel had sort of been on the forefront, I guess. He he'd had his own policies and procedures database before we had a statewide local one, so I think he added it. I'm not sure of the timing of when the local office policy database started, but my guess is that's a discrepancy. Q. Okay. A. There was a point when they wanted to move |

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

| | Page 61 | | Page 63 |
|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | Q. Okay. Thank you. | 1 | have clients coming up to trial, you're seeing them |
| 2 | Now, you've talked a bit about client | 2 | more, you're wanting information, you're doing the |
| 3 | contact already. | 3 | investigation that you should have been doing all |
| 4 | A. Uh-huh. | 4 | along; and some clients are okay with that, and some |
| 5 | Q. And you mentioned that your lawyers are not | 5 | clients, you've already broken it. You've broken what |
| 6 | able to meet with the MSPD requirement of not | 6 | should be an attorney-client relationship, and you |
| 7 | always able to meet the MSPD requirement with respect | 7 | will probably never repair that. So then you your |
| 8 | to client contact; correct? | 8 | client then won't trust you when you say an offer is |
| 9 | A. Correct. | 9 | good. They won't trust you when you say, I talked to |
| 10 | Q. When that client contact does occur, where | 10 | this witness, and they're saying something contrary to |
| 11 | does it usually occur? | 11 | what you think you're saying. They just stop |
| 12 | A. We have what we called our qualifying | 12 | believing you, and that happens all the time. |
| 13 | contact, so if someone's in jail, it should occur in | 13 | Q. Have you ever had such an experience |
| 14 | jail. If you have someone out of custody, it can be | 14 | yourself in in any cases that you were handling? |
| 15 | by phone, by letter, office meeting. | 15 | A. It's been a while since I've handled trial |
| 16 | Q. And are those meetings confidential? | 16 | cases, so I'm thinking back. Sure, yes. I've |
| 17 | A. They likely should be. I don't I | 17 | definitely had clients who were you know, you said |
| 18 | it's almost impossible to have a confidential meeting | 18 | you'd be here next week, and it's just sort of fed |
| 19 | at the jail. The jail is not set up in such a way | 19 | into that level of distrust. |
| 20 | that they have some attorney rooms. Some of them | 20 | Q. And you testified earlier that part of why |
| 21 | are permanently locked. They're difficult to get to. | 21 | you stopped taking trial cases was that you felt like |
| 22 | But most of the time, you have a meeting in a room | 22 | you couldn't provide the kind of representation that |
| 23 | close to this size. The jail has professional | 23 | you thought was necessary? |
| 24 | visitation, but it's very rare that you're in a | 24 | A. Right. |
| 25 | professional visitation room alone, so | 25 | Q. Would that include communication with |
| | Page 62 | | Page 64 |
| 1 | Q. So those conversations tend to be within | 1 | clients? |
| 2 | earshot of other people? | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | 0 0 |
| 4 | | ~ | Q. Okay. |
| | Q. So without getting into specifics or or | 4 | Q. Okay.A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, |
| 5 | Q. So without getting into specifics or or compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you | | • |
| | | 4 5 6 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, |
| 5 6 7 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was | 4 5 6 7 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I |
| 5 6 7 8 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of | 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? | 4 5 6 7 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of the problem is intangible, so if you're seeing a | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. Q. And this was an experienced attorney; correct? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of the problem is intangible, so if you're seeing a client regularly, you're building client relationship, | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. Q. And this was an experienced attorney; correct? A. Yes. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of the problem is intangible, so if you're seeing a client regularly, you're building client relationship, they trust you, you you come back when you say | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. Q. And this was an experienced attorney; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You just mentioned investigation. I |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of the problem is intangible, so if you're seeing a client regularly, you're building client relationship, they trust you, you you come back when you say you're going to come back, you follow through when you | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. Q. And this was an experienced attorney; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You just mentioned investigation. I understand this may be a little hard to to |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of the problem is intangible, so if you're seeing a client regularly, you're building client relationship, they trust you, you you come back when you say you're going to come back, you follow through when you say you're going to, and if you're unable to do those | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. Q. And this was an experienced attorney; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You just mentioned investigation. I understand this may be a little hard to to quantify, so I'll ask you to just do the best you can. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of the problem is intangible, so if you're seeing a client regularly, you're building client relationship, they trust you, you you come back when you say you're going to come back, you follow through when you say you're going to, and if you're unable to do those things, there's a lot of there there's a lot of | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. Q. And this was an experienced attorney; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You just mentioned investigation. I understand this may be a little hard to to quantify, so I'll ask you to just do the best you can. But it in what fraction or percentage of of the |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of the problem is intangible, so if you're seeing a client regularly, you're building client relationship, they trust you, you you come back when you say you're going to come back, you follow through when you say you're going to, and if you're unable to do those things, there's a lot of there there's a lot of mistrust with our clients and a government lawyer | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. Q. And this was an experienced attorney; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You just mentioned investigation. I understand this may be a little hard to to quantify, so I'll ask you to just do the best you can. But it in what fraction or percentage of of the cases that come into your office do your attorneys |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of the problem is intangible, so if you're seeing a client regularly, you're building client relationship, they trust you, you you come back when you say you're going to come back, you follow through when you say you're going to, and if you're unable to do those things, there's a lot of there there's a lot of mistrust with our clients and a government lawyer anyway, so that is always on the table. And most of | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. Q. And this was an experienced attorney; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You just mentioned investigation. I understand this may be a little hard to to quantify, so I'll ask you to just do the best you can. But it in what fraction or percentage of of the cases that come into your office do your attorneys interview all of the necessary witnesses? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of the problem is intangible, so if you're seeing a client regularly, you're building client relationship, they trust you, you you come back when you say you're going to come back, you follow through when you say you're going to, and if you're unable to do those things, there's a lot of there there's a lot of mistrust with our clients and a government lawyer anyway, so that is always on the table. And most of my attorneys sort of well, triage their cases. So | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. Q. And this was an experienced attorney; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You just mentioned investigation. I understand this may be a little hard to to quantify, so I'll ask you to just do the best you can. But it in what fraction or percentage of of the cases that come into your office do your attorneys interview all of the necessary witnesses? A. I I really it would really be a stab, |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of the problem is intangible, so if you're seeing a client regularly, you're building client relationship, they trust you, you you come back when you say you're going to come back, you follow through when you say you're going to, and if you're unable to do those things, there's a lot of there there's a lot of mistrust with our clients and a government lawyer anyway, so that is always on the table. And most of my attorneys sort of well, triage their cases. So they're working on the cases that are coming to trial. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. Q. And this was an experienced attorney; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You just mentioned investigation. I understand this may be a little hard to to quantify, so I'll ask you to just do the best you can. But it in what fraction or percentage of of the cases that come into your office do your attorneys interview all of the necessary witnesses? A. I I really it would really be a stab, but |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of the problem is intangible, so if you're seeing a client regularly, you're building client relationship, they trust you, you you come back when you say you're going to come back, you follow through when you say you're going to, and if you're unable to do those things, there's a lot of there there's a lot of mistrust with our clients and a government lawyer anyway, so that is always on the table. And most of my attorneys sort of well, triage their cases. So they're working on the cases that are coming to trial. They have a whole host of people who have trials nine | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. Q. And this was an experienced attorney; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You just mentioned investigation. I understand this may be a little hard to to quantify, so I'll ask you to just do the best you can. But it in what fraction or percentage of of the cases that come into your office do your attorneys interview all of the necessary witnesses? A. I I really it would really be a stab, but Q. Would you say |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of the problem is intangible, so if you're seeing a client regularly, you're building client relationship, they trust you, you you come back when you say you're going to come back, you follow through when you say you're going to, and if you're unable to do those things, there's a lot of there there's a lot of mistrust with our clients and a government lawyer anyway, so that is always on the table. And most of my attorneys sort of well, triage their cases. So they're working on the cases that are coming to trial. They have a whole host of people who have trials nine months out who they're virtually ignoring. You know, | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. Q. And this was an experienced attorney; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You just mentioned investigation. I understand this may be a little hard to to quantify, so I'll ask you to just do the best you can. But it in what fraction or percentage of of the cases that come into your office do your attorneys interview all of the necessary witnesses? A. I I really it would really be a stab, but Q. Would you say A. I'm guessing I'm guessing people don't |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of the problem is intangible, so if you're seeing a client regularly, you're building client relationship, they trust you, you you come back when you say you're going to come back, you follow through when you say you're going to, and if you're unable to do those things, there's a lot of there there's a lot of mistrust with our clients and a government lawyer anyway, so that is always on the table. And most of my attorneys sort of well, triage their cases. So they're working on the cases that are coming to trial. They have a whole host of people who have trials nine months out who they're virtually ignoring. You know, they're not seeing consistently. They're calling all | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. Q. And this was an experienced attorney; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You just mentioned investigation. I understand this may be a little hard to to quantify, so I'll ask you to just do the best you can. But it in what fraction or percentage of of the cases that come into your office do your attorneys interview all of the necessary witnesses? A. I I really it would really be a stab, but Q. Would you say A. I'm guessing I'm guessing people don't interview all the necessary witnesses unless they're |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | compromising any attorney-client privilege, are you aware of any situations where the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was was hampered by their inability the lawyer's inability to communicate consistently with the client? A. Yes well, I I mean, it a lot of the problem is intangible, so if you're seeing a client regularly, you're building client relationship, they trust you, you you come back when you say you're going to come back, you follow through when you say you're going to, and if you're unable to do those things, there's a lot of there there's a lot of mistrust with our clients and a government lawyer anyway, so that is always on the table. And most of my attorneys sort of well, triage their cases. So they're working on the cases that are coming to trial. They have a whole host of people who have trials nine months out who they're virtually ignoring. You know, | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Well, the clients I eventually handed over, I hadn't seen in three or four months, and I I really with the best of intentions thought I was going to see them, and then Q. And this A and everything else filled that time. Q. And this was an experienced attorney; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. You just mentioned investigation. I understand this may be a little hard to to quantify, so I'll ask you to just do the best you can. But it in what fraction or percentage of of the cases that come into your office do your attorneys interview all of the necessary witnesses? A. I I really it would really be a stab, but Q. Would you say A. I'm guessing I'm guessing people don't |

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

Page 67 Page 65 1 Q. Why is that? 1 can't even say that they interviewed every necessary 2 witness in those trials. Now, we have a number of 2 A. Because if -- there's no better way to 3 trials that either get dismissed or get pled the day 3 impeach someone if they change their statement, and 4 people change their statements all the time. 4 of trial, so if I were to presume that they were 5 5 interviewing every necessary witness, which I can't be Q. Do you think there is sufficient money 6 6 100 percent sure of, you know, that's, like, one available for depositions if your lawyers were able to 7 7 take more of them? percent. 8 Q. How often do your attorneys depose 8 A. That's really an upper level call. I mean, 9 witnesses? 9 I've not been refused a deposition. I've not -- I've 10 10 A. I'm told we depose them more than other not had an attorney refuse a deposition in my 11 offices, but I don't -- I don't think it's more than experience in management. I -- I don't -- I -- that 11 12 12 would be a -- you know, Kathy Leer question. How many five percent of our cases. 13 Q. Is that because attorneys don't have time 13 depositions can the -- if everybody took them, how 14 to depose witnesses? 14 many could the system absorb? I have no idea. 15 A. Well, I think it's -- yeah. Part of triage 15 Q. Okay. Got it. 16 that they don't have time, or by the time -- you know, 16 How often would you say that your lawyers 17 there's a game that's played, so you talk to the 17 visit crime scenes? 18 18 State, and you want them to produce people, and the A. Not -- I wouldn't say often. I mean, I 19 19 definitely encourage people to go, and certainly, if State says, "Oh, we have these people. We'll produce 20 them." And then you get closer and closer to a trial 20 they're in trial. But again, like I said, we're 21 21 trying less than one percent of our cases, and I setting, and then sometimes, they'll say, "Oh, we 22 can't find them. You'll have to do it," and then -- I 22 don't -- I don't know that every lawyer -- when I 23 mean, you have to give them -- you have -- there's a 23 second chair lawyers, I insist on it. I've drug them 2.4 seven-day notice requirement, and if you want 24 out to all kinds of places, but they -- I don't think 25 25 paperwork, there's a ten-day notice requirement. You every lawyer goes to visit a crime scene in every Page 66 Page 68 1 you start pushing up to your trial dates. And again, 1 trial. 2 2 I mean, it's not as if the attorneys are doing nothing Q. Is that one of the things that you tried to 3 while their -- you know, they're in court or --3 do when you were handling a trial docket? 4 they're very busy. These dates sneak up on people all 4 A. Yes. 5 5 the time, yes. And so then they get to a point Q. Was it difficult to do that on all your 6 where -- I mean, strategically, if the State waits, 6 cases? 7 they can control whether you take a depo or not just 7 A. On every case, yeah. It was impossible to 8 by the timing of it and if they can sort of -- and $\mbox{\it I}$ 8 do on every case. But yes, I -- I definitely --9 have attorneys who notice it up, but it also means --9 there's a lot to be learned by going to a crime scene. 10 10 noticing up a depo means you have to serve them, so it Q. To what extent do your lawyers depend on 11 means you have to find them. Hopefully, the discovery 11 investigators to identify witnesses, for example? 12 12 response is adequate. We get a lot of discovery A. Identify them? 13 13 responses that the -- all these witnesses live at the Q. Identify -- to -- to find witnesses that 14 Jackson County Prosecutor's Office, and -- and then 14 are not --15 it's -- you have to hope that they'll produce folks. 15 A. Okav. 16 16 So there's a lot of logistics to even getting a Q. -- mentioned in the police report. 17 person -- having a depo set and getting a person that 17 A. Probably to some -- investigators generally 18 takes time and energy and advanced notice and advanced 18 do what is requested. So, you know, if you meet with 19 preparation. 19 a client who says, "Here are these witnesses. Find 20 Q. How important do you think depositions are 20 them" -- I mean, I certainly would recommend that 21 to a case, criminal case? 21 investigators talk to the client, because a lot of 2.2 A. I would -- given my druthers, I would have 22 times, directions are, like, you know, the yellow 23 23 deposed every witness in every trial I ever had, and I house down the street from this liquor store or

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

Fax: 314.644.1334

24

25

that possibility.

would encourage every lawyer to do that if they have

24

25

something. But I think -- I -- there are

investigators who do, while interviewing a witness,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 69

uncover that there are other witnesses or there's someone in the house that they would sort of on their own follow through, but I would say that's a very small number.

Q. So do investigators generally talk to -interview clients without the lawyer present?

A. I don't know about generally. They certainly can -- you know, no lawyer has ever said, you can't -- and I certainly -- when I was busy would say, "Oh, well" -- you know, an investigator would say, "I went here, this didn't work out." And I'm like, "Well, just go and talk to the client yourself and see what they have to say about that."

Q. Okay. So generally speaking, do attorneys in your office have the time and resources to investigate cases in the way that you think is required?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Q. And -- and is that opinion based on your personal experience?

A. My personal -- my personal experience as a lawyer and my personal experience of supervising and reviewing and looking at the work that my own lawyers

Q. Okay. Again, without getting into any

Page 71

Sometimes they haven't -- you know, they don't have a closing written out. They have -- you know, there's -- there's other areas on that as well.

Q. How frequently do attorneys in your office request discovery from the State?

A. Well, we always file a general request at arraignment time, which is what the rules say, and then I have other -- you know, I have other lawyers who will file further requests. I have lawyers who move for sanctions. I have lawyers who, you know, will ask for a bill of particulars, which I think falls somewhat in line with the discovery request, but probably not to the level -- I mean, there's also a lot of informal, do you have this, do you -- can you get this? And they're, oh, yeah, well, that's -- you didn't get that -- I mean, there's a lot of sort of informal talking with the State about what people do and don't have. But the -- the number of motions to compel, I wouldn't say it's a significant number, but there are definitely lawyers who -- some lawyers who do file them.

Q. Okay. I want to talk just a little bit about expert witnesses, so you testified earlier that -- I believe you testified that often, attorneys don't realize they need an expert until late in the

Page 70

Page 72

specifics, are you aware of cases in which the representation of the client by an attorney in your office was -- was hampered by their inability to investigate a case sufficiently?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you think that happens regularly?

A. Depends what your definition of "regularly" is. I would say -- and if we're just purely talking investigate -- you know, when someone goes to trial, there's -- you know, investigation is an aspect, talking to people, and then there's the prep that comes out of the investigation or getting exhibits that you otherwise wouldn't have. I would say that that happens regularly, that -- that attorneys go to trial not fully prepared.

The investigation aspect of it, I would

probably say maybe ten percent, five to ten percent, somewhere in there if I were to give a rough estimate, and that's really just from talking to team leaders to say, like, "Oh, so-and-so hadn't talked to this person." It -- it's more feedback when I have team leaders who are like, I'm second chairing this person in trial, and I'm somewhat alarmed by the -- the lack of level of preparation, both investigation-wise, and sometimes they haven't developed a theory of the case. case?

A. Right.

Q. How often do you think that occurs? And again, I understand that you can't quantify.

A. Right. Well, it's hard, because usually, you already have to be trial bound. And again, a lot of -- if you knew you needed an expert sooner, more cases might be trial bound. If -- and so this is only the cases that are already trial bound that you'll meet with someone, and you'll talk about -- you'll be looking at the case with them. And I know more than once, I've -- we've continued cases because it's like, well, maybe you need an eyewitnesss ID expert. Maybe -- you know, maybe that's something you should look at.

And with newer attorneys, some of them don't even know that's possible. They're just, I'm going to -- I didn't -- oh, I didn't realize. And then, you know, there's a scramble to find one. Experts are difficult to match up their availability with whatever the care -- Court has already set or getting the Court to set something or continue it to that availability. So I don't know the number we miss because people aren't spotting the issue that it's a possibility. But I've definitely had, in the last

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

2.5

Page 73

year, at least two people that I talked to about, as
they -- I'm like, "Oh, tell me about your trial case."

And as we're talking about it, "Well, have you
considered this?" "Oh." It definitely happens. I
can only -- and I don't -- it would be more of -- I
quess I'd have to talk to my team leaders about how

many times they push people toward experts.

- Q. And those requests go through the central office?
- A. Right. Well, they -- they get prove -- approved at a preliminary level by myself, and then generally, Ellen Blaugh approves most of the -- I think the experts. But there were certain people, we have a -- our training director has done a lot of eyewitness, so there are certain people that you talk to if you have certain issues or are helpful pointing you towards experts.
- Q. Do you have a sense of over the course of a year, how many experts are retained by by your office, generally?
- A. I key -- I keep a spreadsheet, but it -- it also -- it includes all the experts, so it includes court reporters and interpreters and people that we use all the time.
 - Q. I see.

2.4

2.0

2.3

2.4

Page 75

- A. You mean, like, housing and things like that?
 - Q. Correct.
 - A. So some of our investigators end up doing -- you know, they'll have a -- a connection at the Salvation Army, they'll have something like that. Years ago, we -- well, we had a alternative sentencing expert, who I believe is a master's in social work, who I don't -- I don't -- I was -- I didn't supervise -- I wasn't the supervisor at the office at the time. I don't think he was technically on staff. He was supposed to be shared by a region, which -- and you could get him to write reports, you know, to make arguments to the judge, and we have nothing like that any more; which for the attorneys that used them, and again, that was a smaller number of people who were aware -- aware and planned ahead to use that resource, they were very disappointed when, money-wise, he got
 - Q. Okay. You mentioned interpreters. Are interpreters generally provided by the Court?
 - A. When you're in court.
 - Q. When you're not in court, do lawyers have access to interpreters in order to communicate with their clients?

Page 74

- A. So I don't -- I couldn't tell you, like, specialized experts. I mean, I could go back and look at the spreadsheet and see when entries were made. But also, I get a lot of requests that people don't actually use the expert, because they'll get an offer, and then they'll plead it without ever ac -- actually engaging and using those funds.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. But true experts, not as many as you would think with the number of cases.
- Q. And would you say there are instances where an attorney will decide not to pursue an expert because they just don't have the time?
 - A. I believe that happens, yes.
- Q. And would the failure to retain an expert be included in the -- in the sort of list of things you mentioned that hamper representation by your attorneys?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You mentioned that you don't have any social workers on staff: correct?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. Are there resources available for attorneys to assist them in in locating resources for their clients?

Page 76

- A. We hire them, so yes. I mean, they have to request funds, and they have to set up a meeting, and they have to -- but yes. They do have interpreters, provided you can find an interpreter in the -- I mean, we have some languages where we really struggle to even find an interpreter to communicate.
 - Q. What's the most common foreign language that you encounter?
 - A. Spanish.
 - Q. And what are the other, if you can think of them, the other languages that tend to A. Well, in sign language, we have client --
 - we've definitely hired sign language. Vietnamese, we had a client who diga (phonetic). So Kansas City has a pretty there's a lot of people from a lot of countries. I had a Korean for example, I had a Korean client, and the interpreter we found was really bad, and I lucked out in that I had a law student that summer who was born in Korea and spoke Korean fluently and would tell me how horrible the interpreters were. Because there's interpreters, and then there's certified interpreters, none of whom a lot of the —
- they don't understand legal terms. There's a lot of -- so you can hire someone and still have them not
- be very good. I know for trial dates, the Court was

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

Page 77 Page 79 plan -- flying in someone from DC and somewhere else 1 1 in the -- the -- the rate at which those requests are 2 so that -- that we had qualified interpreters for 2 being approved in -- in recent months? 3 those languages, but there's a variety of -- of 3 A. No. 4 languages. Spanish, again, is the most prevalent. 4 Q. Okay. 5 Q. Are you aware of any cases where you needed 5 A. I don't think so. 6 an interpreter, but you weren't able to get one? 6 Q. Okay. On average, how frequently would you 7 7 A. Well, I think we've always gotten someone say your attorneys file suppression motions in their 8 to be able to show up. A lot of people have question 8 9 as to how the interpreter -- whether what they were 9 A. I think they file them pretty regularly on 10 saying was actually being interpreted or not. 10 cases that are in the process of going to trial. I Q. Okay. You said that you -- you can't 11 don't -- there are people who spot suppression issues 11 12 12 that they think are sort of definitive for the case, recall ever having a request for a deposition turned 13 down for money reasons? 13 you know, either suppressed, and you have a case --14 A. Not that I recall, no. 14 you have an issue for trial or you don't. 1.5 Q. Are there other requests that you can 15 Q. So when you say they're filed -- often 16 recall having been denied for resource reasons? 16 filed in cases that are headed for trial, does that 17 17 mean that the suppression motions are generally not 18 18 Q. What kinds of requests might you get a filed early on in the case? 19 19 A. Yes. denial on? 20 A. So I've been denied on forensic testing 20 Q. Okay. And do you think that's because 21 because they -- our IT department has gone through 21 lawyers don't realize early in the case that a 22 different incarcerate -- incarcerations --22 suppression motion might be appropriate, or are there 23 different -- different staffings and people with -- so 23 other reasons? 24 I've had people ask for sort of forensic -- computer 24 A. Yeah. I think sometimes it's a -- they 2.5 forensic stuff or phone forensics, and they've said, 2.5 just haven't looked at all the discovery and watched Page 78 Page 80 1 "use in-house people" or things along those lines. 1 all the dash cam. You know, some of it -- I mean, 2 2 But you have to craft your requests very specifically, usually, a police report is written in a way that is 3 so I've definitely had people turned down because they 3 more positive -- or what the police -- police 4 didn't craft it correctly, or they couldn't -- and 4 officer's version of events are. And then to have --5 then I've also had people where -- but in -- in 5 take the time to watch the video and listen to how 6 general, I -- my feeling is that MSPD tries to get you 6 things are actually said. Because the police officer 7 the resources that they can, but they are going to try 7 will just say, "he consented," and then it's like, 8 8 to do it in the most economical way possible, so -well, but how was the timing of consent? How did --9 you know, there's a list of court reporters, and we're 9 you know, sometimes that -- and -- and also sometimes 10 10 supposed to call the cheapest one first, which can be getting air tapes, because that matters too. So those 11 really -- my -- my lawyers probably -- one of those 11 levels of investigation are the details, especially on 12 things that people complain about -- upper management 12 something like suppression where, is there consent, 13 about because it is -- it can be very time-consuming 13 how did that happen, the time line is very important. 14 to go through a list of three people, when you kind of 14 I think attorneys just don't have time to dig into the discovery until they have this pressure 15 know that this court reporter is always available or 15 16 something along those lines. 16 of a trial sort of looming on them. I mean, there are 17 Q. And -- and do attorneys in your office 17 judges who set deadlines, as far as suppression 18 receive any guidance about when it's appropriate to 18 motions should be filed by this date, and I --19 make some sort of expense request, given the budgetary 19 attorneys will then use that as their deadline if they 20 20 think they're going that route. 21 A. Well, I encourage people to request -- I --21 Q. And is -- is the same generally true for 2.2 22 I don't really factor budget into it. I tell people other pretrial motions? 23 to request -- if you think it's necessary for a case, 23 A. Yes. 24 24 I'll tell them to request it. Q. Let me rephrase. Are -- how frequently 25 25 Q. And have you -- have you noticed any change would you say your attorneys file other pretrial

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

| | Page 81 | | Page 83 |
|----------------|--|------|--|
| 1 | motions aside from suppression motions? | 1 | DUI, so maybe one. |
| 2 | A. I'd say the bulk of them are filed in the | 2 | Q. Okay. And how about felony sex offenses? |
| 3 | month of trial or the month before. I mean, there are | 3 | How often do those go to trial? |
| 4 | some exceptions, but those are exceptions. | 4 | A. More frequently. |
| 5 | Q. And when you were handling your own cases, | 5 | Q. Okay. And those are A, B felonies; right? |
| 6 | was the same true for you in terms of the timing of | 6 | A. Usually, yeah. I mean, we do have some, |
| 7 | filing? | 7 | you know, child pornography there's some stuff that |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | are, but for the most part, they are serious, or in |
| 9 | Q. Again, without getting into any kind of | 9 | Missouri, they're unclassified, which is |
| 10 | specifics or asking you to — not asking you to | 10 | Q. Okay. To your knowledge, has an attorney |
| 11 | compromise any attorney-client privilege, can you | 11 | in your office ever waived an opening or closing |
| 12 | think of any instances where representation by one of | 12 | argument? |
| 13 | your attorneys was was hampered by their inability | 13 | A. I'm not aware of anyone who has waived a |
| 14 | to file necessary motions, pretrial motions? | 14 | closing argument. I do believe I've had attorneys |
| 15 | A. Yes. Well, that's a common client | 15 | waive opening argument before. They're supposed to |
| 16 | complaint, I mean, when clients call. Clients have a | 16 | let me know if they're doing that, because I |
| 17 | lot of ideas about what you should file. Some of them | 17 | discourage that, but I think there are attorneys who |
| 18 | are on point; some of them are not. But there's a lot | 18 | have done that, yes. |
| 19 | of and even sometimes bond motions. Filing motions | 19 | Q. Is that something that you ever did when |
| 20 | indicates the client as a tangible sort of evidence | 20 | you in your in your trial practice days? |
| 21 | that you're you're fighting that your you are | 21 | A. Never. I've never waived an opening or a |
| 22 | lawyering for that client. And if the client is not | 22 | closing. |
| 23 | seeing any of that for months and months, it certainly | 23 | Q. So in your opinion, do you believe that the |
| 24 | affects the relationship. | 24 | attorneys in your office have the time and resources |
| 25 | Q. And to the extent that your lawyers are not | 25 | to prepare adequately for trial? |
| | | | |
| | Page 82 | | Page 84 |
| 1 | filing necessary pretrial motions, would you say that | 1 | A. No. |
| 2 | that's because they don't have the time or resources | 2 | Q. Do you believe that they have adequate |
| 3 | to make that happen? | 3 | resources and time to prepare for sentencings? |
| 4 | A. Yes. | 4 | A. No. |
| 5 | Q. You said that your office took 59 cases to | 5 | Q. What kind of work might go into preparing |
| 6 | trial last year? | 6 | for a sentencing in an ideal case? |
| 7 | A. Last in '16. I think we're we're | 7 | A. An ideal case? Well, I mean, there are |
| 8 | down I have two people in trial. I think we're at | 8 | sentencing experts. I think bringing in an sentencing |
| 9 | around 49, 48, something, this year, and that's | 9 | expert would be ideal. I mean, I would do that every |
| 10 | adult I mean, that doesn't include our juvenile | 10 | time if the resources were available and and you |
| 11 | trial, which is a bench trial. | 11 | could do that. I think developing a sentencing |
| 12 | Q. Uh-huh. | 12 | memorandum. I know a lot of federal public defenders |
| 13 | A. But and that's only jury trials. We | 13 | will do video, which we I don't I don't have a |
| 14 | don't do a lot of bench trials. But 59 in 2016, | 14 | single lawyer who has ever done that. But also, you |
| 15 | correct. | 15 | know, contacting witnesses that can talk about your |
| 16 | Q. And are those mostly A, B felonies? | 16 | client's background, that can bring a different |
| 17 | A. Mostly, but we certainly have tried some | 17 | perspective to the jury, getting to them ahead of |
| 18 | C and D, and actually, we get the best results out of | 18 | time, subpoenaing them, having time to really develop |
| 19 | C and D felonies, but we don't try very many of them. | 19 | what they would actually say. |
| 20 | Q. How often to you go to trial on | 20 | Q. And how much of that work tends to actually |
| 21 | misdemeanors? | 21 | happen on a among your your lawyers? |
| 22 | A I don't know I don't know if we tried a | 1 22 | A Manufittle |
| | A. I don't know I don't know if we tried a | 22 | A. Very little. |
| 23 | misdemeanor case this year or last year. We had a | 23 | Q. And would you say that there have been |
| 23 24 25 | | | - |

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

Page 85 Page 87 1 to -- to prepare for the sentencing adequately? 1 Q. Are -- are they instructed to do that, as 2 A. Yes. 2 far as you know? 3 Q. And you believe that some of your clients 3 A. I don't -- I don't know about instructed, 4 4 but I -- I certainly, you know, don't encourage could have gotten better outcomes had their attorneys 5 5 been able to prepare? pressuring your client to plead on a case you've done 6 A. Yeah. Obviously, that's -- that's a 6 no work on. 7 7 Q. Okay. prediction, but I think especially when we have jury 8 8 sentencing, and we have the ability to -- I think you A. And I -- I -- I have -- I have what's 9 have a lot of opportunity -- a lot of judges kind of 9 called a first-time felon policy for -- that 10 10 attorneys, before they plead someone to a felony who will decide this case deserves this, or that deserves 11 is not already a felon, they have to actually meet 11 that. But when you have jury sentencing, I think 12 12 those witnesses really matter. with me and talk to me about what work they've done. 13 Q. You talked a little bit earlier about 13 And the Courts hate it, and the judges complain about 14 guilty pleas or offers for plea deals. How much time 14 me micromanaging and the prosecutors, everybody hates 15 15 would you say your lawyers spend working on a case it. Although, for me, I like it. As a manager, I 16 before advising a client about whether or not to plead 16 like to see what -- what people are doing and how 17 17 they're evaluating cases. But I also think becoming a 18 18 A. Well, that would vary. But I can tell you, felon is a life-changing event, and it's pretty 19 the State e-mails us the offers before prelim, 19 important. In an ideal world, I'd meet with every 20 probably sometimes before our attorneys have met with 20 lawyer before they plead anyone to any felony to have 21 21 an idea of what they were doing on cases. I clients. At the prelim docket, the judge will ask on 22 the record what the offer is, so I assume most 22 personally don't have time to do that. 23 23 Q. Is that -attorneys are conveying a plea offer their initial 2.4 A. So -meeting. One, because it's there, and they feel some 24 2.5 legal obligation. And two, if they don't, and the 2.5 Q. Sorry. Go ahead. Page 86 Page 88 1 judge springs it on your client for the first time in 1 A. Go ahead. No. That's fine. 2 2 court, your client is going to think you withheld Q. Once that initial plea offer is made by the 3 something. So whether they even -- I mean, they're 3 prosecutor's office, do your lawyers tend -- tend to 4 obligated to convey plea offers, but -- especially in 4 take steps to negotiate plea deals? 5 Jackson County, the -- what is the offer is very 5 A. I don't think they negotiate before having 6 central to what our Courts expect. 6 a conversation with the client, but I think 7 7 negotiation some -- is, at times, done at that level, Q. And -- and do the prosecutors e-mail you 8 8 those orders in all types of cases, or are we talking yes, or that stage. 9 9 Q. So assuming they've spoken to the client, 10 10 A. I would say all types -- I mean, they just there may be some plea negotiation that happens prior 11 go through the docket sheet, and they give you a list. 11 to any investigation in the case? 12 12 Now, some serious case, they'll write "no offer," or A. Yes. I think that happens a fair amount. 13 13 something like that. But I mean, they -- they all get I mean, it was one of the reasons I instituted the 14 personally e-mailed to me, which I then send out to 14 policy, is that I felt a lot of people were pleading 15 the office. But it's -- it generally -- most types of 15 people early on without any sense of -- you know, we 16 16 cases. Some serious -- at a prelim docket, you're have police officers get disciplined all the time. We 17 probably not going to get a sex case offer, you're 17 have witnesses that dis -- without any sense of 18 probably not going to get a murder offer, things like 18 whether the State could actually make their case. 19 that. But you're going to get -- the felonies 19 Q. I'm going to hand you a document that I'm 20 might -- and most misdemeanors, I would -- yeah. 20 finally marking as Exhibit 2. 21 Q. And do you -- is it your understanding that 21 (Petsch Exhibit 2 was marked for 22 22 when those offers are conveyed by your lawyers of -identification.) 23 23 that they are explaining to clients that they have not Q. Do you recognize that document? 24 2.4 A. Yes. had a chance to investigate the case up to that point? 25 25 A. I would hope that they're doing that, yes. Q. What is it?

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

2.5

2.0

2.4

Page 89

A. Well, it's an e-mail -- it's put, again, in Missouri -- or the Kansas City policies and procedures database. E-mail from Leon Munday, who, at the time, was my -- well, let me look at the date. Yes. At the time was my assistant district defender to me, which then one of us posted on the database.

Q. And this is an e-mail about the United States Superior Court decision in Missouri versus Frye?

A. That's correct.

2.5

it

Q. And what was Mr. Munday's ultimate takeaway regarding your office's obligations under Frye?

A. I'm sorry. I'm going to -- I need to read

Q. No. Please.

A. Well, he says pretty clearly that he thinks, under Frye, we have the duty to communicate the offers to our clients and to protect the attorney-client privilege unless waived.

Q. Do you recall having any further communications with Mr. Munday about this?

A. Well, it -- when Frye came out, there was a lot of discussion, because the -- the prosecutor started doing Frye hearings, which is having -- reading the offer into the record. And I -- I think

Page 91

similar in that respect, that judges want to be sure that people know what their offers are.

In Jackson County, we struggle a lot. The judges are very, very concerned with moving cases and people pleading, and the feeling that the client knows their offer. And I don't think it's done out of an interest that a client might miss an offer, because we usually get offers back even the day of trial if we want them. I think it's done out of a desire for efficiency and fewer cases to move through the courts.

So I think in Leon's discussion of this, it's -- you know, went to want to protect our clients from records being made and them being encouraged to speak on the record.

Q. And was this information or guidance disseminated to the -- all the attorneys on staff?

A. I assume it was. In general, when we add something to the policies and procedures database, we send it around and say, "This has been added."

It's a big database, and quite honestly, there's some -- there's some things that are in there that are antiquated that, because I'm too busy, I haven't -- I've cleaned out some things, but there are other things that -- it's, you know, eighth on my list of things that I'd like to update.

Page 90

they were under the impression we weren't conveying offers. I -- I -- I'm not under that impression.

Every -- I'm not under the impression that lawyers in my office don't convey offers. But there were, you know, judges who would try to then talk to your client, "Do you understand that's the offer?" Or I'd hear judges say, "Oh, well, your client reacted like they'd never heard it," and things like that. So I

know for us, we didn't --We have judges who try and make records all the time. They want your client to ask -- you know, if they're in trial, and your client doesn't testify, they want your client to answer affirmatively about, do they understand their rights to -- you know, the right to remain silent and their right to -- that they're waiving their right to testify, and sort of fight this fight. The judges spend a lot of time trying to make records where our client are supposed to speak and respond to them, and it's awkward, because if your client loses at trial, they're going to be sentenced by this judge, and then they look like they aren't agreeable, and they look like they're negative, and the judge is really imposing on their rights and really trying to litigate the post-litigation stuff during their trial. And Frye is

Page 92

But this isn't -- this is something that would have been sent out to everybody and accessible. Everyone has the ability to look and read this any time they want.

Q. Okay. And was any additional training conducted related to this as far as you know?

A. Well, I – I do recall discussing Frye at staff meetings, especially when it came out. We have a weekly staff meeting where we kind of discuss what's going on. And when they started doing Frye hearings all the time everywhere in every case, so there was a lot of discussion about Frye popping up and prosecutors doing that.

Q. And are Frye hearings not happening as much now?

A. I think they are. Obviously, when the law came in -- you know, but they'll do a Frye hearing right before trial. They'll do it -- it was happening in basically every case when the law went into effect, so it seemed like more because the ones that were going on weren't current. I think -- I think they happen. Now, obviously, if there are negotiations going on, the prosecutor's is presuming you've -- I don't know, that they'd have a Frye hearing, but I don't -- I know they still happen.

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

Page 95 Page 93 Q. Okav. think -- I think there are a number of attorneys who 1 1 2 A. But I can't tell you with a great 2 are. I think there are some who aren't, and probably 3 frequency. It's just not brought up. And maybe it 3 time and overload feeds into that. 4 it's just not brought up because it's commonplace. 4 MS. SHIPMA: Could we go off the record for 5 5 just a second? Q. So in your opinion, did the attorneys in 6 your office have the time and resources to negotiate 6 MR. WILLIAMSON: Sure. 7 7 plea deals for your clients with all of the necessary VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 11:21 a.m. 8 8 (A brief recess was taken.) information? 9 A. Well, I think there's a -- when people get 9 VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, 11:25 a.m. 10 10 pled early, I think there's a lot of -- there's a lot Q. (By Mr. Williamson) So just one last of -- you don't get video, you don't get dash cam. 11 question on the -- on immigration consequences. 11 12 12 A. Uh-huh. There's a lot of things that you just don't get. So 13 are there plea deals that happen without all 13 Q. Are you aware of any cases where, because 14 discovery? Absolutely. 14 of the attorney's inability to advise their client 15 Q. Okay. Do you think there have been 15 about the immigration consequences, that the case 16 situations where a client could have gotten a better 16 resulted in a -- in an adverse consequence for the 17 plea deal had the lawyer had the time to investigate 17 client with respect to their immigration status? 18 the case first? 18 A. I'm not aware of a case that -- I probably 19 19 wouldn't -- I mean, once the client is gone, if they A. Absolutely, yeah. 20 20 get -- or they get picked up by ICE, we generally -- I Q. What steps do the attorneys in your office 21 21 do to evaluate the immigration consequences for wouldn't probably know, but I'm not aware of any. 22 22 Q. Okay. Are retainers generally placed on 23 23 A. So we contract with an immigration lawyer, your clients -- immigration retainers placed on your 2.4 and he's sort of a flat fee to consult, and then if 2.4 clients when they're in custody? 25 he's going to do more, it's a different fee. So the 25 A. Yes. Page 94 Page 96 1 attorneys have to request funds in order to contract, 1 Q. Is -- is ICE ever in touch with your office 2 2 so I -- I could look -- I mean, I have a spreadsheet. for any reason? 3 I could count that number of the times we've used that 3 A. No. No, I -- I'm not aware of anyone who 4 person in the last year. I don't know off the top of 4 has ever talked to an ICE person. 5 my head. There is a means -- and I've had that 5 Q. Do indigent defendants in your district 6 immigration attorney come to speak at one of our first 6 ever undergo psychiatric evaluations? 7 Friday CLEs, mostly just sort of terrify my lawyers 7 A. Yes. 8 8 about if -- if you don't call him, even pleas that Q. Does that generally happen after your 9 seem like they wouldn't effect your immigration 9 office has been appointed to the case? 10 10 consequences really can. It's certainly encouraged, A. Generally. I mean, there are Courts that 11 and the resource is there. 11 order evaluations just based on behaviors they see the 12 Q. Have the lawyers in your office received 12 first time they see them in court. But generally, the 13 13 any additional training on immigration consequences? bulk of evaluations are done when we're on the case. 14 A. Well, aside from having the immigration 14 Q. Do you -- do you or your lawyers ever 15 attorney come and speak to us, I know there's -- there 15 request those evaluations? 16 16 have been tracks at statewide training that talked A. Yes, they do. I mean, we do a number of 17 about that. I don't know that I've specifically had a 17 private evaluations, and honestly, it's my preferred 18 sit-down where I've said, so you have a client with --18 method. I know it's expensive and -- but, you know, 19 you know, who isn't is a citizen where -- how does 19 clients can say a lot of things, and if it's a 2.0 that go, aside from having that attorney come in. 2.0 court-ordered mental evaluation, it's not -- there's 21 Q. So do you think that, in your opinion, the 21 nothing confidential about anything they're saying. 22 22 attorneys in your office have the time and resources So we'd had a rule for a while that we only did 23 to adequately advise clients on immigration 23 private mentals. That has loosened up, I think 2.4 consequences? 24 because of costs. That's not my role, so I -- I can't 25 25 A. I would say -- I'm sort of split there. I speak to why that loosened up. So some are done.

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

2.4

Page 97

And Jackson County also has sort of an out-of-custody diversion, mental health diversion, so — and they insist if your client is going to enter into that, then they have to do a court-ordered eval.

The State also has the option of not accepting our private eval, and they can get a second opinion through the Court as well.

So we do request some, and then we do get a number of our clients also evaluated at our -- at our cost

Q. If — if a psych evaluation is ordered by the Court prior to your office being appointed, would — and I — let me rephrase that.

In a case where you are actually appointed to – appointed to represent the person, and the Court – but the Court orders an evaluation, let's say at the initial appearance –

A. Uh-huh.

2.4

Q. — what role, if any, would your lawyers play in that process in — in that evaluation process? Would — would your lawyer be at the file — at the competence — competency hearing that followed the evaluation?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

Page 99

we have the option of sharing that or not sharing that. If we want to share it or if someone comes back incompetent, you know, we'd file a motion with the Court to -- to have them found incompetent.

Q. Do attorneys in your office attend lineups that include the client?

A. I've never known anyone who did. And generally, I don't think -- generally, lineups are done before we'd get the case, so I don't -- we do have clients who come in as suspects who, I guess there's that potential. They'll say, "The police want to talk to me." In general, we tell them -- our advice is not to talk to the police, so I've never known anyone who has been at a lineup.

Q. Okay. The lineups are not videotaped, are they?

A. I don't -- we very rarely get a live lineup. There's a lot of pictures --

Q. Photos?

A. -- and there's a lot of -- here are two pictures. And there's a lot of driving by the guy as he sits in the cop car and having the witness identify him that way. I actually don't even remember the last lineup -- I don't recall anyone ever having a live lineup.

Page 98

A. They would.

Q. Okay. Would they be involved in any other capacity with the evaluation process?

A. Generally not. I mean, if we're doing a private evaluation, sometimes, you know, we set that up, we have a client come -- if they're out of custody, come to the officer. And if we want a private person to go to the jail, we have to write a letter and get clearance for that person to go to the jail. Most of the mental health folks don't want anyone else in the room, so you're not present for the evaluation. And -- and I've -- I've had lawyers try and get -- even if the Court has ordered one, our evaluations are so backed up in Jackson County, that you could likely get a private one done. They use -they're supposed to get done in 30 days, but then they almost always ask for extensions, so it usually takes more like the 90 days for someone to get a mental health evaluation. And sometimes they'll rush people who the jail have said are -- you know, they think they're actively a danger to themselves or others, something along those limes, but sometimes they'll intervene that way.

And obviously, if it's a private mental, the results are only known to us, so if we want to --

Page 100

Q. Do you -- do your lawyers ever conduct Wade hearings related to these on-the-scene identifications?

A. We don't call it a Wade hearing, but yeah, I mean, they do a motion to suppress the identification and -- and have a hearing along those lines, so -- and that's what we would call it. I assume that's along the lines of what you're --

Q. Correct.

A. -- getting at.

Q. When a client -- and I apologize for jumping around here. I'm trying to make sure we get through everything but --

A. That's fine.

Q. When a presentence investigation report is created for --

A. Uh-huh.

Q. -- a client, does the public defender play any role in that process?

A. Generally, no. I mean, we've had it where we've had clients insist we there. They call it a sentencing assessment report. The person from probation and parole who generally goes and interviews a client, generally, they don't want us there. But I have had attorneys who were able to be there through

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

Page 101 Page 103 that questioning process. The bulk of them, no. 1 then appellate would resume those duties. After plea, 1 2 Q. It's your understanding though that the --2 you know, there's some -- we have the Courts right now 3 the clients do not have a right to have their attorney 3 telling us if we didn't move to withdraw after a plea, 4 4 that we're on the civil probation violation, which I there for these interviews? 5 5 A. That's a good question. I don't -- I don't think is crazy, but some of the Courts are saying 6 know that I've -- sadly, I don't think I've ever 6 that. But we would say it's concluded after the plea. 7 7 thought about. I -- I mean, I think that's what --Now, I would say to my lawyers, if there's some natural -- you know, you have a client call --8 8 when the lawyers are there for the sentencing and like, for example, we had a client who pled, and the 9 9 assessment report, I think that's what we're 10 10 advocating, is that they do have a right. I don't judge put him on probation to accompanies, and says, 11 know that -- I don't -- honestly, I don't know legally we don't take that type of felony, we can't supervise 11 12 where -- where that stands. 12 him, I would say that -- you know, I would expect us 13 Q. Do you recall ever attending any of those 13 to represent that person or file something for them 14 interviews in any of your own cases? 14 because I think that's a continuation of the 15 A. No. 15 representation of the plea. And so there's some -- a 16 Q. Okay. If you have a client who pleads 16 little maybe wiggle room in there. But for the most 17 guilty, but then decides that they want to withdraw 17 part, after the plea is concluded, we're done. 18 18 Q. And you mentioned that your office would their plea, what steps do the attorneys -- or are the 19 attorneys in your office directed to -- to take in 19 file -- or the lawyer from your office would file the 20 20 that instance? notice of appeal; correct? 21 21 A. Well, I don't know that there's a firm A. Yes. 22 22 Q. So does that mean that that lawyer is direction one way -- I mean, I think you meet with the 23 23 client, you talk to them about it, and if -- in responsible for communicating to the defendant that 24 general, I think most attorneys try to file a motion 24 they have a right to appeal the decision? 25 to withdraw if -- if that's what the client wants. We 2.5 A. Yes. And we pretty routinely file it. And Page 102 Page 104 1 have a lot of Courts who don't grant it, so --1 in -- what I always tell the client is that you can 2 2 Q. All right. When your cases do go to trial, talk to the appellate attorney, and if you want to 3 is it your understanding that your lawyers will always 3 dismiss it, then you can always dismiss it. I can't 4 engage in voir dire? 4 not -- I can't unfile the -- you know, there's time A. Yes. 5 5 lines in place for that. So I think maybe two cases, 6 Q. Are you aware of any situations in which 6 we haven't filed them, and it was because of 7 they have not? 7 specific -- you know, the State agreed to not -- to 8 8 A. No. dismiss a case, so the client wasn't on the hook for 9 Q. Okav. 9 that any more. There was -- it was for specific 10 10 A I mean. I'm aware of situations where it reasons that were discussed with the client. 11 wasn't the most -- the best or most effective voir 11 Otherwise, we always file it. 12 dire, but I've never known an attorney to not ask any 12 Q. Okay. 13 13 questions -- and I assume you're saying ask any A. In adult cases. Now, juvenile cases are 14 questions during voir dire? 14 different. 15 Q. Correct. 15 Q. Excellent segue. I was going to ask you to 16 So at what point does representation by the 16 tell me a bit about how you handle juvenile cases. So 17 trial office end officially? 17 do you have attorneys in your office who specialize in 18 A. Well, that, of course, depends on who you 18 invenile cases?

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

Fax: 314.644.1334

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Nope.

Q. Are there trainings that are provided for

staff on how to litigate a juvenile delinquency case?

A. So there's statewide training. There's a

juvenile statewide training every year, but I probably

have one lawyer who goes to it a year. There is --

I've had -- I usually have specific -- so my training

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ask. So as far as after a trial, if you win, you win.

If you -- if you lose at trial, we're expected to do

motion for new trial. In Jackson County, you do

expected to file the notice of appeal.

Q. Okay.

motion for new trial, you have sentencing, and we're

A. And then after notice of appeal is filed,

Page 105

team leader right now is also my juvenile coordinator, so he's responsible for — you know, paperwork is sent to him. He's responsible for going to juvenile court and second chairing juvenile trials, things like that, and he does some more informal training.

I've had — I think at one of our Friday
CLEs, I had the juvenile coordinator, who is woman who
is not a juvenile coordinator anymore, give a talk on
handling maybe certification, things along those
lines. So I haven't done a lot of formal training.

And honestly, I think I've handed two juvenile cases in my life. It's an area that I'm not an expert in. We had a separate office for juvenile when I -- when I was a trial attorney most of the time. I don't remember what year they merged, but it was not that -- it was only a couple years before I became district defender, and I've never -- you know, I think I had maybe two juvenile case, so I would say my level of expertise in that area is very low.

- Q. Are -- are juvenile cases assigned to your office in the same way that adult cases are assigned?
 - A. Yeah. Well, they send -- yes.
- Q. Okay. And is it your office that makes the indigency determination on juveniles?
 - A. Yes.

2.4

Page 107

guardian is an alleged victim, that — and truth be told, anyone we deny, the Court pretty much always appoints us on anyway regardless of what our income determination is.

Q. If there's a conflict in a juvenile case, would it be handled in the same way that your other conflicts are handled?

A. Well, historically, yes. Although, recently, no -- or well, historically, no; recently, yes. So it used to be that in juvenile court, there's a lot of firms that, it's kind of their sole pro bono hours or -- so they kind of staff different things in juvenile court for pro bono hours for the firm, so it used to be if we had a conflict, they would assign it to someone at the firm. Recently though, they've started telling us that they want us to assign them, or we want -- they want us to conflict it.

Q. Do you know why that changed as occurred?

A. I -- that, I don't know. The first time
Judge Byrne did it -- or actually, the second time he
did it, I went and met with him, and he just said,
"Well, I think this is better with the public
defender." Although, right now, our conflict cases
aren't going to public defenders. They're going
private counsel. So I've not spoken to him. Given

Page 106

- Q. How many juvenile cases, by the way, would you say your office handles in the course of a -- a year --
- A. About 100.
 - Q. -- of that 5,000?
- A. About 100.
- Q. About 100. Okay.

A. A pretty low, because I know when -- there was a discussion, should we specialize or not? And given what our caseloads are, I -- I didn't have -- I remember looking at it and saying, I can't justify putting two people solely in juvenile because their caseloads would be so much lower than everyone else's, and one person would never have coverage. It would be a mess. So that number, I do know, because I -- I sort of debated, how do I deal with juvenile.

Q. And when you make a -- an indigency determination for a juvenile, what's the basis for that determination? In other words, are you looking at parent/guardian income? What things are you factoring in?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. That is -- I mean, we have a sheet on it; although, there is -- you know, if the parent or

Page 108

- the climate of all the appointments right now, it's -the judiciary is fairly hostile, so I don't -- I don't
 know that having a conversation with him would matter.
 Although, he may be saying now, "I want the public
 defender to absorb the cost of conflicts in juvenile."
 - Q. Okay. Do you have a sense of what attorneys do -- what kind of work attorneys tend to do to prepare for certification proceedings?
- A. I -- I mean, I get a sense that they'll look into some background information, arguments along those lines. When had we had a separate juvenile office, they had a social worker, one that was a much -- you know, the ratio was better, and they had a social worker who could prepare reports for the Court. I think I've had maybe one lawyer do that on a certification; hire someone to write a report. We do have hearings, and you know, they follow the law, and they -- they point out why, you know, a certain client shouldn't be certified, but they don't do the legwork that we used to do when there was a separate juvenile office.
- Q. And how long ago was that, that the juvenile office closed?
- A. I feel like it was '09, '10. I mean, it -it's -- it was certainly -- I became district defender

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

| | Page 109 | | Page 111 |
|----|--|---|------------------|
| 1 | in '11, and it's certainly been closed for some years. | 1 Q. Are there separate or I guess | specific |
| 2 | I don't remember. | 2 diversion options available for juveniles? | ? |
| 3 | Q. And I'm I'm sorry. Do you have a sense | 3 A. Yes. | |
| 4 | of why that decision was made? | 4 Q. And are there proceedings that | occur where |
| 5 | A. My understanding was all money. | 5 a judge is trying to determine whether the | hose |
| 6 | Q. Okay. I know you said you you've only | 6 alternatives are available, or does or a | ippropriate, |
| 7 | handled a couple of juvenile cases in your career. Do | 7 or does that happen outside of the the | courtroom? |
| 8 | you in your opinion, is representing a juvenile in | 8 A. I think generally, they put them in | to |
| 9 | a delinquency case a does it require specialized | 9 diversion before. Generally, our clients | |
| 10 | knowledge in in juvenile court? | occasionally, we get a juvenile put into div | version, |
| 11 | A. Yes. I would I think ideally. I know | but for the most part, I think they try and e | earmark |
| 12 | in the cases I got, I found extremely frustrating. I | and select those folks before they were in | nvolved, is |
| 13 | didn't know the language. I didn't know I didn't | my understanding. | |
| 14 | know the outcomes, I didn't know available outcomes, I | Q. And once a juvenile is in a diver | rsion |
| 15 | didn't know alternatives. I found it extremely | program, are they still being represented | d at that |
| 16 | frustrating, and I felt very inept. | 16 point? | |
| 17 | Q. Have you had any complaints from any of | 17 A. No. | |
| 18 | your lawyers on your staff now about difficulty | Q. So when they when a juvenile | in a |
| 19 | representing clients in juvenile court? | diversion program goes before the Cour | rt for a review, |
| 20 | A. I've had complaints. Largely, they've | would one of your lawyers be present for | or that hearing? |
| 21 | circulated you know, a juvenile trial is a bench | A. No. I don't know the the diversi | on at |
| 22 | trial, and it moves in about six weeks, so most of the | juvenile court is fairly new, and actually, w | hen they |
| 23 | complaints come with, I have to take these cases, it | were forming it, it was when Judge Delmu | uro was there, |
| 24 | interrupts my schedule that I already have, I you | so it was probably five or six years ago, as | nd she |
| 25 | know, I I haven't had anyone talk about the feeling | actually asked the public defender to be i | nvolved, and |
| | Page 110 | | Page 112 |
| 1 | of lack of resources that I did; although, they | 1 I didn't have resources to devote to doing | g that. So I |
| 2 | probably aren't aware. I mean, I saw that they had | 2 don't know if they have somebody from a | a firm that |
| 3 | resources, and then I saw that I was I didn't. So | 3 helps run that. I I'm inclined to think the | ey do, |
| 4 | some of it is just a lack of awareness of sometimes | 4 and whether the people the pro bono p | people from the |
| 5 | you don't know what you don't know. | 5 firms represent the clients, that, I don't kr | iow. |
| 6 | Q. In your experience or based on your | 6 Q. Okay. We talked generally earl | lier about |
| 7 | observations, how do attorneys in your office prepare | 7 the use of expert witnesses. | |
| 8 | for detention hearings? | 8 A. Uh-huh. | |
| 9 | A. Well, usually, they don't get a list of | 9 Q. How frequently would you say | the attorneys |
| 10 | who's on the detention hearing until the day before, | in your office work with experts in juver | ile cases? |
| 11 | and then I think they try you know, I think they | 11 A. Not very often. I mean, we've v | we've |
| 12 | meet with the clients the same day. Sometimes the day | 12 hired specific experts for mental evaluation | ons on some |
| 13 | they get the the list of who's on it and who's our | of our juvenile clients, and I feel like I had | d at |
| 14 | client, and then they make what arguments they can | least one attorney hire someone for for | |
| 15 | make. There's I don't there's not a lot of | certification. But aside from that, not very | y often. |
| 16 | prep. I don't think witnesses are called, generally. | Like, I don't think we've ever had an eyev | witness ID |
| 17 | Q. And without the services of a social | expert or something like that. | |
| 18 | worker, what what resources are available to the | 18 Q. Okay. Or even an expert on wh | nat it means |
| 19 | attorneys to identify alternatives to detention for | 19 to be a juvenile? | |
| 20 | their clients? | 20 A. Well, I do in the certification, I d | |
| 21 | A. Almost none. Their own legwork. | think she hired someone who talked abo | ut adolescent |
| 22 | Q. Are you aware of how often the attorneys in | 22 brain development | |
| 23 | office file review. or detention review. | 23 Q. Right. | |
| | your office file review or detention review | • | |
| 24 | motions? | A and that sort of the thing, but I | |

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Page 113

twice, but I can only think of one time.

Q. Okay. Generally speaking, in your opinion, can the attorneys in your office adequately represent all of the clients on their docket?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And what is the basis for your opinion?

A. Well, my own personal experience, my observation — observation of my lawyers, when I talk to them about cases, when I've second chaired them in trial, when I've talked to their supervisors, as well as just the sheer number. It's — there aren't enough hours in the day.

Q. And that's true no matter how experienced the lawyer may be?

A. Correct.

Q. All right. So you talked earlier about a lawyer in your office being disciplined?

A. Well, a lawyer in the appellate office.

Q. Appellate?

A. So not a Kansas City lawyer, yes.

Q. And -- and this is Mr. Hinkebein?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. So -- well, first, can you just say
 a word about your understanding of the -- the decision
 in the Hinkebein case?

Page 115

A. No one really tried. I mean, it -- you were conditioned to such that this is what it is, so no one ever -- I certainly had plenty of lawyers telling me --

Q. Take your time.

A. Telling me they were overwhelmed. But I don't think -- those of us who've done it a while, I mean, you're always overwhelmed, so I don't think anyone ever put it in context of, I'm ethically putting myself in jeopardy, I'm putting my clients in jeopardy. But I -- I mean, I probably can't count the number of people who have cried in my office. I've had people threaten self-harm. I've had people need to leave immediately to take mental health breaks. It's -- it's a horrible thing to watch.

Q. Did anyone in your office just resign as a result of this?

A. Has anyone resigned as a result of Hinkebein?

Q. As far as you know?

A. No. Not yet. No one has resigned as of yet, but I -- I mean, within days of the decision, I got multiple e-mails saying they weren't going to take -- that they ethically -- you know, they're -- they're saying, "Well, Judge Fisher says, tell your

Page 114

A. Well, I know that his -- his ethical violations generally fell under Rule 4, the communication diligence. My understanding from reading the decision was, you know, that he missed deadlines, that he was not communicating with his clients in a timely manner, so diligence, competence, communication, things along those lines. And my understanding is -- I mean, every -- everyone -- every public defender in Missouri, I'm fairly certain, has either red or listened to the comments made by Judge Fisher saying that, you know, why didn't -- one of the things he said is, I mean, I understand he had health problems. I don't know anything about his health problems. But that he also said, I have this big caseload, and it's tough to stay on top of everything, and you know, he said, tell your supervisor you can't take any more cases or quit. I mean, the bottom line, you know, that's what -- what my lawyers repeat is, you know, tell your supervisors you can't take more cases or quit. Or be open to having your law license compromised.

Q. So I'm going to ask you a little bit more about that. But — so prior to the Hinkebein decision, were attorneys in your office permitted to refuse cases if they thought it was appropriate?

Page 116

supervisor, no, and I'm -- I'm going to tell you no."

And ultimately, every lawyer got there.

Q. Do you have a sense of what the reaction was of -- from the local Bar outside of the public defender's office?

A. I don't. I don't have much of a reaction. I mean. I think -- I -- it's hard -- you know, we bring up caseload, we don't bring up caseload, everybody is sort of like, public defender is overworked, yeah, yeah, Jeah. I don't think -- but it sort of dies down after a while, and I -- I don't know if people assume it got better or things resolved. But the -- I've -- I worked there 20 years in January, and the caseload has always been its own sort of crazy pressure, and it's always been relentless, and it's always -- it's always been one of those things that when people leave, sometimes it's this or that, but they -- you know, often when they're out, they talk about how it's so nice. I don't feel like I have to be 100 places. I don't feel like I dropped a bunch of balls, and just a weird -- you know, like, their own version of post-traumatic stress.

Q. How did the central office respond to -- to this -- to the decision?

A. I mean, I think they made everyone aware of

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

2

4

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

2

7

Page 117

- 1 it because -- and I know Michael sent out a memo, just
- 2 talking -- I mean, I think -- well, I mean, I think,
- 3 one, people were upset that one of their own was
- 4 facing a lifetime suspension. That's frustrating.
- 5 And it appeared that the Courts were fairly
- 6 indifferent. You know, like, knowing budget-wise how
- 7 much we struggled, and the -- I guess we all assume
- the Courts know how much we struggled that, you know, 8
- 9 we didn't get funding or we did, and the governor 1.0 withheld it, and that a lot of those issues weren't of

our own doing or choosing. It wasn't for want of 11 12 asking or wanting.

> I don't know if I answered your question. I'm sorry.

Q. No. That's helpful.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

Would you say that the -- well, did -- did the central office provide any specific guidance about how to move forward as -- you know, either as individual attorneys or as district offices to handle this?

A. Well, they certainly said you -- I don't think there he was a specific, you should do this; you should file this. As we requested things, you know, we certainly -- we said that -- they said that we'd be supported -- supported and that, you know, if we

Page 119

- 1 of dicta, and you are either overreacting or you're
 - pulling a stunt. They are condescending. And my
- 3 lawyers will go to court, and they'll say things like,
 - "Oh, are you going to work today?" Or "Oh, how are
- 5 you feeling?" It's been largely -- it's been very
 - disappointing. I would say that, that we really --
- 7 you know, you practice in front of these judges for a
- 8 long time, and they tell you what a good job you're
- 9 doing, and they are -- there's a sense that you have a
- 10 camaraderie with you, and it's been no -- my
- 11 understanding -- like, I talked to someone with
- 12 Missouri Lawyers Weekly, and you know, report it.
- 13 She'll go to the court and bank meetings, and they've
- 14 joked about how we're the black knight, and they've 15
 - cut her arms and legs off and --
 - Q. Now, you mentioned that you have been in touch with the Court --
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. in your district. Are you aware of other district officers communicating with the Courts in their districts?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Have you been in communications with other district offices about how to respond to this?
 - A. Yes. Well, shortly after Hinkebein came

Page 118

wanted to make assertions based on our attorneys -- I.

Mean, honestly, I didn't have a choice. My

- lawyers were -- were very -- and understandably so. Very adamant that they weren't taking -- I mean, I
- have people -- you know, they said, if you assign me a
- 6 case, I'm filing a Bar complaint against you.
- 7 There -- it wasn't -- for me, there wasn't wiggle
- 8 room. My attorneys were like, no, I'm not -- I'm not 9 doing that, and I don't think you can make me. So I
- 10 know for me, when I asserted my office being at a
- 11 point where we couldn't ethically take more cases,
- 12 it's because I met with every single lawyer, and they 13
- had all been very clear that they were there. Some 14 more adamant than others, but a number of them just --
- 15 we don't have to do this. You know, the -- the
- 16 Supreme Court says I have the same ethical duties as
- 17 any other lawyer, and I should not have to have this
- 18 caseload

19

20

21

23

25

- Q. We'll talk shortly about the specific steps that your office took. But in your experience, what -- what has been the response from -- from the
- 22 Courts, generally, in -- in your district?
- A. They -- they don't believe it's real. 24 They -- I mean, I've had to -- e-mail exchange with
 - Judge Torrence where he's basically said it's a bunch

Page 120

- 1 out, we had our yearly management conference, so there were a lot of district defenders there, and it was a
 - large part of the discussion about how people were
- 3
- 4 dealing with it. And a multiple -- I mean, asserting 5 this and staying on top of it is a full-time job on
- 6 top of your full-time job, so -- and we've -- we've
 - made efforts to get caseload relief before through the
- 8 years, and it -- I mean, it's -- it's exhausting. It
- 9 it's -- you have all this animosity. You work a ton
- 10 of hours to litigate all this stuff on top of all the
- 11 work you're supposed to be doing. So I'm somewhat
- 12 understanding of district defenders who are like, oh,
- 13 yeah, my attorneys have 300 case, but they think
- 14 that's fine. And in my head, I'm like, that's insane.
- 15 My attorneys have 100 cases, and I think that's
- 16 insane. So there's -- there is a sort of a wide
- 17 variety of responses. So I have talked to other
- 18 district defenders, and I've -- I've talked with --
- 19 well, Anthony in Liberty. I've talked with Jeff 20 Martin in Harrisonville.
- 21 Justin Carver, because Justin Carver is the 22 only one has had a Chapter 600 hearing; mostly to say,
- 23 like, "How did your hearing go? What did it entail?"
- 2.4 Oh, just questions about, "What evidence did you put

on?" Because all of the Chapter 600 hearings under

Fax: 314.644.1334

25

| | Page 121 | | Page 123 |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 1 | Chapter 600.063 had never done been done before, so | 1 | for just one minute? |
| 2 | it was sort of uncharted territories. And my my | 2 | VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 12:08 p.m. |
| 3 | judges aren't interested in arguments under Rule 4. | 3 | (A brief recess was taken.) |
| 4 | They really want everything to be litigated well, | 4 | VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, 12:08 p.m. |
| 5 | they say they want everything to be litigated under | 5 | (Petsch Exhibit 3 was marked for |
| 6 | Chapter 600.063. I've filed two motions, both of | 6 | identification.) |
| 7 | which have been denied, so how serious they are about | 7 | Q. (By Mr. Williamson) I'm going to hand you |
| 8 | that and I can tell you, Jeff City filed the exact | 8 | a document I'm marking Exhibit 3 for identification. |
| 9 | same motion. I filed mine first, he filed his. | 9 | Do you recognize that letter? |
| 10 | His his judge granted part and denied part, and had | 10 | A. I don't. |
| 11 | a hearing. My judge denied it. | 11 | Q. Can you take a a moment and just review |
| 12 | Q. Now, the Chapter 600 let me back up. | 12 | it for me? |
| 13 | You you're aware of the Missouri Supreme | 13 | Who is the author of that letter? |
| 14 | Court's decision in Waters; correct? | 14 | A. It looks like Bethany Turner. |
| 15 | A. Correct. | 15 | Q. Do you know Ms. Turner? |
| 16 | Q. And you were employed with the MSPD at that | 16 | A. I mean, I know of her, but I don't know |
| 17 | time? | 17 | her. |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 18 | Q. Okay. And do you, having reviewed the |
| 19 | Q. So that you were district defender at | 19 | the letter, do you agree with the sentiments in the |
| 20 | the time? | 20 | letter? |
| 21 | A. I think it was 2012. Is that yeah. I | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | was the district defender at the time. | 22 | Q. And are you aware of whether similar |
| 23 | Q. Was it your what was your understanding | 23 | letters have been sent by other district defenders to |
| 24 | of that decision when it came out as it relates to | 24 | the judges in their districts? |
| 25 | what we're talking about right now and what your | 25 | A. I'm aware a number have, yes. It's similar |
| | Page 122 | | Page 124 |
| 1 | options were as as district defender in refusing | 1 | to the Boone County letter, which I had read before, |
| 2 | cases? | 2 | so yes, I'm aware that other letters have been sent |
| 3 | A. I don't back in 2012, we weren't one, | 3 | that are similar. |
| 4 | we were at a very low cycle for filings. So we | 4 | (Petsch Exhibit 4 was marked for |
| 5 | actually our office wasn't refusing cases at the | 5 | identification.) |
| 6 | time because we weren't numbers-wise there, or we | 6 | Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as |
| 7 | didn't feel we were numbers-wise there. So I I was | 7 | Exhibit 4 for identification. Do you recognize that? |
| 8 | less involved in how Waters went just because my | 8 | A. No. I don't believe I've seen the letter, |
| 9 | office wasn't hadn't been certified. A number of | 9 | this particular letter. |
| 10 | things you know, under back then, there were | 10 | Q. Is it similar to the letter that you were |
| 11 | certifying offices, and you had to be a percentage | 11 | just reviewing? |
| 12 | overloaded on a certain scale, so and we would be | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | over two months and under a month, and you had to be | 13 | Q. Who is the author of this letter? |
| 14 | there for three consecutive months, so while that was | 14 | A. Pamela Musgrave. |
| 15 | going on, we hadn't met that. But there was clearly a | 15 | Q. Okay. And Ms. Musgrave is a district |
| 16 | backing off of talking about caseload and talking | 16 | defender in Area 39? |
| 17 | about the ethics of caseload, or that's what it felt | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | like. | 18 | Q. And do you agree with the sentiments in |
| 19 | Q. A backing off, meaning | 19 | this letter as well? |
| 20 | A. Well, we were no longer going through we | 20 | A. Yes. I mean, obviously, I don't work in |
| 21 | weren't certifying offices. I mean, my understanding | 21 | Area 39, so I can't is specifically talk to but I |
| 22 | was that the 063 was created sort of as a response to | 22 | don't know a single office that doesn't have Rule 4 |
| 23 | offices being certified and efforts to stop that, and | 23 | issues, so (Potech Exhibit E was marked for |
| 24 25 | it seemed to effectively do that. MR. WILLIAMSON: Can we go off the record | 24 25 | (Petsch Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) |
| | | | |

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

| | Page 125 | | Page 127 |
|----|--|----|--|
| 1 | Q. I'm handing you what's been marked | 1 | it? |
| 2 | Exhibit 5 for identification. What is that document? | 2 | A. I don't think we've gotten to it I think |
| 3 | A. It is it looks like a template for | 3 | we probably took five cases off it in order. Now, a |
| 4 | suggestions in support of writ of prohibition and/or | 4 | lot of almost everyone that we're being appointed |
| 5 | mandamus. | 5 | on is also on that list, so people are coming off the |
| 6 | Q. Who created this document, as far as you | 6 | list; they're just not coming in any certain order. |
| 7 | know? | 7 | It is not first come, first served any more. It's |
| 8 | A. I'm assuming Greg Mermelstein did, but I | 8 | just however the Courts are appointing us. |
| 9 | don't know for sure. | 9 | Q. And do you know how many people are on the |
| 10 | Q. And why do you assume that Greg Mermelstein | 10 | waiting list right now. |
| 11 | created it? | 11 | A. Not exactly, because people are added every |
| 12 | A. It just looks like Greg's writing, and he | 12 | day. |
| 13 | has a number of motions. I think this one that are | 13 | Q. Sure. |
| 14 | available for other lawyers to look at on the website. | 14 | A. But the last time I looked, which was last |
| 15 | Q. And was that as far as you know, was | 15 | Thursday, I want to say it was about 250 people. |
| 16 | that template placed on is it is it in a | 16 | Q. And and this was when was the the |
| 17 | database for MSPD? | 17 | list first set up? |
| 18 | A. Right. We sort of have a a resources | 18 | A. October 16. |
| 19 | we have a lot of databases, but one of them is a | 19 | Q. October 16. Okay. Do you have a sense of |
| 20 | resources one. | 20 | that roughly 250, how many of those people are in |
| 21 | Q. And so any lawyer in the system could go in | 21 | custody? |
| 22 | and access this template? | 22 | A. No. |
| 23 | A. Yes. | 23 | Q. You don't have a sense, or none of them |
| 24 | Q. Okay. And do you think that's a it is | 24 | are? |
| 25 | a has been a helpful resource for lawyers at the | 25 | A. Some of them are. I don't have a I |
| | Page 126 | | Page 128 |
| 1 | public defender's office? | 1 | don't know what percentage are in custody of |
| 2 | A. The database? | 2 | because we take applications in custody, and because |
| 3 | Q. The database. | 3 | the law says that we can't we have we can't |
| 4 | A. Yes. | 4 | we can't only accept or reject or promote classes of |
| 5 | Q. Has your office created a waiting list for | 5 | people. So they're on the list, we're taking it as |
| 6 | defendants who whose cases can't be covered by your | 6 | they come. |
| 7 | lawyers? | 7 | Q. And people are coming off the list in |
| 8 | A. I call it a postponement list, but yes. | 8 | order? |
| 9 | Q. Okay. And and how does the postponement | 9 | A. Yes. Ideally, they were, yes. |
| 10 | list work? | 10 | Q. So it is as far as the Court is |
| 11 | A. We screen people, and then we enter them | 11 | concerned, it is of no moment whether the person is in |
| 12 | into a we have a client database. It's marked in | 12 | custody or not when determining who's next to come of |
| 13 | such a way that we can tell who screened what day. | 13 | of the waiting list? |
| 14 | And then as attorneys have come to us to say, "I can | 14 | A. Well, they don't one, I don't think they |
| 15 | take more cases," we take whoever is next on the list. | 15 | even acknowledge my list as anything valid or real or |
| 16 | Well, historically. Now, we're being appointed, so we | 16 | legal, so and they're just appointing who they want |
| 17 | aren't even getting to the list because we have so | 17 | to appoint, so without any regard to what the list |
| 18 | many appointments coming in, but that was that's | 18 | is or anything about it. |
| 19 | how we started out, and we did I think it took | 19 | Q. And from from your perspective in |
| | seven days to remove the first person off of the | 20 | putting the list together or maintaining the list, how |
| | postponement list. | 21 | do you account for if if you can at all, the fact |
| 21 | | 22 | |
| | Q. So as of right now, does the postponement | 22 | that some of some of the people on the list are |
| 22 | Q. So as of right now, does the postponement list still exist? | 23 | on the waiting list, are they prioritized in any way? |
| 22 | | | |

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

| | Page 129 | | Page 131 |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | And are you are you personally sort of | 1 | A. I don't know that well, I think they've |
| 2 | administering this list, or is there someone else in | 2 | taken some appeals from central. I don't know that |
| 3 | your office that's doing that? | 3 | any other office has sent cases to the firms aside |
| 4 | A. Joseph Megerman is is the list person, | 4 | from mine. |
| 5 | yes. | 5 | Q. Okay. So |
| 6 | Q. Okay. | 6 | A. I'm not aware of that. |
| 7 | A. Well, I mean, we have we have a way in | 7 | Q. So is it your sense that the program is |
| 8 | the database that's market so that we can see how the | 8 | really focused on the the bigger offices around the |
| 9 | list is kept, and then Joseph also has a spreadsheet | 9 | state? |
| 10 | that he's maintaining of the appointments and as | 10 | A. I I I think the the program is |
| 11 | people come off the list. But when people when we | 11 | fo focused on where the firms the firms who |
| 12 | had the ability to remove people from the list, we | 12 | have agreed to participate are at, and it appears that |
| 13 | didn't have so many appointments coming in, he would | 13 | it is in the in the cities, so I think the thought |
| 14 | look at the list, and then assign the cases. | 14 | is, we don't want to have these firm lawyers who are |
| 15 | Q. At this stage, are the Courts in your | 15 | taking cases for free to drive to Chillicothe, but I |
| 16 | district appointing private attorneys at all to | 16 | don't have a firm grasp. All I know is when they ask |
| 17 | represent | 17 | me for cases, I provide them cases. |
| 18 | A. Not at all. We had one judge who was, and | 18 | Q. And what what kinds of cases are being |
| 19 | then she was told that she could not do that any more. | 19 | assigned to those firms? |
| 20 | Q. Okay. You're familiar with well | 20 | A. A variety. Everything from possession to |
| 21 | (Petsch Exhibit 6 was marked for | 21 | murder. |
| 22 | identification.) | 22 | Q. And this program has been operating for how |
| 23 | Q. I'm handing you what's been marked | 23 | long? |
| 24 | Exhibit 6 for identification. Do you recognize that? | 24 | A. I think the first cases I sent out were in |
| 25 | A. Yes. | 25 | May. I think there was a training either in April or |
| | D 400 | | |
| | Page 130 | | Page 132 |
| 1 | Q. What is it? | 1 | Page 132 May of this year. |
| 1 2 | _ | 1 2 | _ |
| | Q. What is it? | | May of this year. |
| 2 | Q. What is it?A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining | 2 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately |
| 2 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition | 2 3 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC |
| 2 3 4 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. | 2 3 4 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? |
| 2 3 4 5 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the | 2 3 4 5 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? | 2 3 4 5 6 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? A. And again, I didn't found I I'm a cog | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in monitoring that representation? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? A. And again, I didn't found I I'm a cog in in the system, but my understanding is it's a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in monitoring that representation? A. It depends what you I I don't know |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? A. And again, I didn't found I I'm a cog in in the system, but my understanding is it's a nonprofit that's set up to get cases to firms who want | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in monitoring that representation? A. It depends what you I I don't know that I'd use the word "monitor." I I regularly |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? A. And again, I didn't found I I'm a cog in in the system, but my understanding is it's a nonprofit that's set up to get cases to firms who want to try them. I know I've for my part, I've helped get cases to firms. I've participated in two trainings of attorneys from the firms who are | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in monitoring that representation? A. It depends what you I I don't know that I'd use the word "monitor." I I regularly I'm a resource for the lawyers. I mean, I have talked to three of them in the last three days, so they regularly call with questions, they want to talk about |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? A. And again, I didn't found I I'm a cog in in the system, but my understanding is it's a nonprofit that's set up to get cases to firms who want to try them. I know I've for my part, I've helped get cases to firms. I've participated in two | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in monitoring that representation? A. It depends what you I I don't know that I'd use the word "monitor." I I regularly I'm a resource for the lawyers. I mean, I have talked to three of them in the last three days, so they regularly call with questions, they want to talk about plea offers, they want to talk about, this is what we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? A. And again, I didn't found I I'm a cog in in the system, but my understanding is it's a nonprofit that's set up to get cases to firms who want to try them. I know I've for my part, I've helped get cases to firms. I've participated in two trainings of attorneys from the firms who are who the firms were taking cases, and those attorneys specifically were taking cases. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in monitoring that representation? A. It depends what you I I don't know that I'd use the word "monitor." I I regularly I'm a resource for the lawyers. I mean, I have talked to three of them in the last three days, so they regularly call with questions, they want to talk about plea offers, they want to talk about, this is what we do in civil; is there something equivalent in in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? A. And again, I didn't found I I'm a cog in in the system, but my understanding is it's a nonprofit that's set up to get cases to firms who want to try them. I know I've for my part, I've helped get cases to firms. I've participated in two trainings of attorneys from the firms who are who the firms were taking cases, and those attorneys specifically were taking cases. Q. Do you know how many firms are involved? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in monitoring that representation? A. It depends what you I I don't know that I'd use the word "monitor." I I regularly I'm a resource for the lawyers. I mean, I have talked to three of them in the last three days, so they regularly call with questions, they want to talk about plea offers, they want to talk about, this is what we do in civil; is there something equivalent in in criminal? So some of them communicate more. There's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? A. And again, I didn't found I I'm a cog in in the system, but my understanding is it's a nonprofit that's set up to get cases to firms who want to try them. I know I've for my part, I've helped get cases to firms. I've participated in two trainings of attorneys from the firms who are who the firms were taking cases, and those attorneys specifically were taking cases. Q. Do you know how many firms are involved? A. I don't. On the Saint Louis side, I have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in monitoring that representation? A. It depends what you I I don't know that I'd use the word "monitor." I I regularly I'm a resource for the lawyers. I mean, I have talked to three of them in the last three days, so they regularly call with questions, they want to talk about plea offers, they want to talk about, this is what we do in civil; is there something equivalent in in criminal? So some of them communicate more. There's not a requirement, I would say, about how much |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? A. And again, I didn't found I I'm a cog in in the system, but my understanding is it's a nonprofit that's set up to get cases to firms who want to try them. I know I've for my part, I've helped get cases to firms. I've participated in two trainings of attorneys from the firms who are who the firms were taking cases, and those attorneys specifically were taking cases. Q. Do you know how many firms are involved? A. I don't. On the Saint Louis side, I have no idea. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in monitoring that representation? A. It depends what you I I don't know that I'd use the word "monitor." I I regularly I'm a resource for the lawyers. I mean, I have talked to three of them in the last three days, so they regularly call with questions, they want to talk about plea offers, they want to talk about, this is what we do in civil; is there something equivalent in in criminal? So some of them communicate more. There's not a requirement, I would say, about how much communication is happening or how much oversight the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? A. And again, I didn't found I I'm a cog in in the system, but my understanding is it's a nonprofit that's set up to get cases to firms who want to try them. I know I've for my part, I've helped get cases to firms. I've participated in two trainings of attorneys from the firms who are who the firms were taking cases, and those attorneys specifically were taking cases. Q. Do you know how many firms are involved? A. I don't. On the Saint Louis side, I have no idea. Q. Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in monitoring that representation? A. It depends what you I I don't know that I'd use the word "monitor." I I regularly I'm a resource for the lawyers. I mean, I have talked to three of them in the last three days, so they regularly call with questions, they want to talk about plea offers, they want to talk about, this is what we do in civil; is there something equivalent in in criminal? So some of them communicate more. There's not a requirement, I would say, about how much communication is happening or how much oversight the firms are engaging representation, and I I consider |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? A. And again, I didn't found I I'm a cog in in the system, but my understanding is it's a nonprofit that's set up to get cases to firms who want to try them. I know I've for my part, I've helped get cases to firms. I've participated in two trainings of attorneys from the firms who are who the firms were taking cases, and those attorneys specifically were taking cases. Q. Do you know how many firms are involved? A. I don't. On the Saint Louis side, I have no idea. Q. Okay. A. I think on the Kansas City side, I feel | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in monitoring that representation? A. It depends what you I I don't know that I'd use the word "monitor." I I regularly I'm a resource for the lawyers. I mean, I have talked to three of them in the last three days, so they regularly call with questions, they want to talk about plea offers, they want to talk about, this is what we do in civil; is there something equivalent in in criminal? So some of them communicate more. There's not a requirement, I would say, about how much communication is happening or how much oversight the firms are engaging representation, and I I consider myself more as a resource than a monitor, if that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? A. And again, I didn't found I I'm a cog in in the system, but my understanding is it's a nonprofit that's set up to get cases to firms who want to try them. I know I've for my part, I've helped get cases to firms. I've participated in two trainings of attorneys from the firms who are who the firms were taking cases, and those attorneys specifically were taking cases. Q. Do you know how many firms are involved? A. I don't. On the Saint Louis side, I have no idea. Q. Okay. A. I think on the Kansas City side, I feel like the number of firms that have taken cases from us | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in monitoring that representation? A. It depends what you I I don't know that I'd use the word "monitor." I I regularly I'm a resource for the lawyers. I mean, I have talked to three of them in the last three days, so they regularly call with questions, they want to talk about plea offers, they want to talk about, this is what we do in civil; is there something equivalent in in criminal? So some of them communicate more. There's not a requirement, I would say, about how much communication is happening or how much oversight the firms are engaging representation, and I I consider myself more as a resource than a monitor, if that makes sense. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? A. And again, I didn't found I I'm a cog in in the system, but my understanding is it's a nonprofit that's set up to get cases to firms who want to try them. I know I've for my part, I've helped get cases to firms. I've participated in two trainings of attorneys from the firms who are who the firms were taking cases, and those attorneys specifically were taking cases. Q. Do you know how many firms are involved? A. I don't. On the Saint Louis side, I have no idea. Q. Okay. A. I think on the Kansas City side, I feel like the number of firms that have taken cases from us is probably around a dozen. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in monitoring that representation? A. It depends what you I I don't know that I'd use the word "monitor." I I regularly I'm a resource for the lawyers. I mean, I have talked to three of them in the last three days, so they regularly call with questions, they want to talk about plea offers, they want to talk about, this is what we do in civil; is there something equivalent in in criminal? So some of them communicate more. There's not a requirement, I would say, about how much communication is happening or how much oversight the firms are engaging representation, and I I consider myself more as a resource than a monitor, if that makes sense. Q. And the and the firm is making the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. What is it? A. This is an e-mail and documents pertaining to assignment of cases through the Missouri Coalition for the Right to Counsel. Q. And can you you're familiar with the we'll call it the MCRC? A. Yes. Q. Can you describe what the MCRC is? A. And again, I didn't found I I'm a cog in in the system, but my understanding is it's a nonprofit that's set up to get cases to firms who want to try them. I know I've for my part, I've helped get cases to firms. I've participated in two trainings of attorneys from the firms who are who the firms were taking cases, and those attorneys specifically were taking cases. Q. Do you know how many firms are involved? A. I don't. On the Saint Louis side, I have no idea. Q. Okay. A. I think on the Kansas City side, I feel like the number of firms that have taken cases from us | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | May of this year. Q. And since the program began, approximately how many of your cases have been reassigned to MCRC attorneys? A. I would say between between 15 and 20. I have a couple out right now that are doing conflict checks. So not not a huge number. Q. Is your office involved at all in monitoring that representation? A. It depends what you I I don't know that I'd use the word "monitor." I I regularly I'm a resource for the lawyers. I mean, I have talked to three of them in the last three days, so they regularly call with questions, they want to talk about plea offers, they want to talk about, this is what we do in civil; is there something equivalent in in criminal? So some of them communicate more. There's not a requirement, I would say, about how much communication is happening or how much oversight the firms are engaging representation, and I I consider myself more as a resource than a monitor, if that makes sense. |

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

Page 133 Page 135 1 1 brought up the case that says he can't appoint her A. Yes. But I -- I think we've asked that all 2 the lawyers go to our trainings, so we're meeting and 2 personally, and then he set it for Thursday and sent 3 talk -- you know, talking to the lawyers. But yes, 3 an order over basically appointing and saying someone 4 the firm -- I mean, I think most of the lawyers are at 4 must show or something along those lines, and I 5 the mercy of what their firm will or won't let them 5 handled that case. I went to court that day, so that 6 do --6 would have been the 18th, I believe. 7 7 Q. And -- and you went before the Court? Q. Right. 8 A. -- since they're using the firm's resources 8 A. Yes. 9 and working for free. 9 Q. And what happened at that hearing? 10 10 Q. And aside from the outreach that they may A. Well, I tried to make a record. I have 11 filed a motion. A number of my lawyers were there to do to you for answers to you for answers to particular 11 12 12 testify about their -- their conflicts under Rule 4. questions, the -- the supervision of those attorneys 13 is being done by the firms? 13 I was not allowed to make a record. I was personally 14 A. Yes. 14 appointed. It was -- it was talked over a fair 15 Q. And so a total of 15 or 20 cases since May; 15 amount, and -- and then we set it over so I could writ 16 right? 16 the judge. 17 17 Now, at the same time, a district defender 18 18 Q. So has the -- has the -- the MCRC program had filed work. Leslie Hazel had filed a case that --19 relieved your district's caseload in any significant 19 a writ that had gone up through I believe the southern 20 20 district and the Missouri Supreme Court and was denied way? 21 21 A. No. under the Rule 4, and there's basic instruction on the 22 22 order saying that we need to file an individual case Q. Okav. 23 23 that's under Chapter -- Chapter 600.036. So our writ A. And I'll tell you, when I assign cases, I 2.4 usually -- I've done it with people who are leaving, 24 didn't encompass that level because we figured Courts 25 so I was giving them clients that were already going 2.5 would rule on that. It was on that personal Page 134 Page 136 1 to have a change in attorney anyway because the -- the 1 appointment, which that western district denied. 2 2 (Petsch Exhibit 7 was marked for attorneys were leaving for one reason or another, 3 so -- and it -- most of the firms are wanting trial 3 identification.) 4 experience, so it's easier to tell when someone is 4 Q. So I'm going to hand you what I've marked 5 exiting, when a case a little further along, how 5 as Exhibit 7. Is that the writ that you're referring 6 they -- but that -- that's been my selection process. 6 to? 7 So attorneys have enjoyed the fact that they haven't 7 A. Yes. 8 8 had to absorb those cases that are set for trial Q. And you're saying that this was filed --9 fairly soon and would sort of wreak havoc in their 9 was it filed before the Hazel decision? 10 10 schedule, but as far as -- their caseloads aren't A. (Nonverbal response.) 11 significantly lower or anything like that. 11 Q. It was filed after? 12 Q. Okay. Okay. Almost done. 12 A. Correct. 13 13 I -- you talked quite eloquently about, you Q. And what was the response to your petition? 14 know, your and your attorneys' response to the 14 A. So this writ was denied, and then I'd 15 Hinkebein decision, generally. I wanted to talk a 15 already entered under objection, so the case was set little bit about the -- the sort of formal steps you 16 16 the following Thursday. The writ was denied in, I 17 took in the wake of that decision. 17 think, an hour's time or something. Something crazy 18 At what point did you first take action in 18 fast for the Court of Appeals, and -- and I

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

Fax: 314.644.1334

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

court to -- to try to deal with the situation?

A. Well, I wrote the letter, I believe,

October 13, to Judge Torrence. That following Monday,

the 15th, you know, we had an attorney who the judge

wanted to appoint a probation violation and have her

under Rule 4. He tried to appoint her personally, she

handle it right there, and she asserted her ethics

represented the client on this case.

A. Uh-huh. Keith Nevels. Well, I

represent -- Keith Nevels was a guy who was on

any jeopardy. The judge just changed the -- the

probation in the wrong place, so he really wasn't in

Q. So do you recall what -- what you filed

next? Did you -- so this was the -- the Nevels case?

| | Page 137 | Page 139 |
|--|---|--|
| 1 | company that was monitoring him, and it was continued, | 1 our ethics, we're going to try and comply with them. |
| 2 | so I didn't file anything else on Nevels. And then I | 2 So my office started assigning cases. |
| 3 | think well, November 1, I filed a a motion under | 3 Fairly quickly after that, I self-reported to the Bar, |
| 4 | Chapter 600.063 in suggestions and support. | 4 because I believe it's an ethics violation for me to |
| 5 | (Petsch Exhibit 8 was marked for | 5 be assigning cases, and it was at my direction that my |
| 6 | identification.) | 6 deputy was doing that, and and then I had some |
| 7 | Q. Handing you Exhibit 8 for identification. | 7 attorneys who were not appearing who who actually |
| 8 | A. Uh-huh. | 8 wanted to be my understanding is they wanted to be |
| 9 | Q. Is that the motion that you just referred | 9 personally appointed. On one of their |
| 10 | to? | non-appearances, the judge issued a show cause order |
| 11 | A. Yes. | for me as to why I should be not be held in contempt, |
| 12 | Q. Okay. | and we had a hearing on that last was that last |
| 13 | MR. WILLIAMSON: Do you want this, Jackie? | 13 Monday? I think it was last Monday. It's all |
| 14 | MS. SHIPMA: No. That's fine. | 14 happening very quickly, so |
| 15 | Q. (By Mr. Williamson) And what was the | 15 Q. Have you gotten a response? |
| 16 | result of that motion? | 16 A. Yeah. She she quashed her motion. I |
| 17 | A. It was denied. | 17 I got counsel, and she quashed it base basically |
| 18 | Q. What did you do after that motion was | 18 based on specificity, because she didn't name me, |
| 19 | denied? | 19 personally. And since then, a majority of the judges |
| 20 | A. I I think a week later, I filed a second | 20 are now ordering me, personally, into almost every |
| 21 | motion under Chapter 600.063. I think that was | 21 case. |
| 22 | November 8. | 22 (Petsch Exhibit 9 was marked for |
| 23 | Q. And what what was the what was the | 23 identification.) |
| 24 | difference between that motion and the initial motion | 24 Q. Okay. I'm handing you Exhibit 9 for |
| 25 | you filed? | 25 identification. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Page 138 | Page 140 |
| 1 | Page 138 A. Well, the judge's denial on the first | Page 140 1 A. Yes. |
| 1 2 | • | |
| | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first | 1 A. Yes. |
| 2 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term | 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Is that the complaint that you just |
| 2 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of | 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Is that the complaint that you just 3 mentioned? |
| 2 3 4 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 | 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Is that the complaint that you just 3 mentioned? 4 A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office |
| 2 3 4 5 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones | 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Is that the complaint that you just 3 mentioned? 4 A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office 5 of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. | 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Is that the complaint that you just 3 mentioned? 4 A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office 5 of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. 6 Q. And you you indicate that you felt some |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to | 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Is that the complaint that you just 3 mentioned? 4 A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office 5 of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. 6 Q. And you you indicate that you felt some 7 obligation to file this, to to self-report? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? | 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Is that the complaint that you just 3 mentioned? 4 A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office 5 of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. 6 Q. And you you indicate that you felt some 7 obligation to file this, to to self-report? 8 A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? A. He denied it and accused me of bad faith | 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Is that the complaint that you just 3 mentioned? 4 A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office 5 of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. 6 Q. And you you indicate that you felt some 7 obligation to file this, to to self-report? 8 A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional 9 disciplinary council. I'm a member. But I I think |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? A. He denied it and accused me of bad faith or actually, he found me in bad faith. | 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Is that the complaint that you just 3 mentioned? 4 A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office 5 of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. 6 Q. And you – you indicate that you felt some 7 obligation to file this, to – to self-report? 8 A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional 9 disciplinary council. I'm a member. But I – I think 10 by assigning cases to my lawyers, I am in violation of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? A. He denied it and accused me of bad faith or actually, he found me in bad faith. Q. Okay. So so you sought a conference | A. Yes. Q. Is that the complaint that you just mentioned? A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. And you you indicate that you felt some obligation to file this, to to self-report? A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional disciplinary council. I'm a member. But I I think by assigning cases to my lawyers, I am in violation of Rule 4. I'm in violation of my supervisory duties. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? A. He denied it and accused me of bad faith or actually, he found me in bad faith. Q. Okay. So so you sought a conference with the Court on two occasions; both of those | A. Yes. Q. Is that the complaint that you just mentioned? A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. And you you indicate that you felt some obligation to file this, to to self-report? A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional disciplinary council. I'm a member. But I I think by assigning cases to my lawyers, I am in violation of Rule 4. I'm in violation of my supervisory duties. And so if you look at what I write, I you can also |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? A. He denied it and accused me of bad faith or actually, he found me in bad faith. Q. Okay. So so you sought a conference with the Court on two occasions; both of those requests were denied? | A. Yes. Q. Is that the complaint that you just mentioned? A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. And you you indicate that you felt some obligation to file this, to to self-report? A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional disciplinary council. I'm a member. But I I think by assigning cases to my lawyers, I am in violation of Rule 4. I'm in violation of my supervisory duties. And so if you look at what I write, I you can also ask for guidance, so I asked the I asked the Office |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? A. He denied it and accused me of bad faith or actually, he found me in bad faith. Q. Okay. So so you sought a conference with the Court on two occasions; both of those requests were denied? A. Correct. | A. Yes. Q. Is that the complaint that you just mentioned? A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. And you you indicate that you felt some obligation to file this, to to self-report? A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional disciplinary council. I'm a member. But I I think by assigning cases to my lawyers, I am in violation of Rule 4. I'm in violation of my supervisory duties. And so if you look at what I write, I you can also ask for guidance, so I asked the I asked the Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel for guidance, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? A. He denied it and accused me of bad faith or actually, he found me in bad faith. Q. Okay. So so you sought a conference with the Court on two occasions; both of those requests were denied? A. Correct. Q. Did you take any further actions in court at that point? A. I well, the judges were appointing the | A. Yes. Q. Is that the complaint that you just mentioned? A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. And you you indicate that you felt some obligation to file this, to to self-report? A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional disciplinary council. I'm a member. But I I think by assigning cases to my lawyers, I am in violation of Rule 4. I'm in violation of my supervisory duties. And so if you look at what I write, I you can also ask for guidance, so I asked the I asked the Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel for guidance, because I I am not sure what I should be doing |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? A. He denied it and accused me of bad faith or actually, he found me in bad faith. Q. Okay. So so you sought a conference with the Court on two occasions; both of those requests were denied? A. Correct. Q. Did you take any further actions in court at that point? | A. Yes. Q. Is that the complaint that you just mentioned? A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. And you you indicate that you felt some obligation to file this, to to self-report? A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional disciplinary council. I'm a member. But I I think by assigning cases to my lawyers, I am in violation of Rule 4. I'm in violation of my supervisory duties. And so if you look at what I write, I you can also ask for guidance, so I asked the I asked the Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel for guidance, because I I am not sure what I should be doing here. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? A. He denied it and accused me of bad faith or actually, he found me in bad faith. Q. Okay. So so you sought a conference with the Court on two occasions; both of those requests were denied? A. Correct. Q. Did you take any further actions in court at that point? A. I well, the judges were appointing the | A. Yes. Q. Is that the complaint that you just mentioned? A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. And you — you indicate that you felt some obligation to file this, to — to self-report? A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional disciplinary council. I'm a member. But I — I think by assigning cases to my lawyers, I am in violation of Rule 4. I'm in violation of my supervisory duties. And so if you look at what I write, I — you can also ask for guidance, so I asked the — I asked the Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel for guidance, because I — I am not sure what I should be doing here. Q. And this was filed on November 20? A. Right. Q. Okay. Did you — have you received a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? A. He denied it and accused me of bad faith or actually, he found me in bad faith. Q. Okay. So so you sought a conference with the Court on two occasions; both of those requests were denied? A. Correct. Q. Did you take any further actions in court at that point? A. I well, the judges were appointing the office on a lot of things, and it was clear from my | A. Yes. Q. Is that the complaint that you just mentioned? A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. And you — you indicate that you felt some obligation to file this, to — to self-report? A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional disciplinary council. I'm a member. But I — I think by assigning cases to my lawyers, I am in violation of Rule 4. I'm in violation of my supervisory duties. And so if you look at what I write, I — you can also ask for guidance, so I asked the — I asked the Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel for guidance, because I — I am not sure what I should be doing here. Q. And this was filed on November 20? A. Right. Q. Okay. Did you — have you received a response to your — |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? A. He denied it and accused me of bad faith or actually, he found me in bad faith. Q. Okay. So so you sought a conference with the Court on two occasions; both of those requests were denied? A. Correct. Q. Did you take any further actions in court at that point? A. I well, the judges were appointing the office on a lot of things, and it was clear from my first hearing in Nevels that if I wasn't going | A. Yes. Q. Is that the complaint that you just mentioned? A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. And you you indicate that you felt some obligation to file this, to to self-report? A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional disciplinary council. I'm a member. But I I think by assigning cases to my lawyers, I am in violation of Rule 4. I'm in violation of my supervisory duties. And so if you look at what I write, I you can also ask for guidance, so I asked the I asked the Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel for guidance, because I I am not sure what I should be doing here. Q. And this was filed on November 20? A. Right. Q. Okay. Did you have you received a response to your |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? A. He denied it and accused me of bad faith or actually, he found me in bad faith. Q. Okay. So so you sought a conference with the Court on two occasions; both of those requests were denied? A. Correct. Q. Did you take any further actions in court at that point? A. I well, the judges were appointing the office on a lot of things, and it was clear from my first hearing in Nevels that if I wasn't going forward, he was going to set a contempt hearing. I | A. Yes. Q. Is that the complaint that you just mentioned? A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. And you you indicate that you felt some obligation to file this, to to self-report? A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional disciplinary council. I'm a member. But I I think by assigning cases to my lawyers, I am in violation of Rule 4. I'm in violation of my supervisory duties. And so if you look at what I write, I you can also ask for guidance, so I asked the I asked the Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel for guidance, because I I am not sure what I should be doing here. Q. And this was filed on November 20? A. Right. Q. Okay. Did you have you received a response to your A. No. Q. In your experience, how long does it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? A. He denied it and accused me of bad faith or actually, he found me in bad faith. Q. Okay. So so you sought a conference with the Court on two occasions; both of those requests were denied? A. Correct. Q. Did you take any further actions in court at that point? A. I well, the judges were appointing the office on a lot of things, and it was clear from my first hearing in Nevels that if I wasn't going forward, he was going to set a contempt hearing. I I've not abandoned any I think I think our | A. Yes. Q. Is that the complaint that you just mentioned? A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. And you you indicate that you felt some obligation to file this, to to self-report? A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional disciplinary council. I'm a member. But I I think by assigning cases to my lawyers, I am in violation of Rule 4. I'm in violation of my supervisory duties. And so if you look at what I write, I you can also ask for guidance, so I asked the I asked the Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel for guidance, because I I am not sure what I should be doing here. Q. And this was filed on November 20? A. Right. Q. Okay. Did you have you received a response to your A. No. Q. In your experience, how long does it generally take to get a response from |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Well, the judge's denial on the first motion was very I'm trying to think of a nice term for it. Scathing. And it was clear he had a lot of issues with my bringing Rule 4 into a Chapter 600 hearing, so I I had I filed a more bare bones motion, which I assumed was what the Court wanted. Q. Okay. And how did the Court respond to that second motion? A. He denied it and accused me of bad faith or actually, he found me in bad faith. Q. Okay. So so you sought a conference with the Court on two occasions; both of those requests were denied? A. Correct. Q. Did you take any further actions in court at that point? A. I well, the judges were appointing the office on a lot of things, and it was clear from my first hearing in Nevels that if I wasn't going forward, he was going to set a contempt hearing. I I've not abandoned any I think I think our Rule 4 issues are legitimate and concerning. However, | A. Yes. Q. Is that the complaint that you just mentioned? A. Yes. This is my self-report to the Office of Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. And you you indicate that you felt some obligation to file this, to to self-report? A. Yes. I'm, in fact, on a regional disciplinary council. I'm a member. But I I think by assigning cases to my lawyers, I am in violation of Rule 4. I'm in violation of my supervisory duties. And so if you look at what I write, I you can also ask for guidance, so I asked the I asked the Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel for guidance, because I I am not sure what I should be doing here. Q. And this was filed on November 20? A. Right. Q. Okay. Did you have you received a response to your A. No. Q. In your experience, how long does it |

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

Page 143 Page 141 we only get sent the things that come to committee. I 1 most of my cases just because, when I go to court, the 1 2 don't have a sense of how long that will take. 2 judges are making records with me about 3 Q. But this is -- this is the same office that 3 representation, and if it -- it feels like they are 4 4 trying to set up a contempt hearing. They're not evaluated Mr. Hinkebein's situation? 5 5 A. Yes. And went for it and made arguments in doing that to the rest of my lawyers, which is 6 front of the Supreme Court on them, yes, correct. 6 unfortunate, because I would like to be more helpful, 7 7 Q. Against Mr. Hinkebein? but I don't -- I'm concerned -- and I'm concerned that 8 A. Right. Against him. 8 all of this is affecting clients and representation as 9 Q. Okay. You -- you attach the letter that 9 well. But I'm also concerned that I -- you know, the 10 you referred to earlier, the October 13, letter to 10 judges are all sending the orders to me personally, so 11 the last time I was in court, she said, "Did you 11 Judge Torrence? 12 12 receive this order?" I sent it to you personally." A. Uh-huh. 13 Q. Did -- did he response by letter to this? 13 It -- it feels -- quite frankly, it feels like a 14 A. Well, by e-mail. 14 setup. It feels like a setup to have me held in 15 15 Q. By e-mail? contempt. 16 A. He -- it was a Word document that looks 16 Q. Okay. And so as of right now, you're 17 like a letter. 17 just -- you're waiting for a response to your Bar 18 18 Q. Okay. complaint that you're self--reporting? 19 A. But he responded by e-mail, and which I 19 attached that -- that --20 20 Q. Okay. And continuing to accept those cases Q. Okay. So that's the October 17 letter? 21 21 as necessary? 22 22 A. Yes. I mean, I've -- I've gotten orders 23 23 Q. Okay. So as of right now, you -- well, that are giving me five days to enter. I'm getting 24 hold on. Let me back up. 24 orders that are saying, "Ruth Petsch must enter in 25 You also attached the transcript of a 25 fives days." I'm complying with that. I am also Page 142 Page 144 1 hearing where you moved to withdraw from --1 assigning them to lawyers because --2 2 Q. Right. A. Yeah. I just really wanted -- I wanted 3 them to see that I really -- he mentioned the 3 A. I -- I'm complying because the Court is 4 contempt, and I wanted the Court to -- or I wanted 4 ordering me to do it. So -- but like I said before, I 5 OCDC to understand that my assignment is not -- is 5 have cases where I'm entered, not because I'm really 6 because I -- I have a good faith belief that will be 6 representing those clients, but because the Court is 7 held in contempt. It's only been underlined by the 7 ordering me to do so, and I firmly believe they will fact that -- I sent -- I sent this in on the 20th, the 8 8 hold me in contempt if I do not, so -- and I'm waiting 9 order that came out. The show cause order came out on 9 to hear back from the Office of Chief Disciplinary 10 10 the 21st. I had no idea that that would be coming out Counsel That's correct 11 obviously, but I -- I wanted them to be aware that I'm 11 Q. Are you doing -- are there any cases where, 12 12 not just assigning cases because I feel compelled to for whatever reason, you are actually having to do 13 13 under penalty of going to jail. work on the case aside from entering your --14 Q. So -- and so do you right now currently 14 A. Yeah. Well, I mean, I was working -- so I 15 still represent Mr. Nevels? 15 appeared in court last Wednesday. I had multiple 16 A. I -- I don't think so. I -- we concluded 16 probation violation clients that I represented. I 17 the matter. He was continued on probation. If you 17 have a few, and -- and I have -- for the -- the 18 ask the Courts, they'll probably think I'll represent 18 probation violation docket that I'm shifting over to 19 him in perpetuity. I have no idea. 19 another attorney, I mean, I had mental done on the 20 Q. And as far as you know, how many cases are 20 guy, I've arranged -- so I'm doing some 21 you assigned to right now? 21 representation, sort of trying to tie it up. 22 22 A. My myself, personally? Q. Okay. But those are -- that's on cases 23 23 Q. Right. that you already had --A. I probably -- open cases, I probably have 2.4 24 A. Right. 25 about 40; although, as an office, we're reassigning 25 Q. - on your docket?

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

| | Page 145 | | Page 147 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | A. Right. I'm not I'm not going to | 1 | discernible differences? |
| 2 | undertake aside from entering | 2 | A. No. I don't I don't know that |
| 3 | Q. Right. | 3 | there's the prosecutor's office runs differently in |
| 4 | A I've not really been representing any of | 4 | both places, but as far as how they handle cases |
| 5 | those folks. I'm just complying with the Court's | 5 | and how they go forward. But our representation I |
| 6 | orders. | 6 | mean, there may be some reaction to those changes, |
| 7 | Q. And and you're being appointed to all | 7 | but, you know, we're |
| 8 | manner of cases; right? Not just probation? | 8 | Q. But the public defender's office that |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 9 | you're covering with your district |
| 10 | Q. Okay. Is there anything else that you | 10 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 11 | think we should know regarding your ability or your | 11 | Q there's no discernible difference |
| 12 | office's ability to provide representation to your | 12 | between how you would handle a case in Jackson County |
| 13 | clients? | 13 | versus or I'm sorry. In Kanas City versus |
| 14 | A. Not that I can think of, no. | 14 | Independence? |
| 15 | MR. WILLIAMSON: I know we've covered a lot | 15 | A. Right. |
| 16 | of ground. All right. I think that's all I have. | 16 | Q. Now, this is a question as to your |
| 17 | Thank you. | 17 | experience: Is there a trend of of crimes, of |
| 18 | MR. RAMSEY: Can we go off the record for | 18 | felonies, of misdemeanors that you see very frequently |
| 19 | just a minute? | 19 | as opposed to crimes of potentially those who are not |
| 20 | VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 12:42 p.m. | 20 | indigent would commit? |
| 21 | (Off the record.) | 21 | I can clarify that question. |
| 22 | VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, 12:42 p.m. | 22 | A. Okay. |
| 23 | EXAMINATION | 23 | Q. What types of felonies did does your |
| 24 | BY MR. RAMSEY: | 24 | office not see frequently, if there are any? |
| 25 | Q. Good afternoon. | 25 | A. Well, I I think there are a bunch. I |
| | Page 146 | | Page 148 |
| 1 | A. Good afternoon. | 1 | mean, when I talk to rural offices, we don't have |
| 2 | Q. So again, my name is Steven Ramsey, and I | 2 | like, when people will shoot a deer from a car or |
| 3 | represent the State of Missouri and Governor Greitens. | 3 | steal cattle. Things we don't have really any of |
| | I have a number of questions for you. Feel free at | 1 | |
| 4 | | 4 | those. I don't I mean, it's hard for me say |
| 4 5 | | 4 5 | those. I don't I mean, it's hard for me say |
| 5 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I | 5 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go |
| 5 6 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. | 5 6 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases |
| 5 6 7 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. | 5 6 7 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set |
| 5 6 7 8 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, | 5 6 7 8 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the | 5 6 7 8 9 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the district a bit more. | 5 6 7 8 9 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of makes sense, because if you can afford a car, then you |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the district a bit more. Right now, do you have a sense of how many | 5 6 7 8 9 10 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of makes sense, because if you can afford a car, then you may and you're in risk of losing your license, you |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the district a bit more. Right now, do you have a sense of how many criminal cases come in in Jackson County total? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of makes sense, because if you can afford a car, then you may and you're in risk of losing your license, you probably can afford a lawyer. I don't I mean, |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the district a bit more. Right now, do you have a sense of how many criminal cases come in in Jackson County total? A. No. I mean, there's data on that. I | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of makes sense, because if you can afford a car, then you may and you're in risk of losing your license, you probably can afford a lawyer. I don't I mean, everybody gets charged with stealing. Everybody |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the district a bit more. Right now, do you have a sense of how many criminal cases come in in Jackson County total? A. No. I mean, there's data on that. I haven't I haven't looked at that. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of makes sense, because if you can afford a car, then you may and you're in risk of losing your license, you probably can afford a lawyer. I don't I mean, everybody gets charged with stealing. Everybody gets charge like, there's a lot of drug crimes. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the district a bit more. Right now, do you have a sense of how many criminal cases come in in Jackson County total? A. No. I mean, there's data on that. I haven't I haven't looked at that. Q. Okay. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of makes sense, because if you can afford a car, then you may and you're in risk of losing your license, you probably can afford a lawyer. I don't I mean, everybody gets charged with stealing. Everybody gets charge like, there's a lot of drug crimes. There's a lot of and a lot of in Jackson County, |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the district a bit more. Right now, do you have a sense of how many criminal cases come in in Jackson County total? A. No. I mean, there's data on that. I haven't I haven't looked at that. Q. Okay. A. But I mean, that's achievable data. The | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of makes sense, because if you can afford a car, then you may and you're in risk of losing your license, you probably can afford a lawyer. I don't I mean, everybody gets charged with stealing. Everybody gets charge like, there's a lot of drug crimes. There's a lot of and a lot of in Jackson County, it's a lot of serious felonies, rob 1s, assault 1s. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the district a bit more. Right now, do you have a sense of how many criminal cases come in in Jackson County total? A. No. I mean, there's data on that. I haven't I haven't looked at that. Q. Okay. A. But I mean, that's achievable data. The courts keep records. I think the prosecutors keep | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of makes sense, because if you can afford a car, then you may and you're in risk of losing your license, you probably can afford a lawyer. I don't I mean, everybody gets charged with stealing. Everybody gets charge like, there's a lot of drug crimes. There's a lot of and a lot of in Jackson County, it's a lot of serious felonies, rob 1s, assault 1s. Q. Forgive my ignorance. Would the the |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the district a bit more. Right now, do you have a sense of how many criminal cases come in in Jackson County total? A. No. I mean, there's data on that. I haven't I haven't looked at that. Q. Okay. A. But I mean, that's achievable data. The courts keep records. I think the prosecutors keep records of filing. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of makes sense, because if you can afford a car, then you may and you're in risk of losing your license, you probably can afford a lawyer. I don't I mean, everybody gets charged with stealing. Everybody gets charge like, there's a lot of drug crimes. There's a lot of and a lot of in Jackson County, it's a lot of serious felonies, rob 1s, assault 1s. Q. Forgive my ignorance. Would the the broad category of white collar crimes, would that every |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the district a bit more. Right now, do you have a sense of how many criminal cases come in in Jackson County total? A. No. I mean, there's data on that. I haven't I haven't looked at that. Q. Okay. A. But I mean, that's achievable data. The courts keep records. I think the prosecutors keep records of filing. Q. And District 16 encompasses all of | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of makes sense, because if you can afford a car, then you may and you're in risk of losing your license, you probably can afford a lawyer. I don't I mean, everybody gets charged with stealing. Everybody gets charge like, there's a lot of drug crimes. There's a lot of and a lot of in Jackson County, it's a lot of serious felonies, rob 1s, assault 1s. Q. Forgive my ignorance. Would the the broad category of white collar crimes, would that ever come across your your purview? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the district a bit more. Right now, do you have a sense of how many criminal cases come in in Jackson County total? A. No. I mean, there's data on that. I haven't I haven't looked at that. Q. Okay. A. But I mean, that's achievable data. The courts keep records. I think the prosecutors keep records of filing. Q. And District 16 encompasses all of Jackson County? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of makes sense, because if you can afford a car, then you may and you're in risk of losing your license, you probably can afford a lawyer. I don't I mean, everybody gets charged with stealing. Everybody gets charge like, there's a lot of drug crimes. There's a lot of and a lot of in Jackson County, it's a lot of serious felonies, rob 1s, assault 1s. Q. Forgive my ignorance. Would the the broad category of white collar crimes, would that ever come across your your purview? A. Probably not. I mean, I know that the |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the district a bit more. Right now, do you have a sense of how many criminal cases come in in Jackson County total? A. No. I mean, there's data on that. I haven't I haven't looked at that. Q. Okay. A. But I mean, that's achievable data. The courts keep records. I think the prosecutors keep records of filing. Q. And District 16 encompasses all of Jackson County? A. Yes. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of makes sense, because if you can afford a car, then you may and you're in risk of losing your license, you probably can afford a lawyer. I don't I mean, everybody gets charged with stealing. Everybody gets charge like, there's a lot of drug crimes. There's a lot of and a lot of in Jackson County, it's a lot of serious felonies, rob 1s, assault 1s. Q. Forgive my ignorance. Would the the broad category of white collar crimes, would that ever come across your your purview? A. Probably not. I mean, I know that the prosecutor's office had a white collar crime guy who |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the district a bit more. Right now, do you have a sense of how many criminal cases come in in Jackson County total? A. No. I mean, there's data on that. I haven't — I haven't looked at that. Q. Okay. A. But I mean, that's achievable data. The courts keep records. I think the prosecutors keep records of filing. Q. And District 16 encompasses all of Jackson County? A. Yes. Q. And there aren't any differences between | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of makes sense, because if you can afford a car, then you may and you're in risk of losing your license, you probably can afford a lawyer. I don't I mean, everybody gets charged with stealing. Everybody gets charge like, there's a lot of drug crimes. There's a lot of and a lot of in Jackson County, it's a lot of serious felonies, rob 1s, assault 1s. Q. Forgive my ignorance. Would the the broad category of white collar crimes, would that ever come across your your purview? A. Probably not. I mean, I know that the prosecutor's office had a white collar crime guy who per or prosecuted a lot of stealing from |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | any point, if we need a break, let me know, because I know we've been at this for some time. A. Sure. Q. I want to go back quite a ways, back to, like, almost 9:00-ish or so and understand the district a bit more. Right now, do you have a sense of how many criminal cases come in in Jackson County total? A. No. I mean, there's data on that. I haven't I haven't looked at that. Q. Okay. A. But I mean, that's achievable data. The courts keep records. I think the prosecutors keep records of filing. Q. And District 16 encompasses all of Jackson County? A. Yes. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | compared with the private Bar. I think if you go prelim dockets, and you look at what types of cases have more private attorneys, because they they set up prelim dockets by the type of case, so more private attorneys go to DUI, driving dockets, which kind of makes sense, because if you can afford a car, then you may and you're in risk of losing your license, you probably can afford a lawyer. I don't I mean, everybody gets charged with stealing. Everybody gets charge like, there's a lot of drug crimes. There's a lot of and a lot of in Jackson County, it's a lot of serious felonies, rob 1s, assault 1s. Q. Forgive my ignorance. Would the the broad category of white collar crimes, would that ever come across your your purview? A. Probably not. I mean, I know that the prosecutor's office had a white collar crime guy who |

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

Page 149

- how -- how many of these they file, but we certainly represent the -- the stealing by omission, as I call it, so stealing from unemployment, stealing food stamps, that sort of -- things along those like lines,
- but I wouldn't say true white collar. I feel like
 most white collar crime, at least in Jackson County,
 qoes to the feds.

2.5

2.0

2.3

2.4

Q. In your 20-plus years of experience, have you seen a trend in — in receiving the same types of cases, or has there been a — an uptick in a particular type of — of felony, or I guess over the course of your — your time with the Missouri Public Defender system, how — what trends have you seen just in terms of the types of cases, if any?

A. Well, I think there's more — they say the violent crime is up, so I mean, we have a lot of murders. We have a lot more weird Internet-related things than when I — we didn't really have much Internet when I started. But we've always had robberies. There's a lot that's consistent. The type of — the type of possession. I mean, when I started years ago, there was a lot of crack, there was a lot of meth; and now, you have a lot more — I mean, crack and meth are still there, absolutely, but you have a

lot more pills, you have a lot more opioid, so there

Page 151

about our caseloads.

- Q. So it may be difficult because there are so many, but what types of organizations, if you can --
 - A. Oh, organizations? Well --
 - Q. Organizations, outlets, firms.

A. I don't know about organizations. German May represented me on my contempt hearing. I've certainly — I have a lot of friends who are lawyers, so I have friends — I've talked to people at Husch. I've talked to people at Stinson. I've talked to people at Shook. I've talked to — I've — I mean, part of it is I also do MCRC, so I'll drop off files, and then they'll say, "I saw you in the paper," and there's a discussion about what's going on. But as far as I — it's — I don't think there's been any — you know, well, a number of people told me to reach out to the ACLU with regard to my Rule 4 litigation; however, they're currently suing us, so that isn't happening.

MR. WILLIAMSON: No comment.

A. But I don't know what -- like, specific -- I mean, I'm not -- look, I'm a mom of three kids, so I literally work, you know, a dozen to 15 hours a day, and I try to spend time with my kids. I don't -- I'm not a member of a bunch of Bar organizations. I'm not

Page 150

- have been trends sort of -- the drug crimes definitely trend different ways. I can't think -- I mean, but -- guns -- well, now, we have fewer guns because carrying a concealed weapon is legal, so we have a whole host of gun cases that we routinely tried that aren't prosecuted any more.
- Q. Two steps back, I remember you testifying earlier too that you had conversations with Counsel in terms of your preparation for this deposition?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Have you also been in contact with other individuals or organizations about the -- I guess about the content of this litigation or just caseloads and workloads generally?

A. Not about the content of this litigation.

Caseload, workload, absolutely. I mean, there was a fair amount of press surrounding our Rule 4 initiative, and I've had — I mean, I had counsel represent me at my show cause hearing. I've had multiple firms talk to me about public defender issues as well as things along those lines, but I've — I've never discussed this particular lawsuit. But there's — I mean, there's a lot of talk around sort of — and there's a lot of stuff in the newspaper, so there's a lot of people you run into that talk to you

Page 152

- a member -- I -- I don't have time for any of it, quite frankly. So I -- yeah. I'm not a member of a bunch of organized groups. I don't -- I don't have time. And it's -- to me, that's one of the things that hurts public defenders more, is that we don't -- we're really isolated, and it's really easy for us to sort of get stuck, because we don't have -- we don't join Bar organizations. Well, a lot of my attorneys can't afford it, but I also -- they don't have the time to do it. They're going to be in trial, or they're going to be in jail, or they're going to be all these other places.
 - Q. (By Mr. Ramsey) Switching gears a bit in terms of how your office determines whether a particular criminal defendant is indigent --
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. -- walk me through that process, if you could.

A. So generally, a legal assistant is going to either meet them over in court, meet them over in jail. We take walk-ins. And really, any attorney in court if asked to screen someone -- I mean, I -- when I'm in court, I screen people all the time. We have an application. We follow the federal poverty guidelines. I mean, our application is on our

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

Page 155 Page 153 website. It's not at all a secret. And you fill out 1 that you're in, that you will receive representation 1 2 the application, they qualify or they don't, you mark 2 if the system is able? 3 them indigent, you let them know the determination, 3 A. Well, in general, I mean, there's --4 4 Chapter 600 has guidelines on it, so you also take and you go back to the office and -- and -- and you 5 5 either have a file. Right now, you might be on the into account assets. You take into account other 6 postponement list, something along those lines. So 6 things. I don't know the number. I mean, I --7 7 it's really sort of self-reporting. I mean, a big there -- we -- we keep the data. I have a -- I have a 8 chunk of our folks are in jail, so they're not 8 drawer full of denied applications. We keep those 9 9 app -- you know, they get archived. They get sent working. If they have a home, they're not going to --10 10 places. So we certainly -- people get denied all the it's usually -- there's -- it's usually not very 11 11 time. Now, that being said, the majority of our tricky in Jackson County, the poverty. I know rural 12 12 denied applications, we are then appointed -- the offices have people who they think are hiding assets. 13 I don't get that sense here. But it's true we 13 judge will make their own determination. A client is 14 don't -- we don't double check, and we don't have the 14 and allowed to appeal our findings to the Court, and 15 15 resources to do that, so if someone says they have no nine times out of ten, even the people we denied 16 assets, I don't run a search of their personal 16 become our clients because the judges find them 17 property tax. I don't run a search -- I don't -- that 17 indigent and appoint us anyway. 18 18 Q. Now, does that happen at a hearing? Like, would be 20 minutes we don't have. 19 19 do you have an opportunity to say, "Here's why we made Q. So if I'm understanding you correctly, the 20 20 this determination," or is that held outside of the screening process is the application? 21 2.1 A. Yep. courtroom? 22 Q. And you all follow the federal poverty 22 A. Sometimes. Sometimes they -- generally, 23 guidelines? 23 we're there. Sometimes the judges do it when we're 24 A. Uh-huh. 24 not there. 25 25 Q. And if a person says, "Hey, I make under Q. Uh-huh. Page 154 Page 156 1 those," they will receive representation if you're 1 A. They just say, "Were you screened?" And 2 2 able? the client says, "Yes, and I was denied." And hen 3 A. Right. You have to be poor enough to 3 they'll say, "Do you have a house, do you have 4 qualify, basically. 4 some" -- in court the other day, somebody's mom 5 Q. And did I hear you correctly that there are 5 stood -- or we don't even know how she was related to 6 no controls for that or there's no monitoring; it's a 6 the client, and was like, "He doesn't have money." 7 7 Because he's like, "I'm going to hire someone." She self-monitoring type of a system? 8 8 A. It's -- yes. It's generally goes, "No, he doesn't have money." And my lawyers 9 self-reporting. I mean, I guess if we suspected 9 were just aghast, because they were like, how -- they 10 10 someone, we could look at it. I've certainly had don't even who that woman is who is answering his 11 11 clients who have bonded or something happened where I financial questions to have him found indigent, which 12 moved to withdraw based on I -- I don't think they 12 the judge did. The judges appoint us a lot, and did 13 apply -- or they don't qualify for us, that we weren't 13 before -- even before now, where they're appointing us 14 aware of funding or somehow, you know, they made a 14 all the time. 15 \$20,000 bond, and they have the resources to hire 15 Q. So I'm understanding two different tracks 16 counsel if that happened, but it generally has to sort 16 here. One is the determination but the Missouri 17 of come up on us. 17 Public Defender's system? 18 Q. Now, this may be difficult to ascertain 18 A. Uh-huh. 19 just sitting here, but do you have a sense of the 19 Q. And then the second is the consideration 20 rejection rate for those who apply? And I know you 2.0 of, even when we reject some, we'll get appointed 21 mentioned that if they fill out the application, they 21 there? 2.2 22 generally come in. A. Yes. 23 A. Uh-huh. 23 Q. Focusing on the former --24

39 (Pages 153 to 156)

Fax: 314.644.1334

Q. - so if I heard you correctly, you all do

24

25

25

Q. But is that close to 100 percent, bright

line rule, if you're under the federal poverty lines,

A. Uh-huh.

Page 159 Page 157 1 reject applicants, and I think you said all the time. 1 is that a determination that goes into whether or not 2 A. Well, I don't -- I can't tell you what 2 they're represented during their --3 percent, but we definitely do. We have a drawer full 3 A. No. 4 of rejected applications. 4 Q. No? Okay. 5 Q. Okay. So there's -- and if you're unable 5 A. It hasn't been, to my knowledge. 6 to, let me know, and I'll just move on. 6 Q. Okay. 7 7 A. Uh-huh. A. If people are screening that out -- you 8 Q. Would you peg it at 80 percent approval --8 know, crazy things happen all the time, but I -- I 9 acceptance rate or 90? 9 mean, it -- we can -- it's supposed to be a civil 10 A. I would definitely say it's high. I don't 10 matter. It's supposed to be completely separate. So know the percentage. 11 we screen people in new even if they had a private 11 12 12 Q. Okay. Okay. Here's a -- a question, and attorney on the underlying case. 13 it's related to what goes into the -- the 13 Q. And now, I'm not trying to trick you on 14 determination. And let me know if this never happens. 14 this one 15 But say a criminal defendant has multiple cases --15 A Sure 16 A. Uh-huh. 16 Q. I'm just trying to understand that if it's 17 Q. -- and in -- you know, let's say he has 17 a fairly bright line rule for who qualifies for public 18 18 four cases. Out of the three cases, he has private defender representation, of these various factors, how 19 counsel? 19 would they have been taken into consideration? 20 A. Right. 20 Said another way, if I fill out the 21 Q. On the fourth --21 application, and I would normally -- normally would be 22 22 eligible, but there are these other things going on, A. Yeah. 23 23 Q. -- he wants to have -when does that -- when do the other things going on 24 24 A. Uh-huh. come into play in terms of whether you accept or 2.5 Q. - a public defender represent him because 2.5 reject a particular candidate? Page 158 Page 160 1 I guess his assets have been --1 A. Well, I think if you qualify under the 2 2 numbers, you'd probably be accepted --A. Depleted, yeah, sure. 3 3 Q. By funding the former cases. Is that a Q. Okay. 4 relevant factor in whether or not the public defender 4 A. -- whether you had a private attorney on 5 system ascertains whether he would -- reaches that 5 another case or not. 6 poverty line for -- for representation? 6 Q. Got it. Thank you. 7 A. I think it's -- people bring it into 7 Are there any situations where the public 8 8 consideration, but I mean, we used to reject -- anyone defender system will enter before they have been --9 who had a private attorney, we rejected outright, and 9 before you all have been -- well, your district, 10 10 then there was some changes in the law saying we pardon me. In your district, are there situations 11 couldn't do that. So we definitely -- well, one, we 11 where you all will enter before being appointed or 12 12 try to get -- it's -- it's a mess. One, it's legally before a determination is made for indigency? 13 13 a mess, just because you're supposed to now -- if A. Only if we're forced to enter. I mean, 14 there's any plea that's going to happen, you have to 14 we're going to make a -- an indigency determination 15 consult with that lawyer. But I -- I wouldn't say --15 before we enter. Juvenile court appoints us all the 16 16 it used to be a very strong factor, and it no longer time without any application ever being taken. And 17 17 what we try and do is contact them and get an 18 Q. I see. And that's due to some legal 18 application before we have to make it a legal deal. I 19 considerations that --19 mean, a lot of the clients are indigent, and we're 20 20 certainly not fighting representing qualifying 21 Q. Same type of question, different scenario. 21 clients. Or we haven't, just like -- now, we are 22 Case and chief is over, and now, we're talking about 22 because of our ethics. But before that, we pretty 23 23 probation revocation. much took everybody, but you have to be qualified. 24 24 A. Uh-huh. You can't physically open a file in our computer 25 Q. So say someone had private counsel before, 25 without an application.

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

| | Page 161 | | Page 163 |
|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | Q. Now, say, the system accepts a particular | 1 | Q. 2017. Pardon me. |
| 2 | criminal defendant, and then after the fact, right, a | 2 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 3 | prosecutor or it comes to your knowledge that the | 3 | Q. Do you have any sense for how you stack up |
| 4 | person may have considerably more assets. | 4 | compared to other offices within Missouri that are |
| 5 | A. Sure. | 5 | comparable in size? |
| 6 | Q. And I know you alluded to this before. How | 6 | A. There's not many offices that are I |
| 7 | does that process work? Is that upon is that | 7 | mean, we're the largest Kansas City is the largest |
| 8 | burden upon the individual attorney who is | 8 | in the state. I think Saint Louis has maybe four |
| 9 | representing the case to say, "This seems a little | 9 | fewer attorneys, and then you go down to, like, 24, so |
| 10 | little funny to me," and to make a motion to withdraw, | 10 | I think Saint Louis has a fairly high turnover rate as |
| 11 | or how does that process work? | 11 | well. I know for us, I'm lucky that I get to fill, |
| 12 | A. Right. So if if during the course of | 12 | because we have rural offices who have had positions |
| 13 | representation, people become aware that the person | 13 | open for a year that they can't fill, so I'm lucky in |
| 14 | has assets or didn't disclose assets, something | 14 | that sense. But I don't think I'm I mean, I think |
| 15 | Q. Uh-huh. | 15 | that 20 to 30 percent is pretty normal. It slowed |
| 16 | A they'll move to withdraw. Or a lot | 16 | down a little around, like, 2009 where there weren't |
| 17 | what happens most commonly is they make a large bond, | 17 | any jobs, but aside from that, it's been it's been |
| 18 | which sometimes indicates that, you know, they have | 18 | pretty consistent, and on the higher end. |
| 19 | disposable income. But we we definitely have | 19 | Q. And you had testified earlier as to a |
| 20 | attorneys move to withdraw in those cases. | 20 | number of educated guesses on what you think would be |
| 21 | Q. Next wave, if you will, after the those | 21 | necessary to fill these positions in a sense in a |
| 22 | determinations have been made | 22 | way that would be ethical and |
| 23 | A. Uh-huh. | 23 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 24 | Q and the cases come to your, I guess your | 24 | Q and would be reasonable. Are those |
| 25 | desk, and you're deciding how to to divvy them up, | 25 | determinations and I I remember you were saying |
| | | | |
| | Page 162 | | Page 164 |
| 1 | and I believe you said your deputy takes care of most | 1 | that they were based upon your experience. |
| 2 | of that | 2 | A. Sure. |
| 3 | A. Uh-huh. | 3 | Q. Are they based on anything else, like |
| 4 | • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1 | ,,,g, |
| | Q that process. Are there any local | 4 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice |
| 5 | Q that process. Are there any local policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it | 4 5 | |
| 5 6 | • | | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice |
| | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it | 5 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this — or were those guesses |
| 6 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? | 5 6 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of |
| 6 7 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know | 5 6 7 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not |
| 6 7 8 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I | 5 6 7 8 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of |
| 6 7 8 9 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I do have I guess I do. So I have my attorneys | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of cases they have. I mean, whenever I talk to the firms |
| 6 7 8 9 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I do have I guess I do. So I have my attorneys are on teams, and each team has an on week so that | 5 6 7 8 9 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of cases they have. I mean, whenever I talk to the firms about our numbers, they shake their heads. I think it |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I do have I guess I do. So I have my attorneys are on teams, and each team has an on week so that they're not running around like crazy. So there's | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of cases they have. I mean, whenever I talk to the firms about our numbers, they shake their heads. I think it was more, okay, we have we're entered on 4100 open |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I do have I guess I do. So I have my attorneys are on teams, and each team has an on week so that they're not running around like crazy. So there's four teams, so each week, they they get a | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of cases they have. I mean, whenever I talk to the firms about our numbers, they shake their heads. I think it was more, okay, we have we're entered on 4100 open cases. If, you know, I want to get that number down |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I do have I guess I do. So I have my attorneys are on teams, and each team has an on week so that they're not running around like crazy. So there's four teams, so each week, they they get a assigned the cases that are going to be prelimed | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of cases they have. I mean, whenever I talk to the firms about our numbers, they shake their heads. I think it was more, okay, we have we're entered on 4100 open cases. If, you know, I want to get that number down to a reasonable it was more sort of math. But |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I do have — I guess I do. So I have — my attorneys are on teams, and each team has an on week so that they're not running around like crazy. So there's four teams, so each week, they — they get a — assigned the cases that are going to be prelimed during that week. So teams may get some of an | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of cases they have. I mean, whenever I talk to the firms about our numbers, they shake their heads. I think it was more, okay, we have we're entered on 4100 open cases. If, you know, I want to get that number down to a reasonable it was more sort of math. But and that's me presuming that 40 cases is a reasonable |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I do have I guess I do. So I have my attorneys are on teams, and each team has an on week so that they're not running around like crazy. So there's four teams, so each week, they they get a assigned the cases that are going to be prelimed during that week. So teams may get some of an overload because it's their on week, and then the next | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of cases they have. I mean, whenever I talk to the firms about our numbers, they shake their heads. I think it was more, okay, we have we're entered on 4100 open cases. If, you know, I want to get that number down to a reasonable it was more sort of math. But and that's me presuming that 40 cases is a reasonable caseload for people. I that's not I don't think |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I do have I guess I do. So I have my attorneys are on teams, and each team has an on week so that they're not running around like crazy. So there's four teams, so each week, they they get a assigned the cases that are going to be prelimed during that week. So teams may get some of an overload because it's their on week, and then the next week, it will be a different team's on week. So we do | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of cases they have. I mean, whenever I talk to the firms about our numbers, they shake their heads. I think it was more, okay, we have we're entered on 4100 open cases. If, you know, I want to get that number down to a reasonable it was more sort of math. But and that's me presuming that 40 cases is a reasonable caseload for people. I that's not I don't think 40 murders a reasonable caseload for someone, so or |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I do have I guess I do. So I have my attorneys are on teams, and each team has an on week so that they're not running around like crazy. So there's four teams, so each week, they they get a assigned the cases that are going to be prelimed during that week. So teams may get some of an overload because it's their on week, and then the next week, it will be a different team's on week. So we do try and do that mostly for the efficiency of the | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of cases they have. I mean, whenever I talk to the firms about our numbers, they shake their heads. I think it was more, okay, we have we're entered on 4100 open cases. If, you know, I want to get that number down to a reasonable it was more sort of math. But and that's me presuming that 40 cases is a reasonable caseload for people. I that's not I don't think 40 murders a reasonable caseload for someone, so or 40 all serious crimes. So again, take that with the |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I do have I guess I do. So I have my attorneys are on teams, and each team has an on week so that they're not running around like crazy. So there's four teams, so each week, they they get a assigned the cases that are going to be prelimed during that week. So teams may get some of an overload because it's their on week, and then the next week, it will be a different team's on week. So we do try and do that mostly for the efficiency of the lawyers so that they can so that it groups their | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of cases they have. I mean, whenever I talk to the firms about our numbers, they shake their heads. I think it was more, okay, we have we're entered on 4100 open cases. If, you know, I want to get that number down to a reasonable it was more sort of math. But and that's me presuming that 40 cases is a reasonable caseload for people. I that's not I don't think 40 murders a reasonable caseload for someone, so or 40 all serious crimes. So again, take that with the caveat that it depends on the caseload, it depends the |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I do have I guess I do. So I have my attorneys are on teams, and each team has an on week so that they're not running around like crazy. So there's four teams, so each week, they they get a assigned the cases that are going to be prelimed during that week. So teams may get some of an overload because it's their on week, and then the next week, it will be a different team's on week. So we do try and do that mostly for the efficiency of the lawyers so that they can so that it groups their their prelim court dates together during a week out of | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of cases they have. I mean, whenever I talk to the firms about our numbers, they shake their heads. I think it was more, okay, we have we're entered on 4100 open cases. If, you know, I want to get that number down to a reasonable it was more sort of math. But and that's me presuming that 40 cases is a reasonable caseload for people. I that's not I don't think 40 murders a reasonable caseload for someone, so or 40 all serious crimes. So again, take that with the caveat that it depends on the caseload, it depends the level on of the level of experience of the |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I do have I guess I do. So I have my attorneys are on teams, and each team has an on week so that they're not running around like crazy. So there's four teams, so each week, they they get a assigned the cases that are going to be prelimed during that week. So teams may get some of an overload because it's their on week, and then the next week, it will be a different team's on week. So we do try and do that mostly for the efficiency of the lawyers so that they can so that it groups their their prelim court dates together during a week out of the month instead of just on any given day. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of cases they have. I mean, whenever I talk to the firms about our numbers, they shake their heads. I think it was more, okay, we have we're entered on 4100 open cases. If, you know, I want to get that number down to a reasonable it was more sort of math. But and that's me presuming that 40 cases is a reasonable caseload for people. I that's not I don't think 40 murders a reasonable caseload for someone, so or 40 all serious crimes. So again, take that with the caveat that it depends on the caseload, it depends the level on of the level of experience of the attorney, it depends on all of those factors. Yeah. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I do have — I guess I do. So I have — my attorneys are on teams, and each team has an on week so that they're not running around like crazy. So there's four teams, so each week, they — they get a — assigned the cases that are going to be prelimed during that week. So teams may get some of an overload because it's their on week, and then the next week, it will be a different team's on week. So we do try and do that mostly for the efficiency of the lawyers so that they can — so that it groups their — their prelim court dates together during a week out of the month instead of just on any given day. Q. Concerning your particular office, and I'm | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this or were those guesses your A. Well, I haven't I guess I'm I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of cases they have. I mean, whenever I talk to the firms about our numbers, they shake their heads. I think it was more, okay, we have we're entered on 4100 open cases. If, you know, I want to get that number down to a reasonable it was more sort of math. But and that's me presuming that 40 cases is a reasonable caseload for people. I that's not I don't think 40 murders a reasonable caseload for someone, so or 40 all serious crimes. So again, take that with the caveat that it depends on the caseload, it depends the level on of the level of experience of the attorney, it depends on all of those factors. Yeah. He just said, how many would you like? And I was |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | policies in place for how you divvy them up, or is it more or less discretionary? A. It's pretty discretionary. I know historically, we can pull up individual caseloads. I do have — I guess I do. So I have — my attorneys are on teams, and each team has an on week so that they're not running around like crazy. So there's four teams, so each week, they — they get a — assigned the cases that are going to be prelimed during that week. So teams may get some of an overload because it's their on week, and then the next week, it will be a different team's on week. So we do try and do that mostly for the efficiency of the lawyers so that they can — so that it groups their — their prelim court dates together during a week out of the month instead of just on any given day. Q. Concerning your particular office, and I'm speaking specifically, pardon me, of the turnover | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | comparable offices in Missouri, private practice across the states, or is this — or were those guesses your — A. Well, I haven't — I guess I'm — I've not reached out to private attorneys on the number of cases they have. I mean, whenever I talk to the firms about our numbers, they shake their heads. I think it was more, okay, we have — we're entered on 4100 open cases. If, you know, I want to get that number down to a reasonable — it was more sort of math. But — and that's me presuming that 40 cases is a reasonable caseload for people. I — that's not — I don't think 40 murders a reasonable caseload for someone, so — or 40 all serious crimes. So again, take that with the caveat that it depends on the caseload, it depends the level on — of the level of experience of the attorney, it depends on all of those factors. Yeah. He just said, how many would you like? And I was like, oh, I'll take that. I mean, if you gave me |

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

Page 165 Page 167 1 question here, do you track them by the charges that trial skills, you can start in October; you may not 1 2 are on a particular case or by criminal defendant? 2 have trial skills until nine months in. You might 3 A. Well, we have multiple views in our system, 3 have trial in that amount of time. So there's a lot 4 4 so I -- most people look up by name or case number. of -- I mean, we do a lot of stuff that is pretty 5 5 but I can look up by primary charge. I mean, we have basic. Like, here's impeachment, here's -- or here 6 a lot of cases with multiple charges, so we usually 6 are the discovery rules, and here's how to enforce 7 7 list the primary charge as the most serious or one of them. And because we have -- you know, basically 8 the most serious, and so I can look at the type of 8 chronic turnover, we're sort of constantly -- you only 9 9 case under primary charge. I can search that way. I hit this section, and then some of them are gone, and 10 10 mean, I could -- I search -- when the Bazell news came then new people are in. out, I searched "stealing," and I think I had 10,000 11 11 Q. Concerning the funds for representation; 12 12 right? So after you bring someone into the system, cases. So there are ways to search other things in 13 the database, if that makes sense. There's a variety 13 and they -- they're eligible, that's a -- is that a 14 of views and search methods. 14 flat fee for the criminal defendants? 15 Q. Now -- so I -- I understand that the system 15 A. The fee? 16 at large has annual trainings for various defenders, 16 Q. Yes, ma'am. 17 and those are mandated upon particular assistant 17 A. That's my understanding, yes. 18 public defenders? 18 Q. So it's not a sliding scale of various 19 A. Right. 19 folks on the various levels of the --20 20 Q. In your office, you had mentioned that A. Well, the fee is depending on the type of 21 there are the additional trainings over the lunchtime 21 case. 22 22 Q. Okay. 23 23 Δ Uh-huh A. There used to be more of a sliding skill 24 Q. Were any of these additional lunchtime 24 about whether you took it to trial or not or 2.5 period trainings focused on workload or -- or caseload 25 whether -- but the fee is charged -- yeah. So I think Page 166 Page 168 1 management issues, or any other training for that 1 an A felony is one thing, a probation violation is one 2 2 matter? thing. That's my understanding. 3 3 A. I don't think I've done an internal Q. Okay. And in juvenile cases, does the 4 caseload management issue. I mean, the statewide 4 parent or guardian pay that or --5 training has a variety of tracks just with hundreds of 5 A. There's no fee for clients under -- we 6 people going. So I do think there are -- I think 6 don't charge clients who cannot enter into a contract. 7 7 Q. Turning to the use of funds for depositions there have been sessions on keeping yourself 8 organized, relaxation, you know. I mean, there's a 8 and discovery --9 variety of people that come and -- and talk in a 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 10 three-day training. What all of those are, I don't Q. -- and I believe you had mentioned it was 11 know, but I -- I tend to be more of a law nerd, and I 11 the encumbrance for a fund or the encumbrance level? 12 12 tend to track toward less about self-care and more A. Right. 13 13 about where -- where the legal issues, and those would Q. Are there any policies guiding -- any local 14 be the tracks that I would go to. 14 district policies guiding how many depositions one may

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

Fax: 314.644.1334

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

take if they have the time?

A. Well, I don't think there's -- there's a

policy on it. I mean, in general, people discuss with

are the people. I mean, I've never had a trial where

that people would consider less important or less --

you know, that a dep -- deposition is less necessary.

anyone request all the people is when they're unable

but I also get that there's some witnesses that --

So -- and actually, the only time I've ever seen

their team leader, and they can discuss with me, here

I deposed every single person. I would have liked to,

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for interrupting.

Q. What other areas of focus in your district

or pardon me, your assistant public defenders in your

have you seen fit to train your district defenders --

district on? And who makes that call? I apologize

A. No. I understand. So sometimes new

present on Daughbert, because that's a new area of

things -- so, you know, I had one of my lawyers

criminal law, and it's a significant shift from Frye

to Daughbert. You know, the problem with our

statewide trainings is, you know, someone goes to

Page 169 Page 171 1 to contact any of them, and they think, the only way 1 encumbrance requests, so denials, like an official 2 I'm going to get to talk to these people is if I 2 denial on the computer would be very rare. Then I do 3 subpoena them for deposition because I've not been 3 unofficial, "edit this," all the time. Probably 4 able to get them to talk to me voluntarily. 4 5 Q. In your career up until this point, have 5 Q. Do you have a sense for if I -- and I know 6 you ever been denied a deposition that you wanted to 6 you -- you had mentioned and you had testified earlier 7 7 that you don't have a -- a ton of misdemeanors that take? 8 8 you all --9 9 A. Right. Q. Have you ever denied a deposition for one 10 10 Q. -- are handling, but is there any of the attorneys who you supervised that they wanted limitations for wanting to use an expert in a 11 11 12 12 A. I -- I have. Not very many. Although, it misdemeanor case as opposed to a felony case? 13 generally wasn't based -- maybe I've had one or two 13 A. Nope. 14 that were based on, you don't need to do a deposition 14 Q. Okay. 15 there. More based on sometimes new attorneys have 15 A. I -- I say, "There are no small cases," and 16 some sort of farfetched ideas about how things go, and 16 I say that to my lawyers who say, "I don't want 17 it's more of a, like, are you sure you want to do 17 misdemeanors." I'm like, it's important -- they're 18 18 all important to the client. this? Are you sure this the route you want to get? 19 Or sometimes, are you sure you want to create bad 19 Q. Step back, the policy procedure, when you 20 evidence? 20 want to -- to implement or promulgate is probably the 21 21 Q. Same question, slightly different topic. improper word. But when you want to implement a 22 22 policy in your district --Have you, personally, ever been denied an expert 23 23 A. Uh-huh. witness that you felt was necessary for your case? 2.4 A. No. 24 Q. -- what goes into that? Is it merely a 2.5 Q. And have you denied an expert to one of the 2.5 decision based upon your judgment, and you send an Page 170 Page 172 1 attorneys that you supervised that they felt was 1 e-mail to everyone and say, "Here's the new policy," 2 2 necessary to their case? or walk me through that. 3 3 A. No. I don't -- I'm just the mid level A. Yes. Although, I -- I don't think I've 4 approver for that, so I have seen people get denied. 4 ever implemented a policy with not -- without 5 However, I generally punt it up if -- if there's 5 talking -- like I said, I have a pretty good 6 something I -- I'm usually a "yes," and then if it 6 relationship with upper management, and I appreciate 7 7 their feedback and their thoughts about how things go. gets denied, it's at the -- the higher level. 8 Q. And do you have a sense for why those were 8 As well as, I don't want to -- I've not memorized 9 denied? And I know that -- the systemwide question, 9 every policy, local policy necessarily, and I 10 10 that's not what I'm asking you. But do you have any certainly haven't memorized every MSPD policy, and 11 personal knowledge for why requests from your office 11 it's good to know if there's a conflict. But I 12 12 for expert testimony -don't -- I've only -- aside from minor things, we're 13 13 A. Uh-huh. going to screen this person now, I've probably only 14 Q. -- were denied in the past? 14 implemented three probably major policies in my 15 A. It's usually money. 15 tenure. And that's first-time felon, vertical 16 16 Q. Money? representation, I don't even know what the third would 17 A. Well, could you get a cheaper expert, could 17 be. Maybe this -- the Rule 4. So to say that I'm 18 you get someone who's local, could you -- you know, we 18 implementing new policies all the time, I'm not. 19 think maybe we could do this in-house. But it's all 19 Q. I guess my question is: Could you if you 2.0 about saving money, or that's been my impression. 20 wanted to implement --2.1 I'm -- I've -- I don't know everyone that's obviously 21 A. I think I probably could. 22 22 been denied statewide, but if I had somebody who -- I Q. Okay. And so those questions when you were 23 23 send back requests all the time to say, this is isn't reaching out to central -- to central management or 2.4 2.4 on point, or this isn't something -- I have people upper management, that's just because you have that

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

Fax: 314.644.1334

relationship and you want their input versus having to

25

edit -- probably one a day, I have people edit

25

Page 173 Page 175 seek approval from them; is that -really to keep us from making people felons who 1 1 2 A. Right. I think --2 don't -- you know, making a decision either to get out 3 Q. Okay. 3 of jail or some -- because often, first-time felons 4 get probation office -- offers, and they really want 4 A. Let me think. I know when we went forward 5 5 on first-time felon, in fact, my deputy who then to get out, and getting the protection of a lawyer 6 6 became my supervisor tried to make it statewide, and who's not really a lawyer. You know, we're not 7 7 it didn't become a -- a policy statewide. Other meeting any of our ethical standards there either, 8 people opposed it. So I have a local office policy 8 9 that didn't succeed statewide. 9 Q. And then vertical representation, I presume 10 10 Q. And would -- could you tell me more about that's from beginning to end --11 the -- the first time felony policy? And I'll ask 11 A. Uh-huh. 12 12 about the vertical --Q. -- of a particular case that was produced 13 A. Sure. 13 to you all? 14 Q. -- representation in just a moment. 14 A. Right. When I started, we had docket 15 But my understanding was that if someone is 15 attorneys -- we had vertical representation on serious 16 going to plead to a felony for the first time, and 16 cases only, and we had docket attorneys. So if you 17 they're not currently a felon --17 had a tampering case and, you know, stealing of a car, 18 A. Uh-huh. 18 you would get a separate lawyer at prelim, you'd get a 19 Q. - they have to have a conversation with 19 separate lawyer at the -- and "separate" meaning they you first? 20 20 represented everybody on that docket, so 20 to 30 21 21 A. Correct. people. So -- who you were supposed to have read

Page 174

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

arraignment, a separate lawyer at the second

settlement conference, a separate lawyer at

their police reports and have a client relationship

with, and that attorney wasn't entering. So you'd get

a separate lawyer at prelim, a separate lawyer at the

Page 176

Q. Okay. A. -- you can proceed." And so I have a conversation. And largely, I ask them what they've investigated. If someone's going to trial, and it's the morning of trial, and the client says, "I want the deal," and we've investigated the case, that is the client's call. What I think we can't do is plead people to felon -- well, really, we shouldn't do it on any case, but first-time felon is the only one I have a policy on that and that I check. We should not be pleading people and saying we're competent counsel when we're not. Representing theirselves as competent counsel and standing there is a problem to me. Have we pled first-time felons that we've done -- not done work on? Well, historically, many. And more recently, yes. Some -- we've had judges order people to plead people, because the client says, "I want it." What -- what we've done, generally, is if a client wants it, and we've done no work, we've asked to move to withdraw and let client plead pro se, which the Courts are really uncomfortable with; although, they're not uncomfortable with us saying we've done

nothing on the case, and we're essentially a potted

But that's -- the first-time felon was

Q. Is that a -- they have to seek approval?

You have to say, "Yes, you may proceed," or is it just

A. Well, I do have to say, "Yes --

a conversation that --

settlement conference that generally happens, and then the case would be assigned after you got sent to a trial division, which -- so you would have gone through a handful of court dates, probably four months to eight months of representation without a single lawyer entering, very little investigation. Hopefully you got police reports. And so what I did is said, "You're going to assign this person from beginning to end," which is what the ABA guidelines say, and it's really the only sensical way to practice.

Q. Do you have a sense for whether most districts or any districts that you're aware of outside of District 16 follow the vertical representation as opposed to horizontal or --

A. I think all of them do. I mean, in most rural counties, you have one lawyer per county, so whether it be by intention or by convenience, that attorney is going to follow every case in that county from beginning to end. I would -- ours was one of the last offices to have docket attorneys. And that was in 20 -- I mean, we went vertical in 2012, so we've been vertical almost five years.

Q. Returning to experts, and -- and those funds utilized for them, is there a time-keeping or

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

Fax: 314.644.1334

plant.

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 179 Page 177 1 time tracking system for the use of experts? 1 A. Currently, no. Have we for years? Yes. 2 A. I'm not sure the -- that we're tracking 2 Q. Did you have a sense for when that began 3 their time? 3 and ended? 4 4 A. No. I really don't. I mean, it's been in Q. Yes, ma'am. 5 A. Well, they are supposed to bill by the 5 the last five years, I think. But I don't -- we spent 6 hour. When we do a request, in the request, we are 6 years time tracking. I don't recall the -- I -- not 7 7 supposed to put the expert's hourly rate in their at all. 8 estimates of hours. And if they need more hours, we 8 Q. When you were tracking time -- well, two 9 are supposed to do an updated request that talks about 9 steps back: As a district defender -- well, before 10 approximate hours. The request should have their 10 you were district defender, pardon me, and that -- was hourly rate. I mean, if we're talking about that 2011? 11 11 12 12 testimony, sometimes testimony is a different rate for A. Uh-huh. 13 certain experts, things like that. All of that should 13 Q. Did you track time before that? 14 be in the request. 14 A. For periods, yes. Q. And does your office -- or do you maintain 1.5 15 Q. Okay. And in the most recent period after 16 a list of potential experts for your attorneys, or is 16 you were district defender, and you were tracking 17 that a burden on the actual attorney to research and 17 18 18 A. Uh-huh. find an expert? 19 A. Well, we have -- we have an expert witness 19 Q. -- do you know if that was by charge, by 20 database for experts we have used. Obviously, I 20 case, by criminal defendant, by task? 21 don't -- I mean, I wouldn't recommend just pulling any 21 A. So when you tracked, you went in, and there 22 old person off that, because the -- they may not have 22 was case specific and not case specific, and I think 23 23 testified well. But then sometimes, I think you can there was administrative. So if you were doing work 24 contact the money people and say, "Who has used these 24 on a particular client's case, you tracked that. If 2.5 experts?" So you can get in communication with them 2.5 you were waiting in court at a docket, that's hard to Page 178 Page 180 1 of whether they would be a valuable expert or not. 1 track to a specific case. If you did administrative 2 2 Q. Was there ever a time -- were you -- were tasks sometimes -- some of them could be tracked to a 3 you, personally, ever coerced into utilizing an expert 3 case; some of them could not. So you'd go in, you'd 4 when you thought you did not need one? 4 select that, and then under that, you'd select, you 5 A. No. 5 know, whether you were doing investigation on a 6 Q. Have you ever coerced any of your attorneys 6 specific case, whether -- I mean, I did a lot of -- I 7 into utilizing an expert when they thought they didn't 7 have a lot of -- I mean, a lot of what I do is talk to 8 8 need one? people about stuff most of my day, so I do a lot of, 9 A. I would hope not. I mean, I talk to my 9 like, general management that sort of thing. But some 10 10 of it fairly got specific. I mean, we had to -- we lawyers pretty much every appraisal, and almost every 11 time, my suggestions are, you know, look into what 11 had a special database we went into to track. 12 experts are out there, because so many of my lawyers 12 Q. Do you recollect if there was any, like, 13 13 type of time management controller or any type of use so few experts. 14 Q. Switching gears again, does your office 14 control or monitoring of how individuals were entering 15 oversee the collection of funds that are outstanding, 15 their time? 16 or is that a central office mechanism? 16 A. I'm not exactly sure what you mean. 17 A. Fee funds? 17 Q. Yeah. Was there a dedicated position in 18 Q Yes 18 your district in your experience to someone managing 19 A. No. I mean, I don't. I -- upper 19 the -- the time that people said that they were doing? 2.0 management, I believe, has a system. I don't. 20 So in other words, was there any oversight to the 21 Q. Okay. So the districts do --21 timekeeping? 22 22 A. I personally -- yeah. We don't take A. No. I -- I mean, if anything, I was always 23 checks. We don't -- that would be a nightmare. 23 of the opinion my lawyers underreported because 24 2.4 Q. In your office currently, are you all timekeeping was fairly arduous, and I don't know many

45 (Pages 177 to 180)

Fax: 314.644.1334

of them said, "Well, I could be working on more cases

tracking time for particular tasks in -- in cases?

25

25

2.5

Page 181

if you didn't make me track time." So I never worried about over-reporting. I did worry about under-reporting because I felt like a lot of my lawyers were doing work that they weren't tracking because they would -- the input took a lot of time.

Q. Do you remember if that time system, that time tracking system -- and forgive me if -- if you answered this already -- if it tracked by a particular charge. So a felony A, I put -- I put in this much work on a felony A versus a felony B as opposed to --

A. I think it did.

2.4

Q. -- this criminal defendant?

A. I -- I think -- well, I think you track by client and case number, because you could do it -- you could input it, and you could also go to the client's case, and then hit the button to add hours, and it would then specifically put in that case. Now, if there was multiple counts within a case, that's a -- there's no idea what you could do, but I think you could do it both client and case specific. That's my recollection.

Q. Do you remember if there was ever a training on how to track your time, or was it a -- just something, an e-mail that was sent out that said, "Hey track your time from -- from here on out"?

Page 183

but we also have, you know, cases with 18, 35 counts. We -- I mean, the opposite happens a lot too.

Q. Is it more or less likely for a multiple-count criminal defendant to appear as opposed to a single-count defendant?

A. I feel like in Jackson County, more. But I also think Jackson County prosecutors really consolidate — consolidate as much as possible, or that's what my attorneys want to believe because they think that their cases are not being counted fairly, but when you have — and in — so if you have a robbery, you're going to have an ACH. I mean, if there's a — like, the ACA is everywhere, especially if there's any sort of gun around, so I feel like more often than not, we have multiple counts. Or you know, a burglary almost always has a stealing, because that's why it's a burglary. There are a lot things that go in pairs.

Q. Turning to the budget and how the budget operates with you as a district defender, I'm recalling that you testified that you — there are various earmarks for how much money you can utilize for certain things?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. In your experience, have you ever run out

Page 182

A. So I've tracked time multiple times, and I don't — and because it's — it's been several years, I don't really remember. I mean, I remember personally with my staff having it on the overhead and saying, "What do you think?" And constantly either looking it up or e-mailing somebody and saying, "What does this count as?" What — you know, we did this. Does that count as this? Does that count — how do we track that? There was a lot of resource that way. I don't — I don't recall — I don't recall there not being one. I don't recall there being one.

Q. Within similar types of cases, is it fair to suggest that different cases have different needs?

A. Sure, yeah.

Q. So a – a criminal – or sorry. A felony A is not a felony A is not a felony A, said another way?

A. Right

Q. In your personal experience, is it typical for a criminal defendant to only be charged with a single count or a single charge?

A. It happens. You mean a -- one case with one count?

Q. Uh-huh.

A. It happens. I don't know what percentage that would be. It definitely happens in some cases,

Page 184

of funds during a fiscal year and had to ask for supplemental funds from -- from upper management?

A. I've definitely run out of funds, and I've definitely said, "Hey, there's this going on. What do you want me to do?"

Q. And when that occurs, is it in a particular line item, such as by encumbrances or personnel?

A. Yes. Well, usually -- well, I personnel is -- I don't have a personnel budget. That's completely Central.

Q. Okay.

A. So small encumbrances are called local encumbrances, and I've definitely had issues with that. There's certain amount of billing that comes out of travel. Attorneys, investigators, people having to drive. I feel like I've definitely gone over that. There are — there are some things I have more control over. I mean, If someone has to drive to prison and depose people, and there's a lot of travel going on, I have less control over that than I do over ordering supplies, say, like — or we will get the cheap pens. So — but I — I'm cognizant of it. I mean, I look at it, and January 1, we'll be halfway through the fiscal year, and I'll look at where we're

at and how much money we have in a given -- and I -- I

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

| | D 40F | 1 | D 407 |
|-----|---|----|--|
| | Page 185 | | Page 187 |
| 1 | notice when the new budget they send us a | 1 | Q when did you become aware that that |
| 2 | spreadsheet, and I always compare it to the old one, | 2 | particular section existed? Was it upon passage or |
| 3 | so I look at it, and I'm cognizant of areas where | 3 | A. I I think so. I mean, it seemed to be |
| 4 | we've had problems. | 4 | part of that, which killed because at the time, |
| 5 | Q. Now, when you send the so your | 5 | people were certifying offices based on caseload, |
| 6 | supplemental request and I'm unsure if that's the | 6 | so and again, this is my understanding of it, and |
| 7 | appropriate vernacular. | 7 | if legally, I'm wrong, I'm wrong. But they it |
| 8 | A. Uh-huh. | 8 | seemed to pass to undercut that certification, because |
| 9 | Q. But would that go to the controller, and | 9 | the certification was whole offices were certifying |
| 10 | she would figure out how to proceed from there, or how | 10 | based on these numbers, no one in my office can take a |
| 11 | involved in you how involved are you in that | 11 | case. In Chapter 600.063, clearly it says it can't be |
| 12 | budgetary process after you submit a supplementary | 12 | the entire office. I mean, it was clearly designed to |
| 13 | or supplementary request? | 13 | sort of undercut that process. |
| 14 | A. Well, it it is not that formal of a | 14 | Q. So you had potentially knowledge of the |
| 15 | process. It's more like, oh, I think I'm screwing up. | 15 | passage from that and I believe that was the |
| 16 | How bad is this going to be? So I've never I don't | 16 | 2012 |
| 17 | submit a budget. It's more of an allowance to me, you | 17 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 18 | know. They're like, here you go. Here's your chunk | 18 | Q 2013 area up until present? |
| 19 | of money. Stay within that, and it's more of a, like, | 19 | A. Right. |
| 20 | I don't I'm concerned in more of an alert to, | 20 | Q. Are you familiar with that statute? |
| 21 | like, why is our office spending more money than you | 21 | A. Pretty I mean, I can't recite it to you, |
| 22 | thought historically would we would, and how will | 22 | but I'm fairly familiar. |
| 23 | that go. But I there is I don't have I've | 23 | Q. Before the two motions that you filed under |
| 24 | never done a formal formal request for more funding | 24 | that Chapter |
| 25 | to my office budget. | 25 | A. Uh-huh. |
| | Page 186 | | Page 188 |
| 1 | Q. How often would you say you run into that | 1 | Q had you have you had you ever |
| 2 | type of of snag, if you will, in terms of you | 2 | utilized that chapter before or that particular |
| 3 | looking at the your numbers and saying, I think we | 3 | section before? |
| 4 | need a little bit more here? Is that an every year | 4 | A. No. And honestly, I I'm only filing |
| 5 | thing, or is that a | 5 | because the judges want me to. I don't think it's |
| 6 | A. I would say I we pretty much exhaust our | 6 | relevant for under Rule 4 at all, so I mean, I |
| 7 | budget every year. | 7 | one, I I think it's a trick statute that's not |
| 8 | Q. Okay. | 8 | meant to really provide us any relief. And two, I |
| 9 | A. Well, and, I mean, I know centrally they | 9 | don't think it encompasses our duties under Rule 4. |
| 10 | watch it, so if I have an overflow, that will be gone | 10 | The standard is ineffective assistance of counsel, |
| 11 | the next budget year, but it won't be you know, it | 11 | which doesn't talk about the competence, diligence, |
| 12 | will go somewhere. | 12 | and I think it's unconstitutional. I think it's I |
| 13 | Q. As the district defender, do you discern | 13 | think that saying that only public defenders cannot |
| 14 | any type of difference between cases who are | 14 | cannot put limits on their caseload is it's |
| 15 | constitutionally mandated to take versus permissive | 15 | disgusting. I mean, to say that my license isn't any |
| 16 | ones? | 16 | more worthwhile than yours; and somehow, there's a |
| 17 | A. I'm not even sure what a what would be | 17 | carved exception that allows me to not have caseload |
| 18 | permissive. I'd like to know if there was an option. | 18 | control, when every other lawyer has that so I'm |
| 19 | I I mean, we we take criminal cases we get | 19 | aware of it, I think I don't know. Okay. I'll |
| 20 | appointed. At my office, I don't handle I mean, | 20 | step off the soap box for you; okay? |
| 21 | all we handle are crimes, so have you if there's a | 21 | Q. Was that a a decision that you made to |
| 22 | permissive one, let me know. I have no idea. | 22 | not foresee it under that statute, was that based upon |
| i . | | 1 | |

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

Fax: 314.644.1334

district defenders, with upper management concerning

your experience and your understanding of that

statute, or were there conversations with other

23

24

25

conference --

A. Uh-huh.

23

24

25

Q. Speaking about the Chapter 600

Page 189 Page 191 1 whether or not you should you utilize that they don't have the same ethical obligations under 1 2 particular --2 Rule 4 I do, and he -- that's what he -- he basically 3 A. Well, Chapter 600 says it's based on 3 was like, I -- I think you're full of it, and -- was 4 caseload standards. The assertion I made is based on 4 not all that concerned about my lawyers and their 5 5 the rules of professional conduct and my lawyers ethics. Let's say that. Well, and then he was 6 asserting -- plain reading, it didn't seem to apply. 6 annoyed. I can't believe Fisher said that. I can't 7 7 I know the judges -- when I went around, the judges believe -- you know, he was just, you know, talking 8 were like, well, we think this is it. And I was like, 8 out of his rear end basically. You know, basically 9 how does that encompass our concerns under Rule 4? It 9 saying that, "No, Fisher said that on the record, and 10 doesn't meet them at all. So I think I probably had 10 I have to -- you guys are blowing it up into something multiple discussions with different -- I think -- I it shouldn't be, and I don't -- and now, I have to 11 11 12 think office-wide, one, it's -- it's -- having filed 12 deal with it." He did not offer solutions. Let's say 13 under it, I didn't even know where to file. It just 13 14 says, "File something with the circuit court." Well, 14 Q. Have you in your career with the public 1.5 is it a criminal case? Is it a civil case? It's --15 defender system ever been judicially determined to 16 it's a very confusing statute. But where it is clear 16 have provided ineffective assistance of counsel? 17 is that it's based on caseload standards, which is not 17 18 what I -- I've been asserting under my Rule 4 18 Q. Have any of your attorneys that have 19 19 worked -- that you have supervised, have they been judicially determined to have provided ineffective 20 Q. And is that understanding what led you to 20 21 proceed the writing letters and communicating in other 21 assistance of counsel? 22 ways outside of that statute? 22 A. Yes. 23 23 A. Yes. And I will say, before I sent that Q. Do you have a sense for how many? letter to Judge Torrence, I met with him personally 24 2.4 A. No, I don't. I -- I don't know. I don't 2.5 and said, "Hey, if you have other suggestions, let me 25 have a sense for how many. I mean, I -- it's not Page 190 Page 192 1 know." I didn't just send him a letter and be like, 1 50 percent. I mean, it's probably one a year. 2 2 "Hey, we don't take cases Monday." I met with him Q. And I apologize, I'm going to be jumping 3 probably a week prior in his chambers and had a 3 all over the place. I'm going to be doing coverage to 4 discussion to just say, "Hey, I'm -- I'm reaching 4 complete --5 5 capacity." The only reason I wasn't at capacity is I A. Sure. 6 had three attorneys who had started the month before, 6 Q. Earlier, you testified that forensic 7 and were like, "No, we still -- we're still fine." 7 testing was one of the areas where it was denied a 8 8 I'm like, "Great. We'll assign you" -- I mean, they request for --9 were getting every case because I had 30 lawyers who 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 said they couldn't take any. So I filed well after a 10 Q. -- for an expert. Are there any other 11 number of offices, because I couldn't 11 examples of, I guess, categories that tend to get 12 intellectually -- I couldn't honestly say that we were 12 rejected? 13 13 MS. SHIPMA: And you're talking about from at overload until every lawyer said they were there. 14 Q. What were some of those suggestions that 14 her office; right? 15 were made by the Court when you went in and had that 15 MR. RAMSEY: Yes. 16 A. Yeah. I don't know statewide, obviously. 16 conversation? Was it, "Hey, write a letter and -- and 17 stop taking cases on" or --17 Well, I've had some experts, specific experts that 18 A. No. He didn't say much, other than he 18 people wanted that they were like, can you get 19 said, "I think this is self-inflicted because you have 19 cheaper? Can you get -- I'm -- I can't think of any 20 vertical representation. I think that's increasing 20 21 your caseload." And -- and Judge Torrence tells me 21 Q. (By Mr. Ramsey) Now, turning to the 22 22 that he all the time. He didn't -- he didn't exhibits very briefly. I'm looking at exhibit that 23 23 practice -- he practiced in the eighties, and they Plaintiffs have marked as 2, and it's entitled, 2.4 did -- had docket attorneys, and he felt like -- and 24 "Duties under Missouri v. Frye"? 25 25 that's what the prosecutor's office does, even though A. Uh-huh.

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

| | Page 193 | | Page 195 |
|----------|--|----------------|--|
| 1 | Q. Do you remember receiving this document, or | 1 | guy who administrates it is he's officed in Saint |
| 2 | did you receive this document? | 2 | Louis, and I'm not remembering his name. I don't know |
| 3 | A. I assume I did. It's sent to me. Do I | 3 | if it's in here. |
| 4 | remember receiving it? No. I mean, it was sent to me | 4 | MR. WILLIAMSON: Sherrer? |
| 5 | in, I don't know, 2013; right? | 5 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry? |
| 6 | Q. And presumably, the last time you saw this | 6 | MR. WILLIAMSON: Sherrer? |
| 7 | was whenever you had presumably seen it before? | 7 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. Michael Sherrer. |
| 8 | A. Yeah. Or I mean, I may have forwarded it | 8 | A. So Michael occasionally e-mails me just, |
| 9 | to the office. We may have discussed it at staff | 9 | "How many cases have you sent? What stage are thing: |
| 10 | meeting. I don't I mean, I haven't pulled it out | 10 | in?" Shook had their first two trials in the last |
| 11 | recently. | 11 | month, and I think I e-mailed him, you know, their |
| 12 | Q. Turning to Exhibit 3, what's been marked as | 12 | first trial went this way, something along those |
| 13 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 | 13 | lines. I don't I don't it's not there's not, |
| 14 | A. Uh-huh. | 14 | like, this corporate entity that reaches out. I mean, |
| 15 | Q it was already mentioned, but you did | 15 | the e-mail, itself, is from Joel Elmer, who's our |
| 16 | not draft this letter, did you? | 16 | deputy director, so I Joel communicates on a |
| 17 | A. No. | 17 | regular he sends me all his old E-notices almost |
| 18 | Q. Were you involved in drafting this letter | 18 | every other day so so I'm not sure I I guess |
| 19 | at all? | 19 | I don't know what you mean by, "communicate with |
| 20 | A. No. | 20 | MCRC." |
| 21 | Q. Did you have any knowledge beforehand that | 21 | Q. (By Mr. Ramsey) Sure. I was unclear, but |
| 22 | this letter was being drafted? | 22 | I'll move on. |
| 23 | A. No. | 23 | A. Sure. Okay. |
| 24 | Q. Turning to Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, did you | 24 | Q. It's not a big |
| 25 | have any knowledge that this was being drafted? | 25 | Shortly after, I believe it was the |
| | Page 194 | | Page 196 |
| 1 | A. No. | 1 | Hinkebein decision |
| 2 | Q. Were you involved in the drafting process | 2 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 3 | for this? | 3 | Q where the district defenders met and I |
| 4 | A. No. | 4 | believe it was some type of a conference |
| 5 | Q. Were you consulted in any other way in the | 5 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 6 | creation of that document? | 6 | Q or a conversation? |
| 7 | A. I don't believe so. I mean, Pamela is | 7 | Did I understand your testimony correctly |
| 8 | someone that I do know who I chat with occasionally, | 8 | to suggest that central office didn't provide a a |
| 9 | but I don't have any recollection of talking to her | 9 | list of, "Here are the three or four different things |
| 10 | about this. | 10 | you can do to manage your your caseload or workload |
| 11 | Q. Okay. Turning to Plaintiff's Exhibit 6, so | 11 | concerns"? |
| 12 | skipping over 5 | 12 | A. I wouldn't say I mean, they I think |
| 13 | A. Uh-huh. | 13 | there was a presentation about what are the coverage |
| 14 | Q you were not familiar with the Missouri | 14 | of a Rule 4, what are the caseloads, stuff that talks, |
| 15 | Coalition for the Right to Counsel's decision to draft | 15 | I there wasn't a directive that, you have to do |
| 16 | this letter, were you? | 16 | these things and these things. There was there's, |
| 17 | A. The this, I'm not as sure. I mean, | 17 | like, a sample Bar complaint against Michael Barrett |
| 18 | there was a point when Joel sent something out and | 18 | if you know, if people wanted to do that, but it |
| 19 | asked for input, and I don't know honestly, I don't | 19 | wasn't there wasn't a directive. In 2012, when |
| 20 | know if this is it or not. But it had to do with | 20 | people were being certified, there was a directive of |
| | counsel. It may have had to do with this letter; it | 21 | how you were supposed to do it. You were supposed to |
| | | | , jul inproduction as in rou were supposed to |
| 21 | - | 22 | meet with judges in a certain way. You're supposed to |
| 21 22 | may not have. | 22 | meet with judges in a certain way. You're supposed to |
| 21 | - | 22 23 24 | meet with judges in a certain way. You're supposed to do these things. Your numbers had to be this level. There wasn't anything like that. But was it a large |

49 (Pages 193 to 196)

| | Page 197 | Page 199 |
|----|--|---|
| 1 | Certainly. | 1 A. The National Association of Criminal |
| 2 | Q. And that discussion was amongst the | 2 Defense Lawyers. |
| 3 | district defenders | 3 Q. Okay. All right. I just wanted to make |
| 4 | A. Uh-huh. And | 4 sure that |
| 5 | Q. Or was it across | 5 A. Yes, thank you. |
| 6 | A. Yeah. There were I there were | 6 Q we had that on the record, because I |
| 7 | presentations on it. There were district defenders | 7 knew you were forgetting that. |
| 8 | that talked about it. We had small groups. I mean, | 8 A. I was. |
| 9 | people were very worried and upset about it. People | 9 Q. And just do you know and if you don't |
| 10 | were very concerned, and I was I mean, I was | 10 know this, that's fine. I just want to ask. Do you |
| 11 | had before I went to the conference, I was | 11 know if there is if if failure to be to act |
| 12 | getting I had multiple e-mails from my lawyers | diligently is the same as ineffective assistance of |
| 13 | refusing cases, so it wasn't there was no way it | counsel? Are those the same standards? |
| 14 | wasn't going to be talked about. | 14 A. My understanding is they're very different |
| 15 | Q. Again, I apologize for jumping around. I'm | 15 standards. |
| 16 | focusing on the second Chapter 600 motion that was | Q. And is that the same for not communicating |
| 17 | filed on your behalf or that you filed. | regularly with your client under the ethics rules, is |
| 18 | A. Uh-huh. | that the same standard as ineffective assistance of |
| 19 | Q. After that was denied, it's my | 19 counsel? |
| 20 | understanding of your testimony that you did not | 20 A. No. They're different standard. |
| 21 | appeal that particular denial; is that correct? | 21 Q. Okay. Thank you. |
| 22 | A. I have not. It was just denied a couple | 22 (Petsch Exhibit 10 was marked for |
| 23 | weeks ago, but yes. | 23 identification.) |
| 24 | MR. RAMSEY: Okay. I believe that's it for | Q. Now, I want to hand you what's been marked |
| 25 | me. | 25 Exhibit 10. Can you tell me what that is? |
| | Page 198 | Page 200 |
| 1 | MR. WILLIAMSON: I have two follow-ups. | 1 A. It's the first page of the Public Defender |
| 2 | Did you want | 2 18 CSR10-3.010. |
| 3 | MS. SHIPMA: I have some follow-ups too. | 3 Q. Flip it over. |
| 4 | MR. WILLIAMSON: Go. | 4 A. Oh. |
| 5 | MS. SHIPMA: But I need to get I had | 5 Q. It's on the back. |
| 6 | Jillian or Gillian print out some documents for | 6 A. Thank you. That makes more sense. |
| 7 | me | 7 Guidelines for Determination of Indigence. |
| 8 | MR. WILLIAMSON: Oh, okay. | 8 Q. And are you familiar with those? |
| 9 | MS. SHIPMA: for mine, so do you want | 9 A. Yeah. Again, I can't recite them, but if I |
| 10 | to I'll just go off the record and go get those. | go back to them and they're used regularly in my |
| 11 | MR. WILLIAMSON: Sure. That's fine. | 11 office. |
| 12 | VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 1:49 p.m. | 12 Q. And who promulgates these? Are these |
| 13 | (A brief recess was taken.) | 13 created by your office? |
| 14 | VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record, 1:52 p.m. | 14 A. No. Not by my office. |
| 15 | EXAMINATION | 15 Q. Do you know who creates those? |
| 16 | BY MS. SHIPMA: | 16 A. Lassume I guess that in my head, it |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Ruth, I have just a few follow-up | was the legislature. I don't know if the commission |
| 18 | questions for you. | 18 does that or not. |
| 19 | When Mr. Ramsey was asking you about | 19 Q. Okay. So if you don't know the answer to |
| 20 | organizations you've communicated with, I I did | 20 that |
| 21 | you communicate with NACDL, N-A-C-D-L? | 20 uid: 21 A. I don't. |
| 22 | A. I did. Yes, I did. Well, Judge O'Malley | 22 Q. – that's fine. |
| | | |
| | acked me to participate a conference call which! | |
| 23 | asked me to participate a conference call, which I | Okay. But you have seen those before? |
| | asked me to participate a conference call, which I did. Sorry. | 23 Okay. But you have seen those to 24 A. Yes. |

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

| | Page 201 | Page 203 | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 (Petsch Exhibit 12 was marked for | | |
| 2 | Q. And do those contain, as far as you are | 2 identification.) | | |
| 3 | aware, the factors that are to be considered in | 3 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to hand you what's | | |
| 4 | determining indigency? | 4 been marked Exhibit 12. Can you tell me what that is | | |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 please? | | |
| 6 | (Petsch Exhibit 11 was marked for | 6 A. Instructions for determining indigence. | | |
| 7 | identification.) | 7 Q. Have you ever seen this document before? | | |
| 8 | Q. Okay. All right. So now, I want to hand | 8 A. I have. | | |
| 9 | you Exhibit 11, and I believe the sticker is on the | 9 Q. And what is this document used for? | | |
| 10 | front page of that one | 10 A. It's basically instructions on how to | | |
| 11 | A. Okay. | 11 figure out whether someone well, to take an | | |
| 12 | Q so you don't have to turn it over. Can | 12 application. | | |
| 13 | you tell me what that is? | 13 Q. And | | |
| 14 | A. This is an application for public defender | 14 A. Or assistance in doing that. | | |
| 15 | services and the promise to pay. | Q. Is this something that's used internally | | |
| 16 | Q. And when you were talking earlier about | 16 rather than something that's given to applicants? | | |
| 17 | determining indigency and making that determination in | 17 A. Idon't think yeah. Idon't I we | | |
| 18 | court or maybe it comes back to the office, is this | 18 do not give this to applicants. | | |
| 19 | the form that you were referring to? | Q. Okay. Look at the second paragraph. Can | | |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 you read that second paragraph to me? | | |
| 21 | Q. Okay. Actually, I do want you to look at | A. "If the applicant's income level is at or | | |
| 22 | the back page of this document for just a moment. Do | 22 below federal poverty guidelines, there is a | | |
| 23 | you see the section that's entitled "Acknowledgement | 23 presumption of eligibility unless other factors such | | |
| 24 | and Promise to Pay"? | 24 as assets indicate the ability to hire a private | | |
| 25 | A. Yes. | 25 attorney." | | |
| | Page 202 | Page 204 | | |
| | _ | 1 age 20 | | |
| 1 | Q. And can you read the first sentence of that | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I | | |
| 1 2 | Q. And can you read the first sentence of that to me? | _ | | |
| | - | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I | | |
| 2 | to me? | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I 2 didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for | | |
| 2 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this | Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but it | | |
| 2 3 4 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." | Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but is the second — if you look — | | |
| 2 3 4 5 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I 2 didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for 3 you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but i 4 is the second — if you look — 5 A. Oh, the second — oh, not number 2. Sure. | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? | Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but i is the second if you look A. Oh, the second oh, not number 2. Sure. Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. | Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but i is the second — if you look — A. Oh, the second — oh, not number 2. Sure. Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the second paragraph. | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling | Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but i is the second if you look A. Oh, the second oh, not number 2. Sure. Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the second paragraph. A. "If the applicant is unemployed and | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling this out that if they don't give correct information, | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I 2 didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for 3 you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but i 4 is the second — if you look — 5 A. Oh, the second — oh, not number 2. Sure. 6 Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the 7 second paragraph. 8 A. "If the applicant is unemployed and 9 receiving public assistance, he or she is eligible for | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling this out that if they don't give correct information, that could result in their being further charged; is | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I 2 didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for 3 you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but i 4 is the second if you look 5 A. Oh, the second oh, not number 2. Sure. 6 Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the 7 second paragraph. 8 A. "If the applicant is unemployed and 9 receiving public assistance, he or she is eligible for 10 services. No further inquiry need be made. This is | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling this out that if they don't give correct information, that could result in their being further charged; is that correct? | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I 2 didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for 3 you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but i 4 is the second — if you look — 5 A. Oh, the second — oh, not number 2. Sure. 6 Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the 7 second paragraph. 8 A. "If the applicant is unemployed and 9 receiving public assistance, he or she is eligible for 10 services. No further inquiry need be made. This is 11 true even if the applicant has posted bond." | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling this out that if they don't give correct information, that could result in their being further charged; is that correct? A. Yes. They should know. | Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but is the second if you look A. Oh, the second oh, not number 2. Sure. Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the second paragraph. A. "If the applicant is unemployed and receiving public assistance, he or she is eligible for services. No further inquiry need be made. This is true even if the applicant has posted bond." Q. So that's a bright line; is that correct? | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling this out that if they don't give correct information, that could result in their being further charged; is that correct? A. Yes. They should know. Q. At least they're put on notice of that? | Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but is the second — if you look — A. Oh, the second — oh, not number 2. Sure. G. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the second paragraph. A. "If the applicant is unemployed and receiving public assistance, he or she is eligible for services. No further inquiry need be made. This is true even if the applicant has posted bond." Q. So that's a bright line; is that correct? A. Yes. | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling this out that if they don't give correct information, that could result in their being further charged; is that correct? A. Yes. They should know. Q. At least they're put on notice of that? A. Right. | Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but is the second — if you look — A. Oh, the second — oh, not number 2. Sure. Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the second paragraph. A. "If the applicant is unemployed and receiving public assistance, he or she is eligible for services. No further inquiry need be made. This is true even if the applicant has posted bond." Q. So that's a bright line; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, let's look at paragraph number 2. | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling this out that if they don't give correct information, that could result in their being further charged; is that correct? A. Yes. They should know. Q. At least they're put on notice of that? A. Right. Q. And do you believe that the people who are | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I 2 didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for 3 you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but i 4 is the second if you look 5 A. Oh, the second oh, not number 2. Sure. 6 Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the 7 second paragraph. 8 A. "If the applicant is unemployed and 9 receiving public assistance, he or she is eligible for 10 services. No further inquiry need be made. This is 11 true even if the applicant has posted bond." 12 Q. So that's a bright line; is that correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Now, let's look at paragraph number 2. 15 A. Okay. | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling this out that if they don't give correct information, that could result in their being further charged; is that correct? A. Yes. They should know. Q. At least they're put on notice of that? A. Right. Q. And do you believe that the people who are going over these applications with the defendants | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I 2 didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for 3 you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but i 4 is the second if you look 5 A. Oh, the second oh, not number 2. Sure. 6 Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the 7 second paragraph. 8 A. "If the applicant is unemployed and 9 receiving public assistance, he or she is eligible for 10 services. No further inquiry need be made. This is 11 true even if the applicant has posted bond." 12 Q. So that's a bright line; is that correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Now, let's look at paragraph number 2. 15 A. Okay. 16 Q. The one that's numbered 2 | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | to me? A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling this out that if they don't give correct information, that could result in their being further charged; is that correct? A. Yes. They should know. Q. At least they're put on notice of that? A. Right. Q. And do you believe that the people who are going over these applications with the defendants point that out to them? | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I 2 didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for 3 you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but i 4 is the second — if you look — 5 A. Oh, the second — oh, not number 2. Sure. 6 Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the 7 second paragraph. 8 A. "If the applicant is unemployed and 9 receiving public assistance, he or she is eligible for 10 services. No further inquiry need be made. This is 11 true even if the applicant has posted bond." 12 Q. So that's a bright line; is that correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Now, let's look at paragraph number 2. 15 A. Okay. 16 Q. The one that's numbered 2 — 17 A. Uh-huh. | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling this out that if they don't give correct information, that could result in their being further charged; is that correct? A. Yes. They should know. Q. At least they're put on notice of that? A. Right. Q. And do you believe that the people who are going over these applications with the defendants point that out to them? A. Yes. Most of time, they read it to them, | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I 2 didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for 3 you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but is the second — if you look — 4 A. Oh, the second — oh, not number 2. Sure. 6 Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the 7 second paragraph. 8 A. "If the applicant is unemployed and 9 receiving public assistance, he or she is eligible for 10 services. No further inquiry need be made. This is 11 true even if the applicant has posted bond." 12 Q. So that's a bright line; is that correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Now, let's look at paragraph number 2. 15 A. Okay. Q. The one that's numbered 2 — A. Uh-huh. 18 Q. — that you read to me before. It — does | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling this out that if they don't give correct information, that could result in their being further charged; is that correct? A. Yes. They should know. Q. At least they're put on notice of that? A. Right. Q. And do you believe that the people who are going over these applications with the defendants point that out to them? A. Yes. Most of time, they read it to them, and then have them — I mean, a lot of our clients are | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I 2 didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for 3 you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but i 4 is the second — if you look — 5 A. Oh, the second — oh, not number 2. Sure. 6 Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the 7 second paragraph. 8 A. "If the applicant is unemployed and 9 receiving public assistance, he or she is eligible for 10 services. No further inquiry need be made. This is 11 true even if the applicant has posted bond." 12 Q. So that's a bright line; is that correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Now, let's look at paragraph number 2. 15 A. Okay. 16 Q. The one that's numbered 2 — 17 A. Uh-huh. 18 Q. — that you read to me before. It — does 19 that implicate a bright line? | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling this out that if they don't give correct information, that could result in their being further charged; is that correct? A. Yes. They should know. Q. At least they're put on notice of that? A. Right. Q. And do you believe that the people who are going over these applications with the defendants point that out to them? A. Yes. Most of time, they read it to them, and then have them — I mean, a lot of our clients are in cuffs, so they read the entire application and fill | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I 2 didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for 3 you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but i 4 is the second — if you look — 5 A. Oh, the second — oh, not number 2. Sure. 6 Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the 7 second paragraph. 8 A. "If the applicant is unemployed and 9 receiving public assistance, he or she is eligible for 10 services. No further inquiry need be made. This is 11 true even if the applicant has posted bond." 12 Q. So that's a bright line; is that correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Now, let's look at paragraph number 2. 15 A. Okay. 16 Q. The one that's numbered 2 — 17 A. Uh-huh. 18 Q. — that you read to me before. It — does 19 that implicate a bright line? 20 A. Well, it says other factors — to me, it | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling this out that if they don't give correct information, that could result in their being further charged; is that correct? A. Yes. They should know. Q. At least they're put on notice of that? A. Right. Q. And do you believe that the people who are going over these applications with the defendants point that out to them? A. Yes. Most of time, they read it to them, and then have them I mean, a lot of our clients are in cuffs, so they read the entire application and fill in the answers, and then have them sign. | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I 2 didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for 3 you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but i 4 is the second — if you look — 5 A. Oh, the second — oh, not number 2. Sure. 6 Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the 7 second paragraph. 8 A. "If the applicant is unemployed and 9 receiving public assistance, he or she is eligible for 10 services. No further inquiry need be made. This is 11 true even if the applicant has posted bond." 12 Q. So that's a bright line; is that correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Now, let's look at paragraph number 2. 15 A. Okay. 16 Q. The one that's numbered 2 — 17 A. Uh-huh. 18 Q. — that you read to me before. It — does 19 that implicate a bright line? 20 A. Well, it says other factors — to me, it 21 wouldn't, but I mean, it tells you what factors you | | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. "I understand that lying on this application constitutes a crime." Q. And is there a place for the applicant's signature and date below that? A. Yes. Q. So applicants know when they are filling this out that if they don't give correct information, that could result in their being further charged; is that correct? A. Yes. They should know. Q. At least they're put on notice of that? A. Right. Q. And do you believe that the people who are going over these applications with the defendants point that out to them? A. Yes. Most of time, they read it to them, and then have them I mean, a lot of our clients are in cuffs, so they read the entire application and fill in the answers, and then have them sign. Q. Okay. | 1 Q. And I apologize. That was my fault. I 2 didn't realize that the paragraph I was intending for 3 you to read doesn't really have a number on it, but i 4 is the second — if you look — 5 A. Oh, the second — oh, not number 2. Sure. 6 Q. The first paragraph, not number 2. But the 7 second paragraph. 8 A. "If the applicant is unemployed and 9 receiving public assistance, he or she is eligible for 10 services. No further inquiry need be made. This is 11 true even if the applicant has posted bond." 12 Q. So that's a bright line; is that correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Now, let's look at paragraph number 2. 15 A. Okay. 16 Q. The one that's numbered 2 — 17 A. Uh-huh. 18 Q. — that you read to me before. It — does 19 that implicate a bright line? 20 A. Well, it says other factors — to me, it 21 wouldn't, but I mean, it tells you what factors you 22 can consider. If — I don't — I guess I don't — | | |

51 (Pages 201 to 204)

| | Page 205 | | Page 207 |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | numbered 3. Can you read that? | 1 | Defendant's or Plaintiff's 12. Where did this |
| 2 | A. "An income level above federal poverty | 2 | document come from? |
| 3 | guidelines gives rise to a presumption of | 3 | A. Well, I think this was sent out, if I |
| 4 | ineligibility" | 4 | recall correctly they update the application, and |
| 5 | (Reporter admonishment.) | 5 | they've updated it many times frequently, as well as |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Sorry. | 6 | how it should be looked at. I think it's been updated |
| 7 | A. "An income level above federal poverty | 7 | twice in the last two years. So I think it was sent |
| 8 | guidelines gives rise to a presumption of | 8 | out as an assistant, because it because some |
| 9 | ineligibility unless individual circumstances such as | 9 | things there were other bright line tests that |
| 10 | debt level indicates an inability to hire a private | 10 | were like, it used to be if you maid made a \$5,000 |
| 11 | attorney." | 11 | bond, you were ineligible. It didn't it didn't |
| 12 | Q. (By Ms. Shipma) Okay. And I believe that | 12 | matter how poor you were or things like that. So with |
| 13 | that is also as I'm understanding these | 13 | that, there's often sort of assistive documents that |
| 14 | instructions, the only presumption, the only bright | 14 | are sent out to help like, my legal assistants who |
| 15 | line presumption | 15 | do the bulk of filling this out, to help them come to |
| 16 | A. Uh-huh. | 16 | the right conclusions, because I still have lawyers |
| 17 | Q is if you're unemployed and receiving | 17 | who I'm like, no, \$5,000 doesn't that's not a |
| 18 | some sort of public assistance, you're automatically | 18 | that's not a consideration any more, but it was in our |
| 19 | eligible for services? | 19 | office probably for ten years. And the private |
| 20 | A. Right. | 20 | attorney that we talked about, like, you we used to |
| 21 | Q. In all other case, even if you're at the | 21 | automatically disqualify people if they had a private |
| 22 | federal or even if you're at or below the poverty | 22 | attorney, and then that changed. |
| 23 | guidelines, you're not automatically presumed eligible | 23 | Q. And this is coming from central office? |
| 24 | for services. You have to look at these other | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | factors; is that correct? | 25 | Q. Okay. And then just the second question |
| | D 200 | | |
| | Page 206 | | Page 208 |
| 1 | Page 206 | 1 | Page 208 |
| 1 | A. Right. | 1 | and my last question, and I'll be done. |
| 2 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your | 2 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. |
| 2 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible | 2 3 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial |
| 2 3 4 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private | 2 3 4 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if |
| 2 3 4 5 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? | 2 3 4 5 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. | 2 3 4 5 6 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of — of who's coming in and out? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of — of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on — I mean, we have |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that correct? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of — of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on — I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't showered, who are missing teeth, who — you know, I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that correct? A. That's not my understanding. I mean, most | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't showered, who are missing teeth, who you know, I mean, we have a lot of people who, if you had funds, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible - or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that correct? A. That's not my understanding. I mean, most of our clients think it's they don't they think | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of — of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on — I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't showered, who are missing teeth, who — you know, I mean, we have a lot of people who, if you had funds, and you had the kind of funds that you could hold |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that correct? A. That's not my understanding. I mean, most of our clients think it's they don't they think we're really bad lawyers, so if they have the ability | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know If there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of — of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on — I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't showered, who are missing teeth, who — you know, I mean, we have a lot of people who, if you had funds, and you had the kind of funds that you could hold an — have an attorney, you probably wouldn't be |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that correct? A. That's not my understanding. I mean, most of our clients think it's they don't they think we're really bad lawyers, so if they have the ability to not have us represent them, they try very hard to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of — of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on — I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't showered, who are missing teeth, who — you know, I mean, we have a lot of people who, if you had funds, and you had the kind of funds that you could hold an — have an attorney, you probably wouldn't be living your the life the way you are. You wouldn't be |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that correct? A. That's not my understanding. I mean, most of our clients think it's they don't they think we're really bad lawyers, so if they have the ability to not have us represent them, they try very hard to get out of it. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't showered, who are missing teeth, who you know, I mean, we have a lot of people who, if you had funds, and you had the kind of funds that you could hold an have an attorney, you probably wouldn't be living your the life the way you are. You wouldn't be showing up for court. So I mean, there's some extreme |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that correct? A. That's not my understanding. I mean, most of our clients think it's they don't they think we're really bad lawyers, so if they have the ability to not have us represent them, they try very hard to get out of it. MS. SHIPMA: Okay. That's all I have. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't showered, who are missing teeth, who you know, I mean, we have a lot of people who, if you had funds, and you had the kind of funds that you could hold an have an attorney, you probably wouldn't be living your the life the way you are. You wouldn't be showing up for court. So I mean, there's some extreme poverty that people just wear. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that correct? A. That's not my understanding. I mean, most of our clients think it's they don't they think we're really bad lawyers, so if they have the ability to not have us represent them, they try very hard to get out of it. MS. SHIPMA: Okay. That's all I have. MR. RAMSEY: I have a very few brief | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't showered, who are missing teeth, who you know, I mean, we have a lot of people who, if you had funds, and you had the kind of funds that you could hold an have an attorney, you probably wouldn't be living your the life the way you are. You wouldn't be showing up for court. So I mean, there's some extreme poverty that people just wear. MR. RAMSEY: I'm done. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that correct? A. That's not my understanding. I mean, most of our clients think it's they don't they think we're really bad lawyers, so if they have the ability to not have us represent them, they try very hard to get out of it. MS. SHIPMA: Okay. That's all I have. MR. RAMSEY: I have a very few brief follow-ups, if I can. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't showered, who are missing teeth, who you know, I mean, we have a lot of people who, if you had funds, and you had the kind of funds that you could hold an have an attorney, you probably wouldn't be living your the life the way you are. You wouldn't be showing up for court. So I mean, there's some extreme poverty that people just wear. MR. RAMSEY: I'm done. MR. WILLIAMSON: Fewer than five minutes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that correct? A. That's not my understanding. I mean, most of our clients think it's they don't they think we're really bad lawyers, so if they have the ability to not have us represent them, they try very hard to get out of it. MS. SHIPMA: Okay. That's all I have. MR. RAMSEY: I have a very few brief follow-ups, if I can. MR. WILLIAMSON: I do, but go ahead. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't showered, who are missing teeth, who you know, I mean, we have a lot of people who, if you had funds, and you had the kind of funds that you could hold an have an attorney, you probably wouldn't be living your the life the way you are. You wouldn't be showing up for court. So I mean, there's some extreme poverty that people just wear. MR. RAMSEY: I'm done. MR. WILLIAMSON: Fewer than five minutes. EXAMINATION |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that correct? A. That's not my understanding. I mean, most of our clients think it's they don't they think we're really bad lawyers, so if they have the ability to not have us represent them, they try very hard to get out of it. MS. SHIPMA: Okay. That's all I have. MR. RAMSEY: I have a very few brief follow-ups, if I can. MR. WILLIAMSON: I do, but go ahead. MR. RAMSEY: I can wait. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of — of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on — I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't showered, who are missing teeth, who — you know, I mean, we have a lot of people who, if you had funds, and you had the kind of funds that you could hold an — have an attorney, you probably wouldn't be living your the life the way you are. You wouldn't be showing up for court. So I mean, there's some extreme poverty that people just wear. MR. RAMSEY: I'm done. MR. WILLIAMSON: Fewer than five minutes. EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that correct? A. That's not my understanding. I mean, most of our clients think it's they don't they think we're really bad lawyers, so if they have the ability to not have us represent them, they try very hard to get out of it. MS. SHIPMA: Okay. That's all I have. MR. RAMSEY: I have a very few brief follow-ups, if I can. MR. WILLIAMSON: I do, but go ahead. MR. RAMSEY: I can wait. MR. WILLIAMSON: No. Go ahead. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of — of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on — I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't showered, who are missing teeth, who — you know, I mean, we have a lot of people who, if you had funds, and you had the kind of funds that you could hold an — have an attorney, you probably wouldn't be living your the life the way you are. You wouldn't be showing up for court. So I mean, there's some extreme poverty that people just wear. MR. RAMSEY: I'm done. MR. WILLIAMSON: Fewer than five minutes. EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON: Q. If the public defender's office determines |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that correct? A. That's not my understanding. I mean, most of our clients think it's they don't they think we're really bad lawyers, so if they have the ability to not have us represent them, they try very hard to get out of it. MS. SHIPMA: Okay. That's all I have. MR. RAMSEY: I have a very few brief follow-ups, if I can. MR. WILLIAMSON: I do, but go ahead. MR. RAMSEY: I can wait. MR. WILLIAMSON: No. Go ahead. EXAMINATION | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't showered, who are missing teeth, who you know, I mean, we have a lot of people who, if you had funds, and you had the kind of funds that you could hold an have an attorney, you probably wouldn't be living your the life the way you are. You wouldn't be showing up for court. So I mean, there's some extreme poverty that people just wear. MR. RAMSEY: I'm done. MR. WILLIAMSON: Fewer than five minutes. EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON: Q. If the public defender's office determines that a person is not eligible for its services, can |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Right. Q. In your opinion, with your office, do your attorneys represent many people who aren't eligible for services who have the ability to hire private attorneys? A. No. Q. So there isn't this rash of of eligible or of of people who are able to hire attorneys snookering the system and getting our attorneys all bogged down in all their cases; is that correct? A. That's not my understanding. I mean, most of our clients think it's they don't they think we're really bad lawyers, so if they have the ability to not have us represent them, they try very hard to get out of it. MS. SHIPMA: Okay. That's all I have. MR. RAMSEY: I have a very few brief follow-ups, if I can. MR. WILLIAMSON: I do, but go ahead. MR. RAMSEY: I can wait. MR. WILLIAMSON: No. Go ahead. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | and my last question, and I'll be done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Concerning how you would know the financial affluence of a particular defendant, how would know if there's not a control mechanism after the fact, or is that just your sense of — of who's coming in and out? A. Yeah. I mean, based on — I mean, we have a number of people who are homeless who don't have phones that we can't contact. I guess they could lie about it. But we also have people who clearly haven't showered, who are missing teeth, who — you know, I mean, we have a lot of people who, if you had funds, and you had the kind of funds that you could hold an — have an attorney, you probably wouldn't be living your the life the way you are. You wouldn't be showing up for court. So I mean, there's some extreme poverty that people just wear. MR. RAMSEY: I'm done. MR. WILLIAMSON: Fewer than five minutes. EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMSON: Q. If the public defender's office determines |

52 (Pages 205 to 208)

Page 209 Page 211 1 Q. To the trial judge? now, I'm not ready to try the -- but I don't -- I 1 2 A. Or to the -- I mean, the associates. 2 don't know that we've ever -- I -- I'm sure we have. 3 Any -- really, any judge they're in front of, and 3 I just -- in recent history, I don't know the last 4 it -- if they want us, it normally is. 4 time we writted a judge on that. 5 5 Q. And is that the context in which judges end Q. Final thing, you testified earlier that 6 6 up appointing you, even to cases where you've you've never had a request for a deposition denied? 7 7 determined that the person is not eligible? A. I don't -- well, again, tweaked -- me, 8 A. Yes. 8 personally, have a request for a deposition --9 Q. Do judges make that determination even when 9 Q. So let's start there. 10 10 there's not a formal appeal filed by the defendant? A. I don't -- no. I don't think I've ever A. Well, they -- they almost never file 11 11 personally had a request for a deposition denied. 12 12 anything. They -- they walk up to the bunch, "Do you Q. And -- and you have never outright denied 13 have a lawyer?" "No." "Did you apply for the public 13 an applicant -- or a request from one of your 14 defender?" "I did, but they said I don't qualify," 14 attorneys for a deposition? 1.5 and then a lot of times, the judges go right into, 15 A. No. I mean, I've asked them to edit it, 16 "Well, how much money do you make?" "Why can't you 16 I've asked them to -- more information, to change 17 afford someone?" It's almost always fewer than ten 17 things, but I've -- I've never just said, "denied" 18 questions; a lot of times, fewer than five questions. 18 without -- I don't -- the only time -- the only 19 And they almost always appoint us anyway. So I know 19 denials I have are usually if they have a request that 20 the last time we were audited, the auditors asked me 20 I've asked them to edit, because I'll have some 21 over and over, "Why did the judge even appoint you?" 21 denials come up in the computer, but it's generally 22 And I'm like, "I am not the judge. I have no idea." 22 because they then pled the case, didn't spend any 23 23 money, and they did -- they didn't make the edits in a But we get appointed a lot. 24 Q. And do you ever find or do your lawyers 24 timely manner, so now, it's moved because the case is 2.5 ever find themselves in adversarial proceedings 25 done, and I'll deny it. But I haven't -- I don't -- I Page 210 Page 212 1 against indigent defendants who they then end up 1 have no memory of ever just outright denying a 2 2 representing? deposition request. 3 A. It's definitely happened. I mean, I --3 Q. And you -- and you've -- have personally 4 personally, I'd move to withdraw. I was allowed to 4 never been denied an expert request either? 5 withdraw on a murder where -- we had two murder cases 5 A. Not -- no. Not to my -- I don't ever 6 he bonded, and I ended up on the stand with him 6 remember that. 7 questioning me for an hour about policies. So it's 7 Q. And to your knowledge, none of the lawyers 8 8 certainly happened, and it's certainly bad for the in your office have ever been denied an expert? 9 relationship. But less so -- and I mean, I would say 9 A. Well, they've had requests denied. I 10 10 that our guidelines -- we have fewer bright line rules mentioned that forensic expert. And again, I'm --11 than we used to, and our guidelines don't -- you know, 11 things have been denied. It's usually due to cost. 12 the bond isn't a rule any more. The private attorney 12 Now, the question is, did they then not get another 13 13 isn't a rule any more, and those things probably expert that was affordable or something along those 14 provided more of the -- and truth be told, it -- you 14 lines? And that, I don't know. If it's a flat --15 can say, "I have this," but ultimately, the judge can 15 I -- I assume you're saying, flat-out denial; now, I 16 appoint you anyway. Unless you're going appeal that 16 don't have an expert. 17 appointment or you're going to writ the judge on it, 17 Q. Right. 18 there's not much we can do. 18 A. That, I'm not as clear on. 19 Q. And does your office ever take that route 19 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that -- well, 20 of appealing the judge? 20

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

Fax: 314.644.1334

You've also testified here today that you

Q. You also testified that your lawyers should

believe that your office ideally should be retaining

many more experts than it actually does?

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

2.4

25

A. We writted once. We had an attorney who I

think the Friday before trial hired a private

attorney, and they ordered us to stay on, and we

that writ. Mostly because my lawyer is like, I'm --

writted the judge on that, and we were successful in

| | Page 213 | Page 215 |
|--|---|---|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | be taking many more depositions than they do? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So is it fair to say to that there are certain — there are a significant number of requests for experts that simply — that would be appropriate, but that are just not made? A. Right. Q. And is it also true that there are a significant number of requests for depositions that would be appropriate, but are not made? A. Right. MR. WILLIAMSON: That's all I've got. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. 2:08 p.m. MS. SHIPMA: Read and sign. (Deposition concluded at 2:08 p.m.) | 1 Alaris Litigation Services 1608 Locust Street Kansas City, Missouri 64108 4 December 19, 2017 5 Ms. Jacqueline Shipma 7 MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 8 1000 West Nifong 8 Building 7, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 10 In Re: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al., v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. 11 Dear Ms. Shipma: 12 Please find enclosed your copy of the deposition of 13 RUTH PETSCH taken on December 5, 2017, in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original 14 signature page and errata sheet. 15 Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections 16 desired on the errata sheet, and sign the signature page before a notary public. 7 Please return the errata sheet and notarized signature page to Alaris Litigation Services for filling prior to trial date. 19 Thank you for your attention to this matter. 20 Sincerely, 21 Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, MO CCR #1353 Enclosures cc: Mr. Jason D. Williamson |
| 1 | Page 214 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER | Page 216 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | I, Emily S. Hughes, a Certified Court Reporter within and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Emily S. Hughes, RPR, CRR, CCR #1353 | 2 3 COUNTY OF 4 5 I, RUTH PETSCH, do hereby certify: 6 That I have read the foregoing deposition; 7 That I have made such changes in form and/or 8 substance to the within deposition as might be 9 necessary to render the same true and correct; 9 That having made such changes thereon, I hereby 9 subscribe my name to the deposition. 10 I declare under penalty of perjury that the 11 foregoing is true and correct. 11 12 13 14 Executed this day of 15 2017, at 16 17 18 Notary Public: 19 My Commission Expires: 20 21 Signature page to: Ms. Jacqueline Shipma 22 23 24 25 |

54 (Pages 213 to 216)

| Witness Separate SHEAT | | Page 217 | |
|--|----|-------------------------------|--|
| STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Page # Line # Reason for Change: Witness Signature: | | Witness Name: RUTH PETSCH | |
| 4 Date Taken: DECEMBER 5, 2017 5 Page # Line # | | | |
| Should Read: | | | |
| 7 | | Should Read: | |
| Should Read: | | | |
| 10 Reason for Change: | | Should Read: | |
| Page # Line # Should Read: Reason for Change: Page # Line # Should Read: Page # Line # Page # Line # Reason for Change: Page # Line # Witness Signature: | | Reason for Change: | |
| Should Read: | | Page # Line # | |
| 14 Reason for Change: | | Should Read: | |
| 16 Page # Line # 17 Should Read: 18 Reason for Change: 19 20 Page # Line # 21 Should Read: 22 Reason for Change: 23 24 Witness Signature: | 14 | Reason for Change: | |
| 18 Reason for Change: | 16 | Page # Line # Should Read: | |
| 20 Page # Line # 21 Should Read: 22 Reason for Change: 23 24 Witness Signature: | 18 | Reason for Change: | |
| 22 Reason for Change: 23 24 Witness Signature: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | Witness Signature: | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Α | achievable | 112:21 | 84:17 87:25 | answered 117:13 |
| a.m 6:9,12 | 146:16 | ADP 26:2 | 88:1 206:20 | 181:8 |
| 58:16,18 95:7 | acknowledge | adult 82:10 | 206:22 | answering 8:13 |
| 95:9 | 128:15 | 104:13 105:21 | air 33:18 80:10 | 156:10 |
| ABA 176:10 | Acknowledge | advanced 66:18 | al 1:3,6 4:3,6,17 | answers 133:11 |
| abandoned | 201:23 | 66:18 | 4:18 6:13,14 | 133:11 202:21 |
| 138:21 | ACLU 5:4 6:24 | adversarial | 215:10,10 | Anthony 120:19 |
| ability 7:23 | 151:17 | 209:25 | 217:2,2 | anticipating |
| 21:19 85:8 | act 199:11 | adverse 95:16 | Alan 5:10 | 21:12 |
| 92:3 129:12 | action 134:18 | adversely | Alaris 5:22 6:21 | antiquated |
| 145:11,12 | 214:11,15 | 84:25 | 215:1,18 | 91:22 |
| 203:24 206:4 | actions 138:15 | advice 37:15 | alarmed 70:23 | anybody 37:15 |
| 205.24 200.4 | actively 98:21 | 99:13 | alert 185:20 | anymore 105:8 |
| able 23:22 27:2 | acts 18:20 | advise 94:23 | alleged 107:1 | anyway 62:18 |
| 51:21 54:13,18 | actual 177:17 | 95:14 | allocate 36:7 | 107:3 134:1 |
| 61:6,7 67:6 | acumen 23:8 | advising 85:16 | allocates 17:19 | 155:17 209:19 |
| · · | adamant 118:4 | advocate 34:16 | allow 8:18 | 210:16 |
| 77:6,8 85:5 100:25 154:2 | 118:14 | 34:19 | 202:23 | AP 26:21 |
| | add 24:3 33:20 | advocating | allowance | APD 25:1,12,15 |
| 155:2 169:4 | 91:17 181:16 | 101:10 | 185:17 | 25:16,18,21,21 |
| 206:8 | added 60:14,15 | affidavits 9:3 | allowed 135:13 | 26:4,6,21,22 |
| above-refere | 60:18 91:19 | affirmatively | 155:14 210:4 | 27:10 29:1 |
| 215:13 | 127:11 | 90:13 | allows 188:17 | 33:11 |
| absolutely 19:21 | additional 22:2 | affluence 208:4 | alluded 43:11 | APDs 25:12 |
| 93:14,19 | 40:1,21 42:17 | afford 148:10,12 | 161:6 | apologize |
| 149:24 150:16 | 92:5 94:13 | 152:9 209:17 | alternative 75:7 | 100:11 166:18 |
| absorb 67:14 | 165:21,24 | affordable | alternatives | 192:2 197:15 |
| 108:5 134:8 | adequate 66:12 | 212:13 | 109:15 110:19 | 204:1 |
| ac 74:6 | 84:2 | afraid 20:24 | 111:6 | app 155:9 |
| ACA 183:13 | = - | 22:5 34:10 | American 4:11 | app 155.9 appeal 102:23 |
| accept 56:8 | adequately 83:25 85:1 | 37:14,15 | 6:18 | 102:25 103:20 |
| 128:4 143:20 | 94:23 113:3 | afternoon | amount 16:12 | 102.25 103.20 |
| 159:24 | | u | | |
| acceptance | administering | 145:25 146:1 | 31:13 36:4 | 197:21 209:10 |
| 157:9 | 129:2 | age 7:8 | 37:2 46:7 | 210:16 |
| accepted 160:2 | administrates | aghast 156:9 | 54:11,12 88:12 | appealed |
| accepting 97:6 | 195:1 | ago 45:12 75:7 | 135:15 150:17 | 208:24 |
| accepts 161:1 | administrative | 108:22 111:24 | 167:3 184:14 | appealing |
| access 75:24 | 18:19,23 | 149:22 197:23 | amounts 45:13 | 210:20 |
| 125:22 | 42:20,23 43:2 | agree 123:19 | and/or 2:17 | appeals 14:3,10 |
| accessible 92:2 | 43:12 179:23 | 124:18 | 125:4 215:15 | 131:2 136:18 |
| accompanies | 180:1 | agreeable | 216:7 | appear 51:8 |
| 103:10 | admissions | 90:22 | animosity 120:9 | 183:4 |
| account 35:7 | 53:7 | agreed 6:1 | annoyed 191:6 | appearance |
| 128:21 155:5,5 | admit 52:14,23 | 104:7 131:12 | annual 165:16 | 44:24 46:16 |
| accused 138:9 | admonishment | agreement | answer 7:22 | 50:5,17 51:2,8 |
| ACH 183:12 | 205:5 | 47:18 | 8:5,15,19,20 | 51:18,19 52:9 |
| | adolescent | ahead 75:17 | 90:13 200:19 | 52:12 53:4,9 |
| | 1 | | • | • |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 57 of 93

| 97:17 | 160:11 186:20 | areas 54:21 71:3 | aspect 70:10,16 | 10:2,3 16:19 |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| appearances | 209:23 | 166:15 185:3 | assault 148:16 | 17:14 18:6,20 |
| 51:22 52:4 | appointing | 192:7 | asserted 118:10 | 42:15,21 89:5 |
| appeared 117:5 | 53:24 127:8 | argument 83:12 | 134:24 | 152:19 165:17 |
| 144:15 | 128:16 129:16 | 83:14,15 | asserting 120:4 | 166:17 207:8 |
| appearing 5:3,8 | 135:3 138:17 | arguments | 189:6,18 | assistants 15:19 |
| 5:14 139:7 | 156:13 209:6 | 75:14 108:10 | assertion 189:4 | 15:22 17:9,21 |
| appears 131:12 | appointment | 110:14 121:3 | assertions 118:1 | 18:17,21,22 |
| 214:6 | 136:1 210:17 | 141:5 | assessing | 42:3,4,12 |
| appellate 14:11 | appointments | arms 119:15 | 34:23 | 207:14 |
| 103:1 104:2 | 55:16 56:8 | Army 75:6 | assessment | assistive 207:13 |
| 113:18,19 | 108:1 126:18 | arraigned | 45:18,22 | associate 48:12 |
| applicant 204:8 | 129:10,13 | 49:22 | 100:22 101:9 | 49:19 |
| 204:11 211:13 | appoints 107:3 | arraignment | assets 153:12,16 | associates |
| applicant's | 160:15 | 44:24 45:3,4 | 155:5 158:1 | 209:2 |
| 202:5 203:21 | appraisal 178:10 | 50:14 57:19 | 161:4,14,14 | Association |
| applicants 157:1 | appreciate | 57:23 58:5 | 203:24 | 199:1 |
| 202:8 203:16 | 172:6 | 71:7 176:1 | assign 31:22 | assume 85:22 |
| 203:18 | approach 56:21 | arraignments | 53:22 107:14 | 91:17 100:8 |
| application 3:3 | appropriate | 49:24 57:20 | 107:16 118:5 | 102:13 116:12 |
| 15:25 152:24 | 78:18 79:22 | arrange 43:8 | 129:14 133:23 | 117:7 125:10 |
| 152:25 153:2 | 111:6 114:25 | 58:9 | 176:9 190:8 | 193:3 200:16 |
| 153:20 154:21 | 185:7 213:6,10 | arranged | assigned 16:9 | 212:15 |
| 159:21 160:16 | approval 157:8 | 144:20 | 17:10 18:25 | assumed 138:6 |
| 160:18,25 | 173:1,22 | arrest 44:20,21 | 23:11 32:4 | assuming 88:9 |
| 201:14 202:4 | approve 29:5 | arrested 44:25 | 41:16,20 51:15 | 125:8 |
| 202:20 | 33:24 35:18 | 45:1 | 105:20,21 | attach 141:9 |
| 203:12 207:4 | 35:21 36:2 | ascertain 154:18 | 131:19 142:21 | attached 3:6 |
| applications | approved 34:13 | ascertains | 162:13 176:3 | 141:20,25 |
| 16:4 128:2 | 36:2 73:11 | 158:5 | assigner 21:17 | attend 23:25 |
| 155:8,12 157:4 | 79:2 | aside 18:16 57:6 | assigning 15:7 | 24:22 25:7 |
| 202:16 | approver 170:4 | 81:1 94:14,20 | 21:17 139:2,5 | 99:5 |
| apply 154:13,20 | approves 73:12 | 112:15 131:3 | 140:10 142:12 | attending 101:13 |
| 189:6 209:13 | approving 29:3 | 133:10 144:13 | 144:1 | attention 215:19 |
| appoint 55:15 | approximate | 145:2 163:17 | assignment | attentive 51:6 |
| 128:17 134:23 | 177:10 | 172:12 | 2:18 130:3 | attorney 5:10 |
| 134:25 135:1 | approximately | asked 45:21 | 142:5 | 8:3 12:6,19 |
| 155:17 156:12 | 43:20 132:2 | 53:18 55:23 | assignments | 14:24 15:1,3 |
| 209:19,21 | April 131:25 | 111:25 133:1 | 32:4 | 16:18 22:10,16 |
| 210:16 | archived 155:9 | 140:13,13 | assist 43:9 | 26:4,6 27:6 |
| appointed 53:8 | arduous 180:24 | 152:22 174:19 | 74:24 | 30:17,17 33:23 |
| 53:13 57:1,2 | area 10:11,13,18 | 194:19 198:23 | assistance 17:13 | 41:13,16,19 |
| 96:9 97:12,14 | 11:9,11,12 | 209:20 211:15 | 188:10 191:16 | 51:15,24 52:3 |
| 97:15 126:16 | 105:12,19 | 211:16,20 | 191:21 199:12 | 54:4,23 55:6 |
| 127:4 135:14 | 124:16,21 | asking 31:1 | 199:18 203:14 | 57:23 58:3,8 |
| 139:9 145:7 | 130:24,25 | 81:10,10 117:12 | 204:9 205:18 | 61:20 62:7 |
| 155:12 156:20 | 166:22 187:18 | 170:10 198:19 | assistant 9:25 | 64:10 67:10 |
| | • | • | · | • |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 58 of 93

| 70:2 74:12 | 94:22 95:1 | 83:13 95:13,18 | 118:6 139:3 | 75:8 83:14,23 |
|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| 83:10 94:6,15 | 99:5 100:25 | 95:21 96:3 | 143:17 148:5 | 84:2 85:3 |
| 94:20 101:3 | 101:18,19,24 | 102:6,10 110:2 | 151:25 152:8 | 118:23 124:8 |
| 102:12 104:2 | 104:17 108:7,7 | 110:22 116:25 | 196:17 | 134:20 135:6 |
| 105:14 112:14 | 110:7,19,22 | 119:19 121:13 | bare 138:5 | 135:19 139:4 |
| 134:1,22 | 112:9 113:3 | 123:22,25 | Barrett 196:17 | 144:7 162:1,23 |
| 144:19 152:21 | 114:24 117:19 | 124:2 131:6 | base 28:12,14 | 168:10 178:20 |
| 158:9 159:12 | 118:1,8 120:13 | 142:11 154:14 | 139:17 | 183:9 187:15 |
| 160:4 161:8 | 120:15 126:14 | 161:13 176:13 | based 24:3 | 191:6,7 194:7 |
| 164:20 175:23 | 129:16 130:14 | 187:1 188:19 | 33:12 45:9 | 195:25 196:4 |
| 176:19 177:17 | 130:16 132:4 | 201:3 | 69:19 96:11 | 197:24 201:9 |
| | | | | |
| 203:25 205:11 | 133:12 134:2,7 | awareness | 110:6 118:1 | 202:15 205:12 212:22 |
| 207:20,22 | 138:23 139:7 | 110:4 | 139:18 154:12 | * |
| 208:14 210:12 | 148:7,9 152:8 | awkward 90:19 | 164:1,3 169:13 | believing 63:12 |
| 210:21,23 | 161:20 162:9 | В | 169:14,15 | bench 51:12 |
| 214:13 | 163:9 164:8 | B 2:10 3:1 15:6 | 171:25 187:5 | 82:11,14 |
| attorney's | 169:10,15 170:1 | 27:1 82:16 | 187:10 188:22 | 109:21 |
| 84:24 95:14 | 175:15,16 | 83:5 181:10 | 189:3,4,17 | best 7:23 8:19 |
| attorney-client | 176:21 177:16 | | 208:7 | 17:19,24 18:10 |
| 62:5 63:6 | 178:6 183:9 | baby 23:16 | basic 135:21 | 45:16 64:6,15 |
| 81:11 89:19 | 184:15 190:6 | back 9:2 10:19 | 167:5 | 82:18 102:11 |
| attorneys 6:22 | 190:24 191:18 | 11:20 20:12 | basically 12:20 | 214:8 |
| 9:3 12:25 13:11 | 206:3,5,9,10 | 23:19 49:8,9 | 27:13 41:18 | Bethany 123:14 |
| 16:10,20 17:4 | 211:14 | 62:13,14 63:16 | 92:19 118:25 | better 8:9 |
| 17:20 18:16 | attorneys' | 74:2 91:8 99:2 | 135:3 139:17 | 30:24 38:15 |
| 19:4,22 23:14 | 134:14 | 121:12 122:3 | 154:4 167:7 | 45:22 50:23 |
| 25:20,25 27:7 | attract 39:6 | 122:10 141:24 | 191:2,8,8 | 51:20 67:2 |
| 27:15 29:17 | audited 209:20 | 144:9 146:8,8 | 203:10 | 85:4 93:16 |
| 29:23,23 33:3 | auditors | 150:7 153:4 | basis 24:8 | 107:22 108:13 |
| 39:6,23 40:2 | 209:20 | 170:23 171:19 | 29:21 40:4 | 116:12 |
| 40:6 41:3,20 | August 23:24 | 179:9 200:5 | 106:18 113:6 | beyond 49:19 |
| 41:23 50:3 | author 123:13 | 200:10 201:18 | Bazell 14:6,15 | big 38:8 39:2 |
| 51:18 54:24 | 124:13 | 201:22 | 165:10 | 91:20 114:15 |
| 55:5 56:18 | automatically | backed 98:14 | becoming | 153:7 195:24 |
| 57:2 58:9 | 205:18,23 | background | 27:24 87:17 | bigger 131:8 |
| 62:19 64:17 | 207:21 | 84:16 108:10 | began 132:2 | bill 71:11 177:5 |
| 65:8,13 66:2 | availability | backing 122:16 | 179:2 | billing 184:14 |
| 66:9 69:14 | 21:20 47:1 | 122:19 | beginning 51:9 | bills 42:25,25 |
| 70:14 71:4,24 | 72:20,23 | bad 76:18 138:9 | 175:10 176:9 | bit 28:19 33:7 |
| 72:16 74:18,23 | available 67:6 | 138:10 169:19 | 176:20 | 52:10 61:2 |
| 75:15 78:17 | 74:23 78:15 | 185:16 206:14 | behalf 1:12 4:19 | 71:22 85:13 |
| 79:7 80:14,19 | 84:10 109:14 | 210:8 | 7:8 197:17 | 104:16 114:22 |
| 80:25 81:13 | 110:18 111:2,6 | bail 45:6,9,10,13 | behaviors 96:11 | 134:16 146:10 |
| 83:14,17,24 | 125:14 | 46:7 | belief 142:6 | 152:13 186:4 |
| 85:4,20,23 | average 79:6 | balls 116:21 | believe 9:21 | black 119:14 |
| 87:10 91:16 | aware 62:6 70:1 | bank 119:13 | 20:8 57:25 | Blaugh 34:4 |
| 93:5,20 94:1 | 75:17,17 77:5 | bar 11:2 116:4 | 71:24 74:14 | 73:12 |
| 33.3,20 3 1.1 | '5.17,17 77.5 | | ' '.2 ' ' '.! ' | '5.12 |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.6 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 59 of 93

| blowing 191:10 | bringing 84:8 | 37:16 39:19 | 41:12,16,18 | 34:23 40:7,8 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| blue 45:13,14 | 138:4 | 44:23 67:8 | 48:1,2,3,20 | 41:7 54:5 |
| board 23:9 | broad 5:5 | 78:10 81:16 | 51:13,14 52:23 | 114:15 116:8,8 |
| body 8:16 | 148:18 | 94:8 100:4,7 | 53:14,22 | 116:14 118:18 |
| bogged 206:10 | broken 63:5,5 | 100:21 103:8 | 54:17 56:14,16 | 120:7 122:16 |
| bond 45:25 | brought 44:19 | 126:8 130:6 | 57:2 66:21,21 | 122:17 133:19 |
| 46:2 51:25 | 45:19 57:16 | 132:14 149:2 | 68:7,8 70:4 | 150:16 164:15 |
| 52:5,19 81:19 | 93:3,4 135:1 | 166:18 174:7 | 70:25 72:1,11 | 164:16,18 |
| 154:15 161:17 | budget 35:13 | 198:23 | 73:2 78:23 | 165:25 166:4 |
| 204:11 207:11 | 35:20,22 | 204:23 | 79:12,13,18,21 | 187:5 188:14 |
| 210:12 | 36:3,12 39:22 | called 10:17 | 82:23 84:6,7 | 188:17 189:4 |
| bonded 154:11 | 78:22 183:19 | 45:13 61:12 | 85:10,15 86:12 | 189:17 190:21 |
| 210:6 | 183:19 184:9 | 87:9 110:16 | 86:17,24 87:5 | 196:10 |
| bones 138:5 | | 184:12 | 88:11,18 92:11 | caseloads |
| | 185:1,17,25 | | 92:19 93:18 | |
| bono 107:11,13 112:4 | 186:7,11 | calling 62:23 | | 40:16,16 |
| | budget-wise | calls 10:13 | 95:15,18 96:9 | 106:10,13 |
| booking 45:21 | 117:6 | cam 16:16 80:1 | 96:13 97:14 | 134:10 150:13 |
| Boone 124:1 | budgetary | 93:11 | 99:9 104:8,21 | 151:1 162:8 |
| born 76:19 | 78:19 185:12 | camaraderie | 105:18 107:5 | 196:14 |
| borrow 36:13 | bug 21:13 | 119:10 | 109:9 113:25 | cases 11:21,22 |
| boss 37:3 39:15 | bugs 21:13 | candidate | 118:6 120:13 | 12:5,5,13,22 |
| 39:16 | building 5:16 | 159:25 | 134:5 135:1,5 | 12:23,24 13:8 |
| bottom 114:18 | 62:12 215:8 | candidates | 135:18,22 | 13:15,19,22,24 |
| bound 49:21 | bulk 30:19,23 | 38:5,10 | 136:15,19,21 | 14:1,6,12 15:4 |
| 72:6,8,9 | 81:2 96:13 | capacity 9:24 | 139:21 144:13 | 15:8 16:4,14,14 |
| box 188:20 | 101:1 207:15 | 16:23 25:10 | 146:23 147:12 | 21:2,6,7,8,10 |
| brain 112:22 | bunch 14:9 | 56:10 98:3 | 148:8 158:22 | 21:15 22:2 |
| brand 23:2 | 40:11 45:16 | 190:5,5 | 159:12 160:5 | 24:10 30:6,7 |
| break 39:9 | 52:6 116:20 | car 99:22 148:2 | 161:9 165:2,4 | 30:13 31:8,14 |
| 146:5 | 118:25 147:25 | 148:10 175:17 | 165:9 167:21 | 31:20 32:8,19 |
| breaks 39:7,8 | 151:25 152:3 | care 72:21 162:1 | 169:23 170:2 | 32:22,25 |
| 115:14 | 209:12 | career 109:7 | 171:12,12 174:6 | 33:2 35:8 |
| brief 58:17 95:8 | burden 161:8 | 169:5 191:14 | 174:9,23 | 40:10 41:10,21 |
| 123:3 198:13 | 177:17 | carry 31:3,5 | 175:12,17 | 41:22,24 |
| 206:18 | burglary 183:16 | 44:3,4 | 176:3,19 | 43:21,24 44:6 |
| briefly 192:22 | 183:17 | carrying 15:3 | 179:20,22,22 | 44:9 47:4 |
| 206:25 | business 12:10 | 29:6 31:7 44:6 | 179:24 180:1,3 | 49:25 50:1,6 |
| bright 154:24 | busy 22:2 66:4 | 150:3 | 180:6 181:14,16 | 51:15 54:8,9 |
| 159:17 204:12 | 69:9 91:22 | carved 188:17 | 181:17,18,20 | 54:19,25 55:2 |
| 204:19,23 | button 181:16 | Carver 120:21 | 182:21 187:11 | 55:3,8,12,21 |
| 205:14 207:9 | Byrne 107:20 | 120:21 | 189:15,15 | 55:24 56:6,13 |
| 210:10 | | case 1:5 2:18 | 190:9 205:21 | 56:22 58:10 |
| bring 9:10 | C | 4:5 6:14 7:17 | 211:22,24 | 62:19,20 |
| 44:25 45:1 | C 3:1 5:1 15:7 | 7:22 9:4 12:7 | 215:13 217:2 | 63:14,16,21 |
| 47:3 84:16 | 82:18,19 | 14:6 21:21 | caseload 2:21 | 64:17,24,25 |
| 116:8,8 158:7 | call 10:18 24:15 | 27:3 30:11 | 12:17 15:4 21:4 | 65:12 67:21 |
| 167:12 | 35:24 37:15 | 31:5,20 40:9 | 30:7 31:4,7 | 68:6 69:16 |
| | | 0,_0 | | 33.3 33.13 |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 60 of 93

| 70:1 72:8,9,12 | categories | 112:15,20 | charged 52:15 | 146:24 147:13 |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 74:10 77:5 | 192:11 | 187:8,9 | 148:13 167:25 | 163:7 215:3 |
| 79:8,10,16 | category 148:18 | certified 4:14 | 182:19 202:10 | civil 4:11 6:18 |
| 81:5 82:5 | catering 11:5 | 76:22 108:19 | charges 165:1,6 | 103:4 132:16 |
| 86:8,16 87:17 | cattle 148:3 | 122:9,23 | chat 194:8 | 159:9 189:15 |
| 87:21 91:4,10 | cause 4:15 19:12 | 196:20 214:3 | cheap 184:22 | claims 29:9 |
| 95:13 101:14 | 139:10 142:9 | certify 214:5 | cheaper 170:17 | clarify 28:1 |
| 102:2 104:5,13 | 150:19 | 216:5 | 192:19 | 147:21 |
| 104:13,16,18 | caveat 164:18 | certifying 122:11 | cheapest 78:10 | clarifying 58:24 |
| 105:12,20,21 | cc 215:23 | 122:21 187:5,9 | check 30:8 | classes 128:4 |
| 106:1 107:23 | CCR 5:21 6:4 | chair 30:2,21 | 153:14 174:10 | Clay 11:18 |
| 109:7,12,23 | 214:18 215:22 | 67:23 | checking 19:25 | CLE 24:15,15 |
| 112:10 113:9 | centered 38:24 | chaired 30:21 | checks 132:7 | 25:11 |
| 114:17,20,25 | central 1:2 4:2 | 113:9 | 178:23 | cleaned 91:23 |
| 118:11 120:15 | 6:16 33:8 34:7 | chairing 30:23 | chief 140:5,14 | clear 9:8 24:5 |
| 122:2,5 126:6 | 36:2,11 73:8 | 70:22 105:4 | 144:9 158:22 | 49:3 118:13 |
| 126:15 127:3 | 86:6 116:23 | chambers | child 83:7 | 138:3,18 |
| 129:14 130:3,11 | 117:17 131:2 | 190:3 | Chillicothe | 189:16 212:18 |
| 130:13,15,16 | 172:23,23 | chance 86:24 | 131:15 | clearance 98:9 |
| 130:22 131:3 | 178:16 184:10 | change 33:22 | choice 118:2 | clearly 8:14 |
| 131:15,17,17,18 | 196:8 207:23 | 49:14 67:3,4 | choose 21:14 | 53:7 89:16 |
| 131:24 132:3 | centrally 186:9 | 78:25 134:1 | 38:6,22,23 | 122:15 187:11 |
| 132:25 133:15 | certain 4:15 | 211:16 217:6,10 | choosing 117:11 | 187:12 208:10 |
| 133:23 134:8 | 23:22 35:4 | 217:14,18,22 | chronic 167:8 | clerical 18:23 |
| 139:2,5 140:10 | 36:4 38:17 | changed 46:7 | chunk 43:19 | 19:2 42:17 |
| 142:12,20,24 | 73:13,15,16 | 107:18 136:25 | 153:8 185:18 | CLEs 94:7 105:7 |
| 143:1,20 144:5 | 108:18 114:9 | 207:22 | Church 1:3 4:3 | client 2:12 12:6 |
| 144:11,22 | 122:12 127:6 | changes 147:6 | 4:17 6:13 | 24:11,11 30:8 |
| 145:8 146:12 | 177:13 183:23 | 158:10 215:15 | 215:10 217:2 | 30:10 31:18 |
| 147:4 148:6 | 184:14 196:22 | 216:7,9 | circuit 10:14,14 | 33:21 35:2 |
| 149:10,14 | 213:4 | chaos 14:8 | 10:16 12:11 | 38:24 39:5 |
| 150:5 157:15 | certainly 26:10 | chapter 120:22 | 50:8 57:19 | 43:17 46:24 |
| 157:18,18 | 34:18 39:13 | 120:25 121:1,6 | 189:14 | 47:21 49:20 |
| 158:3 161:20 | 50:22 67:19 | 121:12 135:23 | circulated | 51:12,14 52:6 |
| 161:24 162:13 | 68:20 69:8,9 | 135:23 137:4 | 109:21 | 52:11,18 58:8 |
| 164:9,12,14,25 | 81:23 82:17 | 137:21 138:4 | circumstances | 60:7 61:2,8,10 |
| 165:6,12 168:3 | 87:4 94:10 | 155:4 186:23 | 8:4 205:9 | 62:7,9,12,12 |
| 171:15 175:16 | 108:25 109:1 | 187:11,24 | cities 131:13 | 63:8 68:19,21 |
| 178:25 180:25 | 115:3 117:21,24 | 188:2 189:3 | citizen 94:19 | 69:12 70:2 |
| 182:12,13,25 | 149:1 151:8 | 197:16 | City 4:13 5:11,23 | 76:12,14,17 |
| 183:1,10 186:14 | 154:10 155:10 | characterizing | 9:18 10:6,14 | 81:15,20,22 |
| 186:19 190:2 | 160:20 172:10 | 32:20,21 | 10:20,25 11:10 | 81:22 85:16 |
| 190:17 195:9 | 197:1 210:8,8 | charge 25:6 | 11:13 39:21 | 86:1,2 87:5 |
| 197:13 206:10 | CERTIFICATE | 29:3 148:14 | 59:4,12 60:8 | 88:6,9 90:6,7 |
| 209:6 210:5 | 214:1 | 165:5,7,9 | 76:14 89:2 | 90:11,12,13,18 |
| Cass 11:18 | certification | 168:6 179:19 | 113:20 121:8 | 90:20 91:5,7 |
| catch 30:4 | 105:9 108:8,16 | 181:9 182:20 | 130:21,24 | 93:16 94:18 |
| | | 15115 152120 | | |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376 www.alaris.us

Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 61 of 93

| 95:14,17,19 | clients' 54:14 | 201:18 | 163:5 164:4 | concerned 91:4 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| 97:3 98:6 | climate 108:1 | comfortable | compare 185:2 | 128:11 143:7,7 |
| 99:6 100:11,18 | Clinton 11:19 | 37:13 39:17,18 | compared | 143:9 185:20 |
| 100:24 101:16 | close 18:25 | coming 10:21 | 148:5 163:4 | 191:4 197:10 |
| 101:23,25 | 61:23 154:24 | 62:20,25 63:1 | compel 71:19 | concerning |
| 103:8,9 104:1 | closed 38:9 | 126:18 127:5,6 | compelled | 19:19 138:22 |
| 104:8,10 | 108:23 109:1 | 128:7 129:13 | 142:12 | 162:21 167:11 |
| 108:18 110:14 | closer 65:20 | 142:10 207:23 | competence | 188:25 |
| 126:12 136:19 | 65:20 | 208:6 | 54:15 97:22 | 206:25 208:3 |
| 155:13 156:2,6 | closing 71:2 | commenced | 114:6 188:11 | concerns 47:2 |
| 171:18 174:5,17 | 83:11,14,22 | 6:9 | competency | 53:23 54:3 |
| 174:18,20 | Coalition 130:3 | comment | 97:22 | 189:9,19 |
| 175:22 181:14 | 194:15 | 151:20 | competent | 196:11 |
| 181:20 199:17 | codes 42:25 | comments | 174:11,12 | concerted |
| client's 84:16 | coerced 178:3,6 | 114:10 | complain 78:12 | 146:25 |
| 174:7 179:24 | cog 130:9 | commission | 87:13 | concessions |
| 181:15 | cognizant 34:11 | 200:17 216:19 | complaint 2:22 | 26:19 |
| clients 15:23 | 184:22 185:3 | commit 147:20 | 46:5 81:16 | concluded |
| 16:17 23:11 | collar 148:18,21 | committee | 118:6 140:2 | 103:6,17 |
| 30:9 31:22 | 148:23 149:5 | 140:25 141:1 | 143:18 196:17 | 142:16 213:15 |
| 35:5 38:15 | 149:6 | common 76:7 | complaints | conclusions |
| 46:19 49:11 | collection | 81:15 | 29:11 109:17 | 207:16 |
| 51:13,19,20 | 178:15 | commonly | 109:20,23 | condescending |
| 52:19,23 53:5 | Columbia 5:17 | 161:17 | complete 192:4 | 119:2 |
| 53:6,19 55:4 | 215:9 | commonplace | completely | conditioned |
| 55:10 56:16 | come 10:19 | 93:4 | 42:5 45:24 | 115:2 |
| 62:17,24 63:1 | 18:13 20:12 | communicate | 159:10 184:10 | conduct 100:1 |
| 63:4,5,17 64:1 | 22:25 23:9 | 62:9 75:24 | complex 39:9 | 189:5 |
| 64:4 69:6 | 29:9 32:5 | 76:6 89:17 | comply 139:1 | conducted |
| 74:25 75:25 | 36:11 40:17 | 132:17 195:19 | complying | 92:6 |
| 81:16,16 85:3 | 41:17 45:17 | 198:21 | 143:25 144:3 | conducting |
| 85:21 86:23 | 62:13,14 64:17 | communicated | 145:5 | 24:6 |
| 89:18 91:12 | 94:6,15,20 | 198:20 | compromise | conference |
| 93:7,22 94:23 | 98:6,7 99:10 | communicates | 81:11 | 2:21 120:1 |
| 95:23,24 | 109:23 126:14 | 195:16 | compromised | 138:11 175:25 |
| 96:19 97:9 | 127:7 128:6,12 | communicating | 114:21 | 176:2 186:24 |
| 99:10 100:21 | 129:11 141:1 | 103:23 114:5 | compromising | 196:4,25 |
| 101:3 109:19 | 146:12 148:19 | 119:20 189:21 | 62:5 | 197:11 198:23 |
| 110:12,20 111:9 | 154:17,22 | 199:16 | computer 77:24 | conferences |
| 112:5,13 113:4 | 159:24 161:24 | communication | 160:24 171:2 | 49:2 |
| 114:6 115:10 | 166:9 207:2 | 63:25 114:3,7 | 211:21 | confident |
| 133:25 143:8 | 207:15 211:21 | 132:19 177:25 | concealed | 23:22 |
| 144:6,16 | comes 16:13 | communicati | 150:4 | confidential |
| 145:13 154:11 | 34:1 35:21 | 54:9 89:21 119:23 | conceivably 32:22 | 61:16,18 96:21 |
| 155:16 160:19 | 41:13 44:12 70:12 99:2 | | | conflict 11:17,22 |
| 160:21 168:5,6 202:19 206:13 | 70:12 99:2 161:3 184:14 | company 137:1 | concept 37:23 | 12:5,9,13,21 |
| 202.19 206.13 | 101.3 184.14 | comparable | 37:25 | 12:23,24 |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376 www.alaris.us

| 13:20 55:4 | 167:8 182:5 | 94:1 168:6 | 141:22 144:10 | 146:20 147:12 |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| 56:15,23 | constitutes | contracted 11:21 | 173:21 197:21 | 148:15 149:6 |
| 107:5,14,17,23 | 202:4 | contrary 63:10 | 202:9,11 | 153:11 176:17 |
| 132:6 172:11 | constitutional | control 66:7 | 204:12 | 176:19 183:6,7 |
| conflicts 11:16 | 53:1 | 180:14 184:18 | 205:25 206:11 | 216:3 |
| 12:1,15,17 13:2 | constitutionally | 184:20 188:18 | 216:8,10 | couple 28:5 |
| 13:2,3,5 14:22 | 186:15 | 208:5 | corrections | 39:22 44:6 |
| 107:7 108:5 | constraints | controllable | 215:15 | 45:17 46:23 |
| 135:12 | 78:20 | 40:16 | correctly 78:4 | 56:25 105:16 |
| confusing | consult 93:24 | controller | 153:19 154:5 | 109:7 132:6 |
| 189:16 | 158:15 | 180:13 185:9 | 156:25 196:7 | 197:22 |
| connection | consulted 194:5 | controls 154:6 | 207:4 | course 73:18 |
| 75:5 | contact 2:12 | convenience | cost 97:10 | 102:18 106:2 |
| consecutive | 30:8,12,13 | 176:18 | 108:5 212:11 | 149:12 161:12 |
| 122:14 | 33:21 35:2 | conversation | costs 96:24 | court 1:1 4:1,14 |
| consent 80:8,12 | 43:17 60:7 | 88:6 108:3 | council 140:9 | 4:16 5:21 6:15 |
| consented 80:7 | 61:3,8,10,13 | 173:19,24 | counsel 6:2,2 | 7:5,25 8:12 |
| consequence | 150:11 160:17 | 174:3 190:16 | 16:6 107:25 | 23:21 28:7 |
| 95:16 | 169:1 177:24 | 196:6 | 130:4 139:17 | 45:5,17 46:3 |
| consequences | 208:9 | conversations | 140:5,14 | 46:22 47:1 |
| 93:21 94:10,13 | contacting | 62:1 150:8 | 144:10 150:8 | 48:6 50:8 |
| 94:24 95:11,15 | 84:15 | 188:24 194:23 | 150:18 154:16 | 52:9,17 53:24 |
| consider 34:22 | contacts 30:14 | convey 86:4 | 157:19 158:25 | 57:19 58:12 |
| 41:6 132:20 | contain 201:2 | 90:4 | 174:11,13 | 66:3 72:21,22 |
| 168:22 | contempt | conveyed | 188:10 191:16 | 73:23 75:21 |
| 204:22 | 138:20,24 | 86:22 | 191:21 194:21 | 75:22,23 |
| considerably | 139:11 142:4,7 | conveying | 199:13,19 | 76:25 78:9,15 |
| 161:4 | 143:4,15 144:8 | 85:23 90:1 | 214:10,13 | 86:2 89:8 |
| consideration | 151:7 | coordinator | Counsel's | 96:12 97:7,12 |
| 156:19 158:8 | content 150:13 | 105:1,7,8 | 194:15 | 97:16,16 98:13 |
| 159:19 207:18 | 150:15 | cop 99:22 | count 43:14 | 99:4 105:3 |
| considerations | context 115:9 | copy 215:12,15 | 94:3 115:11 | 107:2,10,13 |
| 158:19 | 209:5 | core 20:10 | 182:7,8,8,20 | 108:14 109:10 |
| considered | continuance | corporate | 182:22 | 109:19 111:19 |
| 22:14 73:4 | 57:12 | 195:14 | counted 183:10 | 111:22 118:16 |
| 201:3 | continuances | correct 17:10 | counties 11:10 | 119:3,13,17 |
| consistent 13:9 | 48:16 | 31:4 32:23 | 11:18,23 12:1 | 128:10 134:19 |
| 149:20 163:18 | continuation | 46:11 59:7 | 13:10 176:17 | 135:5,7,20 |
| consistently | 103:14 | 60:3 61:8,9 | countries 76:16 | 136:18 138:6,7 |
| 62:9,23 | continue 48:16 | 64:11 74:21,22 | counts 181:18 | 138:12,15 141:6 |
| consolidate | 72:22 | 75:3 82:15 | 183:1,15 | 142:4 143:1,11 |
| 183:8,8 | continued 47:17 | 89:10 100:9 | county 10:15 | 144:3,6,15 |
| constant 20:8,8 | 72:12 137:1 | 102:15 103:20 | 32:24 45:11 | 152:20,22,23 |
| 20:9 | 142:17 | 113:15 121:14 | 66:14 86:5 | 155:14 156:4 |
| constantly 20:1 | continuing | 121:15 130:25 | 91:3 97:1 | 160:15 162:19 |
| 20:4 28:23 | 143:20 | 132:25 136:12 | 98:14 102:21 | 176:5 179:25 189:14 190:15 |
| 28:24 62:25 | contract 93:23 | 138:14 141:6 | 124:1 146:12 | 109.14 190:15 |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

| | - | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| 201:18 208:16 | 149:6,16 | 155:7 | 49:10 93:17 | Defendant's |
| 214:3 | 202:4 | database 59:5 | 106:16 134:19 | 207:1 |
| Court's 121:14 | crimes 147:17,19 | 60:9,11,14,17 | 160:18 174:6 | defendants 1:7 |
| 145:5 | 148:14,18 150:1 | 60:19 89:3,6 | 191:12 | 4:7,18 5:14 6:3 |
| court-ordered | 164:17 186:21 | 91:18,20 | dealing 120:4 | 7:2 46:10 |
| 96:20 97:4 | criminal 44:18 | 125:17 126:2,3 | deals 85:14 | 50:25 96:5 |
| courthouses | 48:20 66:21 | 126:12 129:8 | 88:4 93:7,13 | 126:6 167:14 |
| 11:13,15 | 132:17 146:12 | 165:13 177:20 | Dear 215:11 | 202:16 210:1 |
| courtroom 111:7 | 152:15 157:15 | 180:11 | debated 106:16 | defender 9:15 |
| 155:21 | 161:2 165:2 | databases | debt 205:10 | 9:17,20,21,25 |
| courts 29:11 | 166:23 167:14 | 125:19 | December 1:13 | 10:3,3,5,22 |
| 44:23 49:16 | 179:20 181:12 | date 6:11 45:5 | 4:10 6:11 215:4 | 28:21 31:21 |
| 55:14 86:6 | 182:15,19 | 48:9 50:11,13 | 215:13 217:4 | 46:21 53:18 |
| 87:13 91:10 | 183:4 186:19 | 59:15,16,23 | decide 74:12 | 59:12 89:5 |
| 96:10 102:1 | 189:15 199:1 | 60:2,2 80:18 | 85:10 | 100:18 105:17 |
| 103:2,5 117:5 | CRR 5:21 6:4 | 89:4 202:6 | decides 17:24 | 107:23 108:5 |
| 117:8 118:22 | 214:18 215:22 | 215:18 217:4 | 101:17 | 108:25 111:25 |
| 119:20 127:8 | CSR10-3.010 | dates 23:21 | deciding 161:25 | 114:9 116:9 |
| 129:15 135:24 | 200:2 | 66:1,4 76:25 | decision 58:2 | 121:19,22 |
| 142:18 146:17 | cuffs 202:20 | 162:19 176:5 | 89:8 103:24 | 122:1 124:16 |
| 174:21 | current 9:16 | Daughbert | 109:4 113:24 | 135:17 149:13 |
| cover 10:15 12:1 | 55:4 56:16 | 166:22,24 | 114:4,24 | 150:20 157:25 |
| coverage 57:9 | 92:21 | day 28:4,5 29:6 | 115:22 116:24 | 158:4 159:18 |
| 57:11 58:9 | currently 13:11 | 44:21,22,25 | 121:14,24 | 160:8 179:9,10 |
| 106:14 192:3 | 15:12 142:14 | 46:22 65:3 | 132:24 134:15 | 179:16 183:20 |
| 196:13 | 151:18 173:17 | 91:8 110:10,12 | 134:17 136:9 | 186:13 191:15 |
| covered 21:7 | 178:24 179:1 | 110:12 113:12 | 171:25 175:2 | 200:1 201:14 |
| 126:6 145:15 | custody 15:23 | 126:13 127:12 | 188:21 194:15 | 209:14 |
| covering 147:9 | 15:24 48:10,17 | 135:5 151:23 | 196:1 208:24 | defender's 5:15 |
| covers 14:17 | 61:14 95:24 | 156:4 162:20 | decisions 34:7 | 16:1 51:10 |
| 54:15 | 98:7 127:21 | 170:25 180:8 | 35:16 36:6 | 116:5 126:1 |
| crack 149:22,23 | 128:1,2,12 | 195:18 216:14 | 56:6 | 147:8 156:17 |
| craft 78:2,4 | cut 75:19 119:15 | day-long 24:6 | declare 216:10 | 208:22 215:7 |
| crazy 103:5 | cutting 11:20 | day-to-day | dedicated | defenders 37:5 |
| 116:14 136:17 | cycle 122:4 | 28:20 | 180:17 | 37:18 51:1 |
| 159:8 162:11 | cyclical 148:25 | days 28:11,13 | deep 38:18 | 58:7 59:10 |
| create 169:19 | | 30:11 83:20 | deer 148:2 | 84:12 107:24 |
| created 14:8 | D 2:1 5:4 15:7 | 98:16,18 | def 51:10 | 120:2,12,18 |
| 60:6,7 100:16 | 82:18,19 | 115:22 126:20 | defendant | 123:23 152:5 |
| 122:22 125:6 | 215:23 | 132:13 143:23 | 44:19 50:16 | 165:16,18 |
| 125:11 126:5 | daily 24:8 | 143:25 DC 77:1 | 53:2 103:23 | 166:16,17 |
| 200:13 | 44:24 171:4 | DC 77:1 | 152:15 157:15 | 188:13,25 |
| creates 200:15 | danger 98:21 | DDs 39:13 | 161:2 165:2 | 196:3 197:3,7 |
| creation 194:6 | dash 16:15 54:3 | deadline 80:19 | 179:20 181:12 | defense 30:16 |
| cried 115:12 | 80:1 93:11 | deadlines 80:17 114:5 | 182:19 183:4,5 | 30:17 199:2 |
| crime 67:17,25 68:9 148:21 | data 146:13,16 | deal 29:10 49:8 | 208:4,24 209:10 | definitely 22:20 27:16 34:11 |
| 00.3 146.21 | adia 1 10.10,10 | ueai 23.10 43.8 | 203.10 | 27.10 34.11 |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376

| | | | | 1 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 35:3 63:17 | 19:12 | designed | 14:14 35:8 | 144:9 |
| 67:19 68:8 | depend 26:5 | 187:12 | 42:5 77:22,23 | disciplined |
| 71:20 72:25 | 48:9 68:10 | desire 91:9 | 77:23 84:16 | 54:4,23 88:16 |
| 73:4 76:13 | depending | desired 215:16 | 93:25 104:14 | 113:17 |
| 78:3 150:1 | 25:3 28:14 | desk 161:25 | 107:12 150:2 | disclose 161:14 |
| 157:3,10 158:11 | 40:9 47:24 | details 80:11 | 156:15 158:21 | disconnect |
| 161:19 182:25 | 167:20 | detention 110:8 | 162:16 169:21 | 39:12 |
| 184:3,4,13,16 | depends 28:6,7 | 110:10,19,23 | 177:12 182:13 | discontinued |
| 210:3 | 44:12 47:1 | determination | 182:13 189:11 | 52:1 |
| definition 70:7 | 51:5 52:22 | 2:23 105:24 | 196:9 199:14 | discourage |
| definitive 79:12 | 70:7 102:18 | 106:18,19 | 199:20 | 83:17 |
| delineated | 132:10 164:18 | 107:4 153:3 | differently 147:3 | discourse 52:21 |
| 36:14 | 164:18,20 | 155:13,20 | difficult 31:24 | discovery 16:13 |
| delinguency | Depleted 158:2 | 156:16 157:14 | 61:21 68:5 | 66:11,12 71:5 |
| 104:21 109:9 | depo 66:7,10,17 | 159:1 160:12 | 72:20 151:2 | 71:12 79:25 |
| Delmuro 111:23 | depos 36:13 | 160:14 200:7 | 154:18 | 80:15 93:14 |
| demoralizing | depose 65:8,10 | 201:17 209:9 | difficulty 109:18 | 167:6 168:8 |
| 21:1 | 65:14 184:19 | determinations | dig 80:15 | discrepancy |
| denial 77:19 | deposed 7:18 | 55:25 161:22 | diga 76:14 | 60:20 |
| 138:1 171:2 | 66:23 168:20 | 163:25 | diligence 54:7 | discretion 18:1 |
| 197:21 212:15 | deposes 7:9 | determine 111:5 | 114:3,6 188:11 | 36:25 |
| denials 171:1 | deposition 1:11 | determined | diligently | discretionary |
| 211:19,21 | 4:9 6:3,9,12,17 | 191:15,20 | 199:12 | 162:6,7 |
| denied 16:5 | 8:23 67:9,10 | 209:7 | dire 24:18 102:4 | discuss 2:21 |
| 77:16,20 121:7 | 77:12 150:9 | determines | 102:12,14 | 37:8 92:9 |
| 121:10,11 | 168:23 169:3 | 152:14 208:22 | direct 14:3,10 | 168:17,18 |
| 135:20 136:1 | 169:6,9,14 | determining 3:4 | directed 101:19 | discussed |
| 136:14,16 | 211:6,8,11,14 | 128:12 201:4 | direction 101:22 | 104:10 150:22 |
| 137:17,19 | 212:2 213:15 | 201:17 203:6 | 139:5 214:9 | 193:9 |
| 138:9,13 155:8 | 214:6,11 215:12 | develop 84:18 | directions | discussing |
| 155:10,12,15 | 216:6,8,9 | developed | 68:22 | 24:10 92:7 |
| 156:2 169:6,9 | depositions | 70:25 | directive 38:17 | discussion 18:7 |
| 169:22,25 | 29:4 31:11 | developing | 196:15,19,20 | 52:19 89:23 |
| 170:4,7,9,14 | 36:8,10 66:20 | 84:11 | directly 29:10 | 91:11 92:12 |
| 170:22 192:7 | 67:6,13 168:7 | development | director 73:14 | 106:9 120:3 |
| 197:19,22 | 168:14 213:1,9 | 20:7 112:22 | 195:16 | 151:14 190:4 |
| 211:6,11,12,17 | deputy 13:22 | devote 31:13 | dis 88:17 | 197:2 |
| 212:4,8,9,11 | 17:6 27:13 | 112:1 | disappointed | discussions |
| deny 107:2 | 139:6 162:1 | devoted 12:20 | 75:18 | 189:11 196:25 |
| 211:25 | 173:5 195:16 | dicta 119:1 | disappointing | disgusting |
| denying 212:1 | derived 45:20 | dies 116:11 | 119:6 | 188:15 |
| dep 23:25 | describe 10:20 | difference | disbursed 21:2 | disk 16:13 |
| 168:23 | 130:8 | 137:24 147:11 | discern 186:13 | dismiss 104:3,3 |
| depart 19:14 | DESCRIPTION | 186:14 | discernible | 104:8 |
| department | 2:11 3:2 | differences | 147:1,11 | dismissed 65:3 |
| 77:21 | deserves 85:10 | 146:22 147:1 | disciplinary | disposable |
| departures | 85:10 | different 10:2 | 140:5,9,14 | 161:19 |
| | • | • | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 65 of 93

| disqualify | 162:5 | door 20:9,10 | e-mailed 54:24 | 122:23 |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 207:21 | docket 32:11,15 | double 153:14 | 86:14 195:11 | eight 17:5 176:6 |
| disseminated | 32:21 49:25 | doubling 32:7 | e-mailing 182:6 | eighth 91:24 |
| 91:16 | 50:7 51:9 | dozen 130:23 | e-mails 85:19 | eighties 190:23 |
| distinctions | 58:5 68:3 | 151:23 | 115:23 195:8 | either 32:7 |
| 15:6 | 85:21 86:11,16 | draft 193:16 | 197:12 | 49:11 65:3 |
| district 1:1,1 4:1,1 | 113:4 144:18 | 194:15 | E-notices | 79:13 114:10 |
| 4:16,17 6:15,16 | 144:25 175:14 | drafted 193:22 | 195:17 | 117:18 119:1 |
| 9:17,19,21 10:4 | 175:16,20 | 193:25 | earlier 43:11 | 131:25 152:20 |
| 10:7,8,11 28:21 | 176:21 179:25 | drafting 193:18 | 63:20 71:23 | 153:5 175:2,7 |
| 31:21 36:23 | 190:24 | 194:2 | 85:13 112:6 | 182:5 212:4 |
| 37:5,18 59:9 | dockets 29:7 | drain 20:2 | 113:16 141:10 | eligibility |
| 59:11 89:5 | 148:6,8,9 | drawer 155:8 | 150:8 163:19 | 203:23 |
| 96:5 105:17 | document 49:4 | 157:3 | 171:6 192:6 | eligible 25:16 |
| 108:25 117:19 | 58:20 59:1,18 | drive 131:15 | 201:16 211:5 | 25:22 26:17 |
| 118:22 119:19 | 59:20 88:19 | 184:16,18 | early 26:17 | 159:22 167:13 |
| 119:20,24 | 88:23 123:8 | drive-by 40:12 | 47:18 79:18,21 | 204:9 205:19 |
| 120:2,12,18 | 125:2,6 141:16 | driving 99:21 | 88:15 93:10 | 205:23 206:3 |
| 121:19,22 | 193:1,2 194:6 | 148:9 | earmark 111:11 | 206:8 208:23 |
| 122:1 123:23 | 201:22 203:7 | drop 151:12 | earmarks | 209:7 |
| 124:15 129:16 | 203:9 207:2 | dropped 21:10 | 183:22 | Ellen 33:15 34:3 |
| 130:25 135:17 | documents 9:11 | 21:11,15 116:20 | earshot 62:2 | 34:4 73:12 |
| 135:20 136:1 | 130:2 198:6 | drug 48:1 67:23 | ease 13:8 | Elmer 33:16 |
| 146:10,19,25 | 207:13 | 148:14 150:1 | easier 13:9 | 37:3 59:7 |
| 147:9 160:9,10 | doing 11:16 | druthers 66:22 | 134:4 | 195:15 |
| 166:15,16,18 | 12:21,22 13:4 | due 158:18 | easily 20:5 | eloquently |
| 168:14 171:22 | 14:5,10 17:21 | 212:11 | easy 26:11 | 134:13 |
| 176:14 179:9 | 18:12 20:1 | DUI 49:7 83:1 | 39:15,19 152:6 | else's 106:13 |
| 179:10,16 | 23:6 28:17 | 148:9 | economical | Emily 4:13 5:21 |
| 180:18 183:20 | 29:23 30:1,15 | duly 214:6 | 78:8 | 6:4,19 214:3 |
| 186:13 188:25 | 30:25 31:5 | duties 2:13 | edit 16:11 170:25 | 214:18 215:22 |
| 196:3 197:3,7 | 43:6 49:1,24 | 17:22 103:1 | 170:25 171:3 | employed 9:14 |
| district's 133:19 | 50:22 55:14 | 118:16 140:11 | 211:15,20 | 121:16 214:10 |
| districts 19:21 | 63:2,3 66:2 | 188:9 192:24 | edits 211:23 | 214:13 |
| 123:24 176:13 | 75:5 83:16 | duty 18:6 89:17 | educated | employee |
| 176:13 178:21 | 86:25 87:16 | dying 11:16 | 163:20 | 214:13 |
| distrust 63:19 | 87:21 89:24 | | effect 92:19 | enclosed |
| diversion 47:20 | 92:10,13 98:4 | Е | 94:9 | 215:12,13 |
| 97:2,2 111:2,9 | 112:1 117:11 | E 2:1,10 3:1 5:1,1 | effective 34:14 | Enclosures |
| 111:10,14,19,21 | 118:9 119:9 | e-mail 2:12,15 | 59:23 102:11 | 215:23 |
| divide 18:14 | 120:11 129:3 | 59:6,15 60:3 | effectively | encompass |
| division 1:2 4:2 | 132:6 139:6 | 60:4,13 86:7 | 27:14 122:24 | 135:24 189:9 |
| 6:17 32:3,4,6 | 140:15 143:5 | 89:1,3,7 | efficiency 91:10 | encompasses |
| 44:13 49:23 | 144:11,20 | 118:24 130:2 | 162:17 | 11:9 146:19 |
| 50:5 176:4 | 179:23 180:5 | 141:14,15,19 | efficiently | 188:9 |
| divisions 32:1 | 180:19 181:4 | 172:1 181:24 | 20:22 | encounter 76:8 |
| divvy 161:25 | 192:3 203:14 | 195:15 | efforts 120:7 | encourage |
| divvy 101.25 | 132.3 203.14 | | CIIOI 12 0.7 | encourage |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376

ww.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 66 of 93

| 66:24 67:19 78:21 87:4 215:17 217:1 encumbrance 91:13 94:10 encumbrance 185:7 86:4 168:11,11 17:11 encumbrance 184:7;12,13 ended 37:4 155:6 179:3 ended 37:4 155:6 179:3 ended 37:7 102:4 engaged 19:24 enter 32:25 entical 56:11 115:9,24 118:11 encumbrances 28xattl 40:14 ensery 66:18 215:10,10 22:45,67,8 20:82:0 exact 12:8 exact 12:18 expect 30:16 expect di 1::25 10:20 expensive expected 11::25 10:20,23 expenses 78:19 expect di 1::25 10:20,23 expenses 78:19 expected 11::25 10:20,23 expenses 78:19 expect di 1::25 10:20,23 expenses 78:19 expected 11::25 10:20,23 expenses 78:19 expert di 13::4 10:21,21 10:22,2 21:35 10:21 10:22,2 22:23 13:55 10:21 10:22,2 23:18:31 10:21 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:29 10:20,23 10:21 10:21 10:21 10:21 10:22,22 10:23 10:21 10:22,22 10:23 10:23 10:21 10:22,22 10:23 10:23 10:23 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:24 10:23 10:2 | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 78:21 87:4 encouraged especially 3:19 91:3 94:10 especially 3:19 91:3 94:10 especially 3:19 91:3 94:10 encumbrance 29:4 35:24 52:4 35:24 92:8 183:13 166:22 175:20 exhibits 3:6 expert's 77:7 expertise 105:19 exact 121:8 exact 133:7 210:6 estimates 70:18 13:14 210:66 engage 47:17 210:6 engage 47:17 102:4 55:11 56:13,23 engaged 19:24 engaging 74:7 132:20 entical 54:1 100:4 55:11 56:13,23 engaged 19:24 engaging 74:7 132:20 entical 52:1 100:4 55:11 56:13,23 engaged 19:24 engaging 74:7 132:20 entical 52:1 100:4 55:11 15:9,24 liftle 13:2 136:15 entered 33:1 exhibits 3:6 exact 121:8 exact 13:3 exiting 134:5 exact 13:3 exiting 134:5 exact 13:3 exiting 134:5 exact 121:8 exact 13:3 exiting 134:5 exact 13:8 exact 13:8 exact 13:3 exiting 134:5 exact 13:8 exact 13:8 exact 13:8 exact 13:8 exact 13:8 exiting 134:5 exact 13:8 exact 13:8 exact 13:8 exact 13:8 exiting 13:4 exiting 134:5 exact 13:8 exact 13:8 exiting 13:3 exiting 13:4 exiting 13:4:5 exact 13:4 exact 13:8 exact 13:4 exiting 13:4:5 exact 13:4 exiting 13:4:5 exact 13:8 exiting 13:3 exiting 13:3 exiting 13:3 exiting 13:3 exiting 13:3 exiting 13:3 exiting 13:4 exiting 13:4:5 exact 13:8 exiting 13:3 exiting 13:4 exiting 13:4:5 exact 13:4 exiting 13:4:5 exact 13:4 exiting 13:4:5 exact 13:4 exiting 13:4 exiting 13:4 exiting 13:4 exiting 13:4 exiting 13:4 exiting 13:4 exit | 66:24 67:19 | errata 215:14.16 | 28:12 67:13 | 194:11 199:22 | 212:4.8.10.13 |
| encouraged especially 31:19 31:16:9148:13,13 201:9203:1,4 expert's 177:7 expert's | | | | | |
| 91:13 94:10 | | | | | · - |
| encumbrance 85:7 86:4 evidence 81:20 70:12 192:22 105:19 29:4 35:24 92:8 183:13 exact 19:18 exist 12:18 exist 12:18 existed 187:2 72:20 73:7,13 encumbrances 174:23 estimate 70:18 exacttly 40:14 existed 187:2 72:20 73:7,13 ended 37:4 estimates 77:78 et1:3.6 4:3,6,17 22:4,5,6,7,8 exitt 19:13 73:17,19,22 energy 66:18 e15:10,10 29:8:15 206:23 exitt 19:13 27:2,9 84:8 enforce 167:6 217:2,2 exactly 40:14 exittig 134:5 170:24 engaged 47:17 ethical 54:1 70:145:23 expect 30:16 117:01 engaged 19:24 ethical 54:1 examined 4:10 10:22,0,23 20:20,23 21:3:5 engaged 19:24 118:11 examined 4:10 10:3:9 expenses 35:18 Experisor 2:19 entail 120:23 entitis 56:20 18:11 10:4:15 9:2:1 expenses 35:18 expenses 25:18 experisor 2:19 experisor 2:19 experisor 2:19 experisor 2:19 experisor 2:19 experisor | | | | · · | • |
| 29:4 35:24 92:8 183:13 120:24 169:20 exist 126:23 experts 36:8,10 72:20 73:7,13 73:17,19,22 existing 134:5 extertly 40:14 exiting 134:5 expect 30:16 expect 30:17 | | | evidence 81:20 | | |
| 168:t11,11 171:1 encumbrances 174:23 estimate 70:18 estimate 70:18 estimate 70:18 ended 37:4 estimates 177:8 estimates 178:8 estimates 186:6 estimates 178:8 estimates 178:8 estimates 178:8 estimates 186:6 estimates 187:8 estimates 186:6 estimates 187:8 estimates 187:19 es | | | | | |
| encumbrances 184.7,12,13 estimates 70:18 estimates 70:18 estimates 177:8 estim | | | | | 1.01 |
| 184:7,12,13 | · · | | | | • |
| ended 37:4 estimates 177:8 et 1:3,6 4:3,6,17 210:6 4:18 6:13,14 7:10 145:23 20xectation 177:25 178:12 20xectation 177:25 178:13 192:17 20xectation 177:25 178:12 20xectation 177:25 178:13 20xectation 177:25 178:12 20xectation 177:25 178:13 20xectation 177:25 178:12 20xectation 178:24 20xectation 178:24 20xectation 179:27 20xectation | 184:7,12,13 | estimate 70:18 | | exiting 134:5 | |
| 55:6 179:3 et 1:3,6 4:3,6,17 2:4,5,6,7,8 86:6 103:12 176:24 177:1 energy 66:18 215:10,10 4:18 6:13,14 7:10 145:23 expectation 177:13,16,20 enforce 167:6 217:2,2 208:20 expected 11:25 178:13 192:17 engage 47:17 ethical 54:1 55:11 56:13,23 example 24:6 expected 11:25 178:13 192:17 engaging 74:7 132:20 191:1 example 24:6 expenses 78:19 explain 22:8 213:5 enter 32:25 41:12 53:21 ethical 54:25 examples 192:11 96:18 experience experience 142:253:21 ethics 56:20 exception 13:1 22:24 23:1 experience expersessed 55:5 expressly 6:7 143:24 160:8 191:5 199:17 81:4 63:13 67:11 extensions extensions 144:22 136:15 144:21 134:2 191:5 199:17 excuse 14:4 118:20 118:20 a8:12 extremely 144:13 145:2 93:21 evaluate 30:17 excuse 14:4 118:20 134:4 extremely extremely extremely< | • | | | _ | , |
| 210:6 energy 66:18 215:10,10 198:15 206:23 46:17 46:17 177:25 178:12 178:13 192:17 102:4 198:15 206:23 46:17 102:20,23 192:17 212:33 192:17 212:33 192:17 212:33 192:17 212:33 192:17 212:33 192:17 212:33 | | | 2:4,5,6,7,8 | • | , |
| energy 66:18 enforce 167:6 215:10,10 198:15 206:23 46:17 177:25 178:12 engage 47:17 102:4 ethical 54:1 examined 4:10 102:20,23 219:17 212:23 engaged 19:24 engaging 74:7 163:22 175:7 191:1 example 24:6 expense 78:19 expensive expersive | 210:6 | | | expectation | 177:13,16,20 |
| enforce 167:6 engage 47:17 | energy 66:18 | · · | 198:15 206:23 | • | T T |
| engage 47:17 102:4 engaged 19:24 engaging 74:7 132:20 enjoyed 134:7 entail 120:23 enter 32:25 41:12 53:21 56:14 115:9,24 118:11 56:11 143:23 126:11 143:24 126:11 143:24 126:14 128:24 128:14:2 128:24 128:14:2 128:24 128:14:2 128:24 128:14:2 128:24 128:14:2 128:24 128:2 | | · · | | expected 11:25 | |
| 102:4 613:23 7:8 example 24:6 68:11 76:16 35:22 expenses 35:18 example 24:6 68:11 76:16 35:22 expensive expensive expensive 25:14 explaining experience expensive expensive expensive 25:14 explaining experience expensive ex | | · · | | <u> </u> | |
| engaged 19:24 | 1 | | | | |
| engaging 74:7 132:20 enjoyed 134:7 entail 120:23 enter 32:25 41:12 53:21 56:11 115:924 118:11 ethics 56:20 122:17 134:24 143:24 160:8 139:1,4 160:22 144:22 136:15 168:6 evaluate 30:17 entered 33:1 41:22 136:15 144:5 164:11 entering 33:3 53:22 expensive 96:18 experience experience experience experience experience experience experience expersive 25:14 explaining expersesed 55:5 expressly 6:7 extensions 98:17 exte | engaged 19:24 | · · | example 24:6 | <u> </u> | |
| 132:20 | | 163:22 175:7 | • | • | • |
| enjoyed 134:7 entail 120:23 ethically 54:25 56:11 115:9,24 118:11 examples 192:11 104:15 96:18 experience 10:19,21,23 expressed 55:5 experience 10:19,21,23 expressed 55:5 86:23 expressed 55:5 41:12 53:21 ethics 56:20 12:17 134:24 12:21 134:24 12:23 188:17 12:17 134:24 14:22 318:17 12:17 134:24 160:22 exceptions 81:4 12:31 18:17 12:22 26:14,16,19 19:15 199:17 14:24 160:8 191:5 199:17 18:24 110:6 113:7 16:13 18:24 110:6 113:7 18:25 18:10 18:25 18:25 18:10 18:25 175:23 176:7 18:14 18:20 13:4 18:21 18:25 18:2 | | 191:1 | 103:9 | expensive | |
| entail 120:23 enter 32:25 enter 32:25 41:12 53:21 56:14,22 97:3 122:17 134:24 160:11 143:23 139:1,4 160:22 143:24 160:8 191:5 199:17 160:11,13,15 eval 97:4,6 evaluate 30:17 141:2 136:15 144:5 164:11 entering 33:3 53:24 56:16 144:3 145:2 175:23 176:7 180:14 entire 12:15 13:6 30:7 37:3 187:12 202:20 entirely 18:6 entitled 46:10 192:23 201:23 enter 32:25 118:11 104:15 104:15 exception 13:1 22:24 23:1 exceptions 81:4 42:23 188:17 25:2 26:1,13 26:14,16,19 98:17 exceptions 81:4 26:14,16,19 98:17 exceptions 81:4 26:14,16,19 98:17 exceptions 81:4 26:14,16,19 98:17 exceptions 81:4 26:14,16,19 98:17 extent 34:22 38:7 68:10 81:25 extra 50:5 extreme 208:16 extra 50:5 extreme 208:16 extra 50:5 extremely 109:12,15 extremely 118:24 118:20 134:4 149:8 164:1,19 experience 42:23 188:17 25:2 26:1,13 98:17 exceptions 81:4 26:14,16,19 98:17 exception 13:1 22:24 23:1 expressly 5:7 extensions 98:17 extent 34:22 38:17 68:10 81:25 extra 50:5 extreme 208:16 extremely 109:12,15 extreme 208:16 extremely 109:12,15 extreme 208:16 extremely 109:12,15 extreme 208:16 extremely 109:12,15 extreme 208:16 extremely 119:12,15 expressol 55:5 expressly 5:7 extensions 98:17 extent 34:22 149:28 168:21 extreme 208:16 extremely 119:12,15 expressol 55:5 expressly 5:7 extensions 98:17 extent 34:22 18:25 extre 50:5 extreme 208:16 extremely 109:12,15 extreme 208:16 extremely 109:12,15 extreme 208:16 extremely 119:12,15 expressol 55:5 expressly 5:7 extent 34:22 extre 50:5 extreme 208:16 extremely 119:2,15 expressol 55:5 expressly 5:7 extent 34:22 extre 50:5 extreme 208:16 extremely 109:12,15 expressol 55:5 expressly 5:7 extent 34:22 extre 50:5 extremely 109:12,15 expressol 55:5 expressly 5:7 extent 34:22 extre 50:5 extremely 109:12,15 expressol 5:5 expressol 5:5 e | enjoyed 134:7 | ethically 54:25 | examples 192:11 | | explaining |
| enter 32:25 41:12 53:21 56:14,22 97:3 122:17 134:24 139:1,4 160:22 143:24 160:8 160:11,13,15 166:6 evaluate 30:17 evaluated 97:9 144:5 164:11 entering 33:3 53:24 56:16 144:13 145:2 175:23 176:7 180:14 entire 12:15 13:6 30:7 37:3 187:12 202:20 entirely 18:6 entirely 18:6 entirely 18:6 entitled 46:10 192:23 201:23 entitled 95:14 event 87:18 events 80:4 entered 38:15 entered 38:17 exchange 69:20,21,22 118:24 118:20 134:4 63:13 67:11 extent 34:22 38:17 68:10 98:17 extent 34:22 38:17 68:10 118:24 118:20 134:4 118:20 134:4 149:8 164:1,19 169:12,15 expressed 55:5 expressely 5:7 extensions 98:17 extent 34:22 38:17 68:10 81:25 extra 50:5 extreme 208:16 exhaust 186:6 180:18 182:18 180:18 182:18 180:18 182:18 180:18 182:18 180:18 182:18 180:18 182:18 199:12,15 180:18 24 118:20 134:4 149:8 164:1,19 199:12,15 expressed 55:5 expressly 6:7 extensions 98:17 extent 34:22 38:17 68:10 81:25 extra 50:5 extreme 208:16 exhaust 186:6 180:18 182:18 180:18 182 | | • | • | experience | |
| 41:12 53:21 ethics 56:20 exception 13:1 22:24 23:1 expressly 6:7 56:14,22 97:3 122:17 134:24 42:23 188:17 25:2 26:1,13 extensions 126:11 143:23 139:1,4 160:22 exceptions 81:4 26:14,16,19 98:17 140:21 13:15 eval 97:4,6 evaluate 30:17 exchange 69:20,21,22 38:17 68:10 168:6 evaluate 30:17 evaluated 97:9 118:24 110:6 113:7 extern 50:5 entered 33:1 93:21 excuse 14:4 18:20 134:4 extreme 208:16 144:2 136:15 evaluated 97:9 141:4 216:14 140:22 147:17 extreme 208:16 144:3 145:2 evaluating exhaust 186:6 180:18 182:18 199:12,15 175:23 176:7 30:24 33:12 2:14,15,16,18 37:8 64:10 17:23,25 72:7 180:14 97:11,16,20,23 2:19,21,22,23 113:13 187:12 202:20 evaluations 33:9,14 96:6 33:9,14 96:6 23:5,8 124:4 71:23,25 72:7 7 180:14 96:11,13,15,17 98:14 112:12 247,24 | enter 32:25 | | 104:15 | <u> </u> | expressed 55:5 |
| 56:14,22 97:3 122:17 134:24 42:23 188:17 25:2 26:1,13 extensions 126:11 143:23 139:1,4 160:22 191:5 199:17 81:4 63:13 67:11 extent 34:22 160:11,13,15 eval 97:4,6 exchange 69:20,21,22 38:17 68:10 168:6 evaluate 30:17 93:21 excuse 14:4 118:20 134:4 extra 50:5 entered 33:1 93:21 excuse 14:4 148:20 134:4 extra 50:5 144:5 164:11 141:4 216:14 149:8 164:1,19 extreme 208:16 exhaust 186:6 exhaust 186:6 180:18 182:18 109:12,15 extremely 144:13 145:2 34:21 87:17 exhaust 186:6 exhibit 2:12,13 experienced 73:15 112:16 180:14 33:14 96:20 2:14,15,16,18 37:8 64:10 72:13 187:12 202:20 98:3,5,12,19 33:3,4 58:21,22 expert 54:21 expert 54:21 entirely 18:6 96:11,13,15,17 124:7,24 74:15 75:8 134:7 140:8 entity 195:14 event 87:18 129:24 136:2 112:7,17,18 142:8 161:2 | 41:12 53:21 | ethics 56:20 | exception 13:1 | | _ |
| 143:24 160:8 191:5 199:17 81:4 63:13 67:11 extent 34:22 160:11,13,15 eval 97:4,6 exchange 69:20,21,22 38:17 68:10 168:6 evaluate 30:17 118:24 110:6 113:7 81:25 entered 33:1 93:21 excuse 14:4 118:20 134:4 extra 50:5 41:22 136:15 evaluated 97:9 Executed 140:22 147:17 extreme 208:16 144:5 164:11 141:4 216:14 149:8 164:1,19 extremely entering 33:3 evaluating exhaust 186:6 180:18 182:18 109:12,15 53:24 56:16 34:21 87:17 exhausting 183:25 188:23 extermely 144:13 145:2 evaluation 120:8 experienced 73:15 112:16 175:23 176:7 30:24 33:12 2:14,15,16,18 37:8 64:10 72:13 180:14 97:11,16,20,23 2:19,21,22,23 113:13 expert 54:21 F 187:12 202:20 evaluations 88:20,21 71:23,25 72:7 facing 117:4 192:23 201:23 98:14 112:12 124:7,24 74:15 75:8 134:7 140:8 192:23 201:23 event | 56:14,22 97:3 | 122:17 134:24 | | 25:2 26:1,13 | |
| 143:24 160:8 191:5 199:17 81:4 63:13 67:11 extent 34:22 160:11,13,15 eval 97:4,6 exchange 69:20,21,22 38:17 68:10 168:6 evaluate 30:17 118:24 110:6 113:7 81:25 entered 33:1 93:21 excuse 14:4 118:20 134:4 extra 50:5 41:22 136:15 evaluated 97:9 Executed 140:22 147:17 extreme 208:16 144:5 164:11 141:4 216:14 149:8 164:1,19 extremely entering 33:3 evaluating exhaust 186:6 180:18 182:18 109:12,15 53:24 56:16 34:21 87:17 exhausting 183:25 188:23 eyewitness 144:13 145:2 evaluation 120:8 experienced 73:15 112:16 175:23 176:7 30:24 33:12 2:14,15,16,18 37:8 64:10 72:13 180:14 97:11,16,20,23 93:3,4 58:21,22 expert 54:21 F 187:12 202:20 evaluations 88:20,21 71:23,25 72:7 facing 117:4 187:12 202:20 98:14 112:12 124:7,24 74:15 75:8 134:7 140:8 192:23 201:23 98:14 112:12 | • | 139:1,4 160:22 | exceptions 81:4 | • | |
| 168:6 evaluate 30:17 118:24 110:6 113:7 81:25 entered 33:1 93:21 excuse 14:4 118:20 134:4 extra 50:5 41:22 136:15 evaluated 97:9 Executed 140:22 147:17 extreme 208:16 144:5 164:11 141:4 216:14 149:8 164:1,19 extremely entering 33:3 evaluating exhaust 186:6 180:18 182:18 109:12,15 53:24 56:16 34:21 87:17 exhausting 183:25 188:23 eyewitness 144:13 145:2 evaluation 120:8 experienced 73:15 112:16 175:23 176:7 30:24 33:12 exhibit 2:12,13 14:19,25 26:8 eyewitness 180:14 33:14 96:20 2:14,15,16,18 37:8 64:10 72:13 entire 12:15 13:6 97:11,16,20,23 2:19,21,22,23 113:13 expert 54:21 F 187:12 202:20 evaluations 88:20,21 71:23,25 72:7 facting 117:4 entirely 18:6 33:9,14 96:6 123:5,8 124:4 72:13 74:5,12 134:7 140:8 entirely 195:14 event 87:18 <td>143:24 160:8</td> <td>191:5 199:17</td> <td><u>-</u></td> <td>63:13 67:11</td> <td>extent 34:22</td> | 143:24 160:8 | 191:5 199:17 | <u>-</u> | 63:13 67:11 | extent 34:22 |
| 168:6 evaluate 30:17 118:24 110:6 113:7 81:25 entered 33:1 93:21 excuse 14:4 118:20 134:4 extra 50:5 41:22 136:15 evaluated 97:9 Executed 140:22 147:17 extreme 208:16 144:5 164:11 141:4 216:14 149:8 164:1,19 extremely entering 33:3 evaluating exhaust 186:6 180:18 182:18 109:12,15 53:24 56:16 34:21 87:17 exhaust ing 183:25 188:23 eyewitness 144:13 145:2 evaluation 120:8 experienced 73:15 112:16 175:23 176:7 30:24 33:12 exhibit 2:12,13 14:19,25 26:8 73:15 112:16 entire 12:15 13:6 97:11,16,20,23 2:19,21,22,23 113:13 eyewitness 30:7 37:3 98:3,5,12,19 88:20,21 71:23,25 72:7 facing 117:4 entirely 18:6 33:9,14 96:6 123:5,8 124:4 72:13 74:5,12 fact 55:3 128:21 entitled 46:10 96:11,13,15,17 124:7,24 74:15 75:8 134:7 140:8 192:23 201:23 98:14 112:12 | 160:11,13,15 | eval 97:4,6 | exchange | 69:20,21,22 | 38:17 68:10 |
| 41:22 136:15 evaluated 97:9 Executed 140:22 147:17 extreme 208:16 144:5 164:11 141:4 216:14 149:8 164:1,19 extremely entering 33:3 evaluating exhaust 186:6 180:18 182:18 109:12,15 53:24 56:16 34:21 87:17 exhausting 183:25 188:23 eyewitness 144:13 145:2 evaluation 120:8 experienced 73:15 112:16 175:23 176:7 30:24 33:12 exhibit 2:12,13 14:19,25 26:8 eyewitnesss 180:14 33:14 96:20 2:14,15,16,18 37:8 64:10 72:13 entire 12:15 13:6 97:11,16,20,23 2:19,21,22,23 113:13 expert 54:21 F 187:12 202:20 evaluations 88:20,21 71:23,25 72:7 F entirely 18:6 96:11,13,15,17 124:7,24 74:15 75:8 134:7 140:8 192:23 201:23 98:14 112:12 125:2 129:21 84:9 105:13 142:8 161:2 entity 195:14 event 87:18 129:24 136:2 112:7,17,18 173:5 208:5 enticy 195:14 events | 168:6 | evaluate 30:17 | _ | 110:6 113:7 | 81:25 |
| 144:5 164:11 141:4 216:14 149:8 164:1,19 extremely entering 33:3 evaluating exhaust 186:6 180:18 182:18 109:12,15 53:24 56:16 34:21 87:17 exhausting 183:25 188:23 eyewitness 144:13 145:2 evaluation 120:8 experienced 73:15 112:16 175:23 176:7 30:24 33:12 exhibit 2:12,13 14:19,25 26:8 73:15 112:16 entire 12:15 13:6 97:11,16,20,23 2:14,15,16,18 37:8 64:10 72:13 entire 12:15 13:6 97:11,16,20,23 2:19,21,22,23 113:13 expert 54:21 F 187:12 202:20 evaluations 88:20,21 71:23,25 72:7 facing 117:4 fact 55:3 128:21 entirely 18:6 33:9,14 96:6 123:5,8 124:4 72:13 74:5,12 134:7 140:8 entitled 46:10 96:11,13,15,17 124:7,24 74:15 75:8 134:7 140:8 192:23 201:23 98:14 112:12 125:2 129:21 84:9 105:13 142:8 161:2 entity 195:14 event 87:18 129:24 136:2 112:7,17,18 173:5 208:5 entries 74:3 events 80:4 136:5 137:5,7 169:22,2 | entered 33:1 | 93:21 | excuse 14:4 | 118:20 134:4 | extra 50:5 |
| entering 33:3 evaluating exhaust 186:6 exhausting 180:18 182:18 183:25 188:23 experienced 109:12,15 eyewitness 144:13 145:2 30:24 33:12 evaluation 120:8 exhibit 2:12,13 experienced 14:19,25 26:8 eyewitness 73:15 112:16 eyewitness 180:14 entire 12:15 13:6 30:7 37:3 187:12 202:20 entirely 18:6 entitled 46:10 192:23 201:23 entity 195:14 entries 74:3 envelope 38:15 equivalent 98:14 112:12 event 87:18 eventually 31:21 equivalent 120:8 exhaust 186:6 exhausting 120:8 experienced 14:30:20 exhibit 2:12,13 experienced 2:14,15,16,18 axis 37:8 64:10 14:19,25 26:8 axis 37:8 64:10 113:13 experienced 14:19,25 26:8 axis 4:10 113:13 experienc | 41:22 136:15 | evaluated 97:9 | Executed | 140:22 147:17 | extreme 208:16 |
| 53:24 56:16 34:21 87:17 exhausting 183:25 188:23 eyewitness 144:13 145:2 evaluation 120:8 experienced 73:15 112:16 175:23 176:7 30:24 33:12 exhibit 2:12,13 14:19,25 26:8 eyewitness 180:14 33:14 96:20 2:14,15,16,18 37:8 64:10 72:13 entire 12:15 13:6 97:11,16,20,23 2:19,21,22,23 113:13 expert 54:21 F 30:7 37:3 98:3,5,12,19 3:3,4 58:21,22 expert 54:21 F 187:12 202:20 evaluations 88:20,21 71:23,25 72:7 fact 55:3 128:21 entirely 18:6 96:11,13,15,17 124:7,24 72:13 74:5,12 fact 55:3 128:21 entitled 46:10 96:11,13,15,17 124:7,24 74:15 75:8 134:7 140:8 192:23 201:23 98:14 112:12 125:2 129:21 84:9 105:13 142:8 161:2 entity 195:14 event 87:18 129:24 136:2 112:7,17,18 173:5 208:5 entries 74:3 events 80:4 136:5 137:5,7 169:22,25 factor 78:22 entries 74:3 eventually 31:21 139:22,24 170:12,17 171:11 158:4,16 | 144:5 164:11 | 141:4 | 216:14 | 149:8 164:1,19 | extremely |
| 144:13 145:2 evaluation 120:8 experienced 73:15 112:16 175:23 176:7 30:24 33:12 exhibit 2:12,13 14:19,25 26:8 eyewitnesss 180:14 33:14 96:20 2:14,15,16,18 37:8 64:10 72:13 entire 12:15 13:6 97:11,16,20,23 2:19,21,22,23 113:13 expert 54:21 F 187:12 202:20 evaluations 33:9,14 96:6 123:5,8 124:4 72:13 74:5,12 F entitled 46:10 96:11,13,15,17 124:7,24 74:15 75:8 134:7 140:8 192:23 201:23 98:14 112:12 125:2 129:21 84:9 105:13 142:8 161:2 entity 195:14 event 87:18 129:24 136:2 112:7,17,18 173:5 208:5 entries 74:3 events 80:4 136:5 137:5,7 169:22,25 factor 78:22 envelope 38:15 eventually 31:21 139:22,24 170:12,17 171:11 158:4,16 equivalent 64:4 192:22 193:12 177:18,19 178:1 factoring 106:21 | entering 33:3 | evaluating | exhaust 186:6 | 180:18 182:18 | 109:12,15 |
| 175:23 176:7 30:24 33:12 exhibit 2:12,13 14:19,25 26:8 eyewitnesss 180:14 33:14 96:20 2:14,15,16,18 37:8 64:10 72:13 entire 12:15 13:6 97:11,16,20,23 2:19,21,22,23 113:13 30:7 37:3 98:3,5,12,19 3:3,4 58:21,22 expert 54:21 187:12 202:20 evaluations 88:20,21 71:23,25 72:7 facing 117:4 entirely 18:6 96:11,13,15,17 124:7,24 72:13 74:5,12 fact 55:3 128:21 entitled 46:10 96:11,13,15,17 124:7,24 74:15 75:8 134:7 140:8 192:23 201:23 98:14 112:12 125:2 129:21 84:9 105:13 142:8 161:2 entity 195:14 event 87:18 129:24 136:2 112:7,17,18 173:5 208:5 entries 74:3 events 80:4 136:5 137:5,7 169:22,25 factor 78:22 envelope 38:15 eventually 31:21 139:22,24 170:12,17 171:11 158:4,16 equivalent 64:4 192:22 193:12 177:18,19 178:1 factoring 106:21 | 53:24 56:16 | 34:21 87:17 | exhausting | 183:25 188:23 | eyewitness |
| 180:14 33:14 96:20 2:14,15,16,18 37:8 64:10 72:13 entire 12:15 13:6 97:11,16,20,23 2:19,21,22,23 113:13 Expert 54:21 F 187:12 202:20 evaluations 88:20,21 71:23,25 72:7 facing 117:4 entirely 18:6 33:9,14 96:6 123:5,8 124:4 72:13 74:5,12 fact 55:3 128:21 entitled 46:10 96:11,13,15,17 124:7,24 74:15 75:8 134:7 140:8 192:23 201:23 98:14 112:12 125:2 129:21 84:9 105:13 142:8 161:2 entity 195:14 event 87:18 129:24 136:2 112:7,17,18 173:5 208:5 entries 74:3 events 80:4 136:5 137:5,7 169:22,25 factor 78:22 envelope 38:15 eventually 31:21 139:22,24 170:12,17 171:11 158:4,16 equivalent 64:4 192:22 193:12 177:18,19 178:1 factoring 106:21 | 144:13 145:2 | evaluation | 120:8 | experienced | 73:15 112:16 |
| entire 12:15 13:6 97:11,16,20,23 2:19,21,22,23 113:13 Expert 54:21 F 187:12 202:20 evaluations 88:20,21 71:23,25 72:7 facing 117:4 entirely 18:6 33:9,14 96:6 123:5,8 124:4 72:13 74:5,12 fact 55:3 128:21 entitled 46:10 96:11,13,15,17 124:7,24 74:15 75:8 134:7 140:8 192:23 201:23 98:14 112:12 125:2 129:21 84:9 105:13 142:8 161:2 entity 195:14 event 87:18 129:24 136:2 112:7,17,18 173:5 208:5 entries 74:3 events 80:4 136:5 137:5,7 169:22,25 factor 78:22 envelope 38:15 eventually 31:21 139:22,24 170:12,17 171:11 158:4,16 equivalent 64:4 192:22 193:12 177:18,19 178:1 factoring 106:21 | 175:23 176:7 | 30:24 33:12 | exhibit 2:12,13 | 14:19,25 26:8 | eyewitnesss |
| 30:7 37:3 98:3,5,12,19 3:3,4 58:21,22 expert 54:21 F 187:12 202:20 evaluations 88:20,21 71:23,25 72:7 facing 117:4 entirely 18:6 33:9,14 96:6 123:5,8 124:4 72:13 74:5,12 fact 55:3 128:21 entitled 46:10 96:11,13,15,17 124:7,24 74:15 75:8 134:7 140:8 192:23 201:23 98:14 112:12 125:2 129:21 84:9 105:13 142:8 161:2 entity 195:14 event 87:18 129:24 136:2 112:7,17,18 173:5 208:5 entries 74:3 events 80:4 136:5 137:5,7 169:22,25 factor 78:22 envelope 38:15 eventually 31:21 139:22,24 170:12,17 171:11 158:4,16 equivalent 64:4 192:22 193:12 177:18,19 178:1 factoring 106:21 | 180:14 | 33:14 96:20 | | 37:8 64:10 | |
| 187:12 202:20 evaluations 88:20,21 71:23,25 72:7 facing 117:4 fact 55:3 128:21 124:7,24 74:15 75:8 134:7 140:8 142:8 161:2 event 87:18 event 87:18 event 87:18 events 80:4 event 88:4 event 88:15 equivalent 64:4 192:22 193:12 177:18,19 178:1 factoring 106:21 178:4 178:14 178:14 178:15 178:1 | entire 12:15 13:6 | 97:11,16,20,23 | 2:19,21,22,23 | 113:13 | |
| 187:12 202:20 entirely 18:6 entirely 18:6 entitled 46:10 192:23 201:23 entity 195:14 entries 74:3 envelope 38:15 equivalent evaluations 88:20,21 71:23,25 72:7 72:13 74:5,12 72:13 72:13 72:13 72:13 72:13 72:13 72:13 72:13 72:13 72:13 72: | 30:7 37:3 | 98:3,5,12,19 | 3:3,4 58:21,22 | expert 54:21 | <u> </u> |
| entitled 46:10 192:23 201:23 entity 195:14 entries 74:3 entries 74:3 envelope 38:15 equivalent 96:11,13,15,17 124:7,24 124:7,24 125:2 129:21 125:2 129:21 125:2 129:21 125:2 129:21 125:2 129:21 125:2 129:21 125:2 129:21 125:2 129:21 125:2 129:21 125:2 129:21 125:2 129:21 125:2 129:21 125:2 129:21 125:3,612 134:7 140:8 142:8 161:2 173:5 208:5 169:22,25 169:22,25 170:12,17 171:11 158:4,16 160:21 | 187:12 202:20 | evaluations | 88:20,21 | 71:23,25 72:7 | |
| 192:23 201:23 98:14 112:12 125:2 129:21 84:9 105:13 142:8 161:2 event 87:18 events 80:4 events 80:4 eventually 31:21 equivalent 64:4 192:22 193:12 177:18,19 178:1 factoring 106:21 | entirely 18:6 | 33:9,14 96:6 | 123:5,8 124:4 | 72:13 74:5,12 | |
| entity 195:14 entries 74:3 envelope 38:15 equivalent event 87:18 events 80:4 eventually 31:21 equivalent 129:24 136:2 129:24 136:2 129:24 136:5 137:5,7 169:22,25 158:4,16 170:12,17 171:11 179:12 179:1 | entitled 46:10 | 96:11,13,15,17 | 124:7,24 | 74:15 75:8 | |
| entries 74:3 events 80:4 136:5 137:5,7 169:22,25 factor 78:22 envelope 38:15 eventually 31:21 139:22,24 170:12,17 171:11 158:4,16 equivalent 64:4 192:22 193:12 177:18,19 178:1 factoring 106:21 | | 98:14 112:12 | 125:2 129:21 | 84:9 105:13 | |
| envelope 38:15 equivalent eventually 31:21 64:4 139:22,24 170:12,17 171:11 177:18,19 178:1 158:4,16 factoring 106:21 177:18,19 178:1 | entity 195:14 | event 87:18 | 129:24 136:2 | 112:7,17,18 | |
| equivalent 64:4 192:22 193:12 177:18,19 178:1 factoring 106:21 | entries 74:3 | events 80:4 | 136:5 137:5,7 | · · | |
| 102.22 100.12 17710,10 1701 | envelope 38:15 | eventually 31:21 | 139:22,24 | 170:12,17 171:11 | - |
| 132:16 everybody 193:13,24 178:3,7 192:10 factors 159:18 | equivalent | 64:4 | 192:22 193:12 | 177:18,19 178:1 | . • |
| | 132:16 | everybody | 193:13,24 | 178:3,7 192:10 | factors 159:18 |
| | | l | l | l | l |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 67 of 93

| 203:23 | 205:7,22 | 109:16 122:17 | 22:15 30:23 | 50:9 78:10 |
|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 204:20,21 | feds 149:7 | 140:6 169:23 | 38:7 153:1 | 86:1 93:18 |
| 205:25 | fee 93:24,25 | 170:1 181:3 | 154:21 159:20 | 94:6 96:12 |
| facts 52:14,23 | 167:14,15,20 | 190:24 | 163:11,13,21 | 107:19 113:23 |
| failure 74:15 | 167:25 168:5 | fewer 91:10 | 202:20,24 | 121:9 126:20 |
| 199:11 | 178:17 | 150:3 163:9 | filled 64:9 | 127:7,7,17 |
| fair 21:24 25:4 | feedback 33:19 | 208:19 209:17 | filling 202:8 | 131:24 134:18 |
| 35:4,5 37:2 | 33:22 34:1 | 209:18 210:10 | 207:15 | 138:1,19 173:11 |
| 88:12 135:14 | 70:21 172:7 | fight 90:17,17 | Final 211:5 | 173:16,20 |
| 150:17 182:12 | feeds 95:3 | fighting 81:21 | finally 88:20 | 195:10,12 |
| 212:19 213:3 | feel 11:18 18:11 | 160:20 | financial 156:11 | 200:1 202:1 |
| fairly 42:14 | 21:3 35:6 37:2 | figure 185:10 | 208:3 | 204:6 |
| 108:2 111:22 | 43:5,23 85:24 | 203:11 | financially | first-time 87:9 |
| 114:9 117:5 | 108:24 112:13 | figured 135:24 | 214:14 | 172:15 173:5 |
| 134:9 139:3 | 116:19,20 | file 16:2 18:25 | find 65:22 66:11 | 174:9,14,25 |
| 159:17 163:10 | 122:7 130:21 | 71:6,9,21 79:7 | 68:13,19 72:19 | 175:3 |
| 180:10,24 | 142:12 146:4 | 79:9 80:25 | 76:4,6 155:16 | fiscal 184:1,24 |
| 183:10 187:22 | 148:23 149:5 | 81:14,17 97:21 | 177:18 209:24 | Fisher 114:11 |
| faith 138:9,10 | 183:6,14 | 99:3 101:24 | 209:25 215:12 | 115:25 191:6,9 |
| 142:6 | 184:16 | 102:23 103:13 | findings 155:14 | fit 166:16 |
| falling 54:22 | feeling 39:12 | 103:19,19,25 | fine 88:1 100:14 | five 15:20 18:17 |
| falls 71:12 | 78:6 91:5 | 104:11 110:23 | 120:14 137:14 | 27:5 29:5 |
| familiar 129:20 | 109:25 119:5 | 117:23 135:22 | 190:7 198:11 | 42:7 59:25 |
| 130:5 187:20 | feels 9:9 143:3 | 137:2 140:7 | 199:10 | 65:12 70:17 |
| 187:22 194:14 | 143:13,13,14 | 149:1 153:5 | 200:22 | 111:24 127:3 |
| 200:8,25 | fell 114:2 | 160:24 189:13 | finish 8:18,18,20 | 143:23 176:23 |
| family 16:7 | felon 87:9,11,18 | 189:14 209:11 | firm 39:3,3 | 179:5 208:19 |
| far 10:23 14:20 | 172:15 173:5 | filed 46:4 79:15 | 101:21 107:13 | 209:18 |
| 24:13 41:10 | 173:17 174:8,9 | 79:16,18 80:18 | 107:15 112:2 | fives 143:25 |
| 43:17 80:17 | 174:25 | 81:2 102:25 | 131:14,16 | flat 93:24 |
| 87:2 92:6 | felonies 15:7,7 | 104:6 121:6,8 | 132:23 133:4 | 167:14 212:14 |
| 102:19 115:20 | 32:15 44:1 | 121:9,9 135:11 | 133:5 | flat-out 212:15 |
| 125:6,15 | 82:16,19 83:5 | 135:18,18 | firm's 133:8 | Flip 200:3 |
| 128:10 134:10 | 86:9,19 147:18 | 136:8,9,11,20 | firmly 144:7 | Floor 5:5 |
| 142:20 147:4 | 147:23 148:16 | 137:3,20,25 | firms 107:11 | fluctuates 24:3 |
| 151:15 201:2 | felons 174:14 | 138:5 140:17 | 112:5 130:11,13 | fluently 76:19 |
| farfetched | 175:1,3 | 187:23 189:12 | 130:14,15,17 | flying 77:1 |
| 169:16 | felony 13:14 14:7 | 190:10 197:17 | 130:22 131:3,11 | fo 131:11 |
| fast 136:18 | 15:3 27:1 44:2 | 197:17 209:10 | 131:11,19 | focus 12:10 |
| fault 204:1 | 82:24 83:2 | files 30:12,15,19 | 132:20 133:13 | 166:15 |
| faxing 42:22 | 87:10,20 | 151:12 | 134:3 150:20 | focused 62:24 |
| fear 20:22 | 103:11 149:11 | filing 42:22 81:7 | 151:5 164:9 | 131:8,11 165:25 |
| fed 63:18 | 168:1 171:12 | 81:19 82:1 | first 8:12 11:2 | focusing 156:23 |
| federal 84:12 | 173:11,16 181:9 | 118:6 146:18 | 23:4 24:1,11 | 197:16 |
| 152:24 153:22 | 181:10,10 | 188:4 215:18 | 30:14 38:6 | folks 16:5,5 |
| 154:25 | 182:15,16,16 | filings 122:4 | 44:20 45:4,5 | 45:17 66:15 |
| 203:22 205:2 | felt 63:21 88:14 | fill 16:1 20:18 | 47:14 48:14 | 98:10 111:12 |
| | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 68 of 93

| 145:5 153:8 | found 31:23 | 92:17,24 | 69:5,7,14 | giving 39:8 |
|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 167:19 | 38:10 76:17 | 166:23 192:24 | 73:12,20 | 49:11,15 |
| follow 45:15 | 99:4 109:12,15 | full 15:13 41:24 | 75:21 79:17 | 133:25 143:23 |
| 62:14 69:3 | 130:9 138:10 | 155:8 157:3 | 80:21 86:15 | go 11:14 15:24 |
| 108:17 152:24 | 156:11 | 191:3 | 95:20,22 | 21:23 22:13 |
| 153:22 176:14 | Foundation | full-time 120:5 | 96:8,10,12 | 24:10 30:6,7 |
| 176:19 | 4:12 5:4 6:18 | 120:6 | 98:4 99:8,8 | 32:19,22 33:2 |
| follow-up 198:17 | four 15:14 16:9 | fully 70:15 | 100:20,23,24 | 36:1 39:4 |
| follow-ups 198:1 | 18:16,21 27:2 | fund 168:11 | 110:16 111:8,9 | 47:14 49:22 |
| 198:3 206:19 | 27:19 33:25 | funding 117:9 | 112:6 113:2 [°] | 54:13 57:13,17 |
| followed 97:22 | 40:18 64:5 | 154:14 158:3 | 114:2 118:22 | 57:23 58:2,14 |
| following 45:22 | 157:18 162:12 | 185:24 | 134:15 140:23 | 59:17 67:19 |
| 134:21 136:16 | 163:8 176:5 | funds 11:17 74:7 | 140:24 150:14 | 69:12 70:14 |
| food 149:3 | 196:9 | 76:2 94:1 | 152:19 154:8 | 73:8 74:2 |
| forced 160:13 | fours 27:21,21 | 167:11 168:7 | 154:16,22 | 78:14 82:20 |
| forefront 60:16 | 33:17 | 176:25 178:15 | 155:22 169:13 | 83:3 84:5 |
| foregoing 214:6 | fourth 15:13 | 178:17 184:1,2 | 170:5 174:18 | 86:11 87:25 |
| 216:6,10 | 157:21 | 184:3 208:12 | 176:2 211:21 | 88:1 94:20 |
| foreign 76:7 | fraction 64:16 | 208:13 | gently 34:15 | 95:4 98:8,9 |
| forensic 77:20 | frame 9:5,8 | funny 161:10 | German 151:6 | 102:2 119:3,13 |
| 77:24,25 | frankly 143:13 | further 71:9 | getting 29:22 | 120:23 122:25 |
| 192:6 212:10 | 152:2 | 89:20 134:5 | 31:23 40:15 | 125:21 133:2 |
| forensics 77:25 | free 131:15 133:9 | 138:15 202:10 | 51:25 52:1 | 143:1 145:18 |
| foresee 188:22 | 146:4 | 204:10 214:12 | 54:15,16 62:4 | 146:8 147:5 |
| forever 39:16 | frequency 93:3 | | 66:16,17 | 148:5,9,25 |
| forgetting 199:7 | frequently 71:4 | G | 69:25 70:12 | 153:4 163:9 |
| forgive 148:17 | 79:6 80:24 | gained 22:13 | 72:22 80:10 | 166:14 169:16 |
| 181:7 | 83:4 112:9 | game 18:12 | 81:9 84:17 | 172:7 180:3 |
| form 2:22 | 147:18,24 | 65:17 | 100:10 126:17 | 181:15 183:18 |
| 25:24 27:15 | 207:5 | gears 152:13 | 143:23 175:5 | 185:9,18,23 |
| 201:19 216:7 | Friday 24:15 | 178:14 | 190:9 197:12 | 186:12 198:4 |
| formal 45:3,4 | 94:7 105:6 | general 30:16 | 206:9 | 198:10,10 |
| 47:7 105:10 | 210:22 | 39:11 71:6 | Gillian 198:6 | 200:10 |
| 134:16 185:14 | friends 39:13 | 78:6 91:17 | give 16:3 20:21 | 206:20,22 |
| 185:24,24 | 151:8,9 | 99:12 101:24 | 21:22 39:7 | 209:15 |
| 209:10 | friendships | 155:3 168:17 | 49:6 52:24 | goals 38:1 |
| former 156:23 | 38:23 | 180:9 | 65:23 70:18 | goes 18:5 |
| 158:3 | front 119:7 141:6 | GENERAL'S | 86:11 105:8 | 20:24 23:20 |
| forming 111:23 | 201:10 209:3 | 5:10 | 202:9 203:18 | 23:21 24:14 |
| formula 35:10 | fruition 18:14 | generally 14:10 | given 18:12 | 35:17 45:11 |
| forward 11:25 | frustrated 50:3 | 20:16,18,20 | 26:14 36:4,18 | 47:9 67:25 |
| 57:13,17,23 | frustrating | 24:17 34:6 | 66:22 78:19 | 70:9 100:23 |
| 58:2 117:18 | 109:12,16 117:4 | 38:8 39:23 | 106:10 107:25 | 104:24 111:19 |
| 138:20 147:5 | Frye 2:13 89:9 | 44:19 45:9 46:15,18 48:19 | 162:20 184:25 | 148:25 149:7 |
| 173:4 | 89:12,17,22 | 50:11 51:1 53:8 | 203:16 | 156:8 157:13 |
| forwarded | 89:24 90:25 | 53:13,17 68:17 | gives 42:25 | 159:1 166:25 |
| 193:8 | 92:7,10,12,14 | JJ.1J,17 00.17 | 205:3,8 | 171:24 |
| | • | • | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

| going 7:21 11:25 | 33:19 77:7 | 64:22 | 56:12 104:16 | hates 87:14 |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 13:9 21:22 | 85:4 93:16 | guidance 78:18 | 117:19 134:24 | havoc 134:9 |
| 22:25 24:25 | 127:2 139:15 | 91:15 117:17 | 147:4,12 | Hazel 135:18 |
| 28:15 39:1 | 143:22 | 140:13,14 | 186:20,21 | 136:9 |
| 46:18 47:25 | government | guidelines 2:23 | handled 12:24 | he'll 24:10 28:8 |
| 48:7 51:7 | 62:17 | 152:25 153:23 | 13:23 14:11,13 | 49:25 |
| 55:10 56:22 | governor 5:9 | 155:4 176:10 | 63:15 107:6,7 | head 94:5 |
| 57:8 58:19,20 | 7:4 117:9 146:3 | 200:7 203:22 | 109:7 135:5 | 120:14 200:16 |
| 62:14,15 64:6 | graduated 11:1 | 205:3,8,23 | handles 106:2 | headed 79:16 |
| 64:24 68:9 | grand 47:4,9 | 210:10,11 | 146:23 | heads 164:10 |
| 72:18 78:7 | 48:1,1,2,4,7 | guiding 168:13 | handling 63:14 | health 97:2 |
| 79:10 80:20 | grant 102:1 | 168:14 | 68:3 81:5 | 98:10,19 114:13 |
| 86:2,17,18,19 | granted 121:10 | guilty 50:17 | 105:9 171:10 | 114:14 115:14 |
| 88:19 89:13 | grasp 131:16 | 85:14,17 101:17 | happen 12:4 | hear 8:7,14 90:7 |
| 90:20 92:10 | Gray 5:20 6:20 | gun 150:5 | 45:3,4 46:18 | 144:9 154:5 |
| 92:21,23 | great 41:2 93:2 | 183:14 | 52:8,21 80:13 | heard 50:22 |
| 93:25 97:3 | 190:8 | guns 150:3,3 | 82:3 84:21 | 90:8 156:25 |
| 104:15 105:3 | Greg 125:8,10 | guru 56:20 | 92:22,25 | hearing 45:7 |
| 107:24,24 | Greg's 125:12 | guy 23:5 99:21 | 93:13 96:8 | 46:11,13 47:10 |
| 114:22 115:23 | Greitens 5:9 | 136:23 144:20 | 111:7 155:18 | 53:17 57:3,9 |
| 116:1 119:4 | 7:4 146:3 | 148:21 195:1 | 158:14 159:8 | 92:17,24 |
| 122:15,20 | grind 19:18 | guys 191:10 | happened 9:7,9 | 97:22 100:4,6 |
| 123:7 124:6 | ground 145:16 | | 37:12 112:25 | 110:10 111:20 |
| 133:25 136:4 | group 24:1,7 | <u>H</u> | 112:25 135:9 | 120:22,23 |
| 138:19,20 | 28:9 49:4 | H 2:10 3:1 | 154:11,16 210:3 | 121:11 135:9 |
| 139:1 142:13 | groups 152:3 | half 24:21 | 210:8 | 138:5,19,20 |
| 145:1 151:14 | 162:18 197:8 | halfway 184:23 | happening | 139:12 142:1 |
| 152:10,11,11,19 | grown 37:23,23 | hamper 74:17 | 92:14,18 | 143:4 150:19 |
| 153:9 156:7 | 37:24,24 | hampered 62:8 | 132:19 139:14 | 151:7 155:18 |
| 158:14 159:22 | guardian 107:1 | 70:3 81:13 | 151:19 | hearings 48:19 |
| 159:23 160:14 | 168:4 | hand 58:20 | happens 47:14 | 89:24 92:10 |
| 162:13 166:6 | guess 26:7 33:1 | 88:19 123:7 | 57:4 63:12 | 92:14 100:2 |
| 169:2 172:13 | 43:10 45:16 | 124:6 136:4 | 70:6,14 73:4 | 108:17 110:8 |
| 173:16 174:4 | 53:19 57:6 | 199:24 201:8 | 74:14 88:10,12 | 120:25 |
| 176:9,19 | 60:13,16,20 | 203:3 | 157:14 161:17 | hectic 23:17 |
| 183:12 184:4 | 73:6 99:10 | handed 35:20 | 176:2 182:21 | held 6:17 10:1,2 |
| 184:20 185:16 | 111:1 117:7 | 64:4 105:11 | 182:24,25 | 138:24 139:11 |
| 192:2,3 197:14 | 146:25 149:11 | handful 176:5 | 183:2 | 142:7 143:14 |
| 202:16 203:3 | 150:12 154:9 | handing 125:1 | hard 40:14 41:8 | 155:20 |
| 210:16,17 | 158:1 161:24 | 129:23 137:7 | 64:14 72:5 | help 8:11 23:7 |
| good 7:12,13,15 | 162:9 164:7 | 139:24 | 116:7 148:4 | 207:14,15 |
| 33:21 34:17 | 172:19 192:11 | handle 13:14,17 | 179:25 206:15 | helped 130:12 |
| 63:9 76:25 | 195:18 200:16 | 13:19,22,24 | harder 38:7 | helpful 51:17 |
| 101:5 119:8 | 204:22 208:9 | 13:25 21:4 | harmful 52:13 | 73:16 117:15 |
| 142:6 145:25 | guesses 163:20 | 23:22 43:21 | Harrisonville | 125:25 143:6 |
| 146:1 172:5,11 | 164:5 | 44:9 48:12 | 120:20 | helps 42:24 |
| gotten 12:4 | guessing 64:22 | 55:2 56:7,11 | hate 87:13 | 112:3 |
| | · | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

| hen 156:2 | home 20:11 | hundreds 166:5 | impeach 44:15 | including 13:13 |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Hey 153:25 | 153:9 | hurts 52:6 | 67:3 | 25:23 |
| 181:25 184:4 | homeless | 152:5 | impeachment | income 106:20 |
| 189:25 190:2 | 208:8 | Husch 151:9 | 167:5 | 107:3 161:19 |
| 190:4,16 | honestly 41:8,15 | | implement | 203:21 205:2 |
| hiding 153:12 | 50:19 91:20 | | 171:20,21 | 205:7 |
| high 5:11 21:25 | 96:17 101:11 | ICE 95:20 96:1 | 172:20 | incompetent |
| 157:10 163:10 | 105:11 118:2 | 96:4 | implemented | 99:3,4 |
| higher 163:18 | 188:4 190:12 | ID 72:13 112:16 | 37:10 172:4,14 | increasing |
| 170:7 | 194:19 | idea 67:14 87:21 | implementing | 190:20 |
| highly 26:25 | hook 104:8 | 130:19 142:10 | 172:18 | Independence |
| Hinkebein | hope 12:2,8 | 142:19 181:19 | implicate | 11:14 146:24 |
| 113:21,25 | 30:22 50:20 | 186:22 | 204:19 | 147:14 |
| 114:23 115:19 | 66:15 86:25 | 209:22 | implicated 56:5 | indicate 55:11 |
| 119:25 134:15 | 178:9 | ideal 40:1 41:2 | implication | 140:6 203:24 |
| 141:7 196:1 | Hopefully 66:11 | 41:15,17 42:13 | 27:24 | 204:23 215:15 |
| Hinkebein's | 176:7 | 57:7 84:6,7,9 | implications | indicated 49:5 |
| 141:4 | horizontal | 87:19 | 21:25 | indicates 81:20 |
| hire 16:6,7 | 176:15 | ideally 109:11 | important 21:21 | 161:18 205:10 |
| 25:15 76:1,24 | horrible 76:20 | 128:9 212:22 | 66:20 80:13 | indicted 49:2,21 |
| 108:16 112:14 | 115:15 | ideas 37:9 81:17 | 87:19 168:22 | indictment 47:8 |
| 154:15 156:7 | host 54:21 | 169:16 | 171:17,18 | indifferent 117:6 |
| 203:24 | 62:21 150:4 | identification | imposing 90:23 | indigence 2:24 |
| 205:10 206:4 | hostile 38:17 | 58:21,23 | impossible | 3:5 200:7 |
| 206:8 | 108:2 | 88:22 100:6 | 23:25 27:13 | 203:6 |
| hired 19:5 | hound 34:15 | 123:6,8 124:5 | 34:25 54:8 | indigency |
| 22:20,22 | hour 24:20,21 | 124:7,25 | 61:18 68:7 | 55:24 105:24 |
| 23:5,5 26:13 | 177:6 210:7 | 125:2 129:22 | impression 90:1 | 106:17 160:12 |
| 45:17 76:13 | hour's 136:17 | 129:24 136:3 | 90:2,3 170:20 | 160:14 201:4 |
| 112:12,21 | hourly 177:7,11 | 137:6,7 139:23 | improper 171:21 | 201:17 |
| 210:22 | hours 12:20 | 139:25 199:23 | in-house 24:17 | indigent 96:5 |
| hires 22:18 | 16:14,15 25:11 | 201:7 203:2 | 78:1 170:19 | 147:20 152:15 |
| 23:2 | 28:25 39:3 | identifications | inability 62:8,8 | 153:3 155:17 |
| hiring 15:13 | 107:12,13 | 100:3 | 70:3 81:13 | 156:11 160:19 |
| 19:21,24 28:17 | 113:12 120:10 | identify 68:11,12 | 84:25 95:14 | 210:1 |
| 28:22 38:3 | 151:23 177:8,8 | 68:13 99:22 | 205:10 | individual 17:12 |
| 43:8 | 177:10 181:16 | 110:19 | incarcerate | 17:13,19 56:18 |
| historically | house 68:23 | ignorance | 77:22 | 117:19 132:24 |
| 49:16 107:8,9 | 69:2 156:3 | 148:17 | incarcerations | 135:22 161:8 |
| 126:16 162:8 | housing 75:1 | ignoring 62:22 | 77:22 | 162:8 205:9 |
| 174:15 185:22 | HR 29:7,8 | immediately | inclined 112:3 | individually |
| history 211:3 | huge 43:19 | 21:8 54:24 | include 32:15 | 45:24 55:7 |
| hit 34:12 167:9 | 132:7 | 115:14 | 63:25 82:10 | individuals |
| 181:16 | Hughes 4:13 | immigration | 99:6 130:25 | 150:12 180:14 |
| hold 24:25 | 5:21 6:4,19 | 93:21,23 94:6 | included 74:16 | ineffective |
| 141:24 144:8 | 214:3,18 | 94:9,13,14,23 | includes 73:22 | 188:10 191:16 |
| 208:13 | 215:22 | 95:11,15,17,23 | 73:22 | 191:20 199:12 |
| | | | l | I |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 71 of 93

| 199:18 | 190:12 | 16:15 20:20 | itemized 36:19 | join 152:8 |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| ineligibility | intending | 24:12 27:15 | | joked 119:14 |
| 205:4,9 | 204:2 | 43:8 100:23 | J | Joseph 17:6 |
| ineligible 207:11 | intention 176:18 | 101:4,14 | Jackie 9:1,5 | 21:16 129:4,9 |
| inept 109:16 | intentions 64:6 | introduce 6:23 | 137:13 | judge 29:13 |
| inexperienced | interchangea | investigate | Jackson 32:24 | 44:20 45:11,11 |
| 22:19 | 10:12 | 69:16 70:4,9 | 45:11 66:14 | 45:25 46:16 |
| informal 71:14,17 | interest 55:4 | 86:24 93:17 | 86:5 91:3 97:1 | 47:23 50:1 |
| 105:5 | 91:7 | investigated | 98:14 102:21 | 52:22 53:17 |
| informally 28:9 | interested | 174:4,6 | 146:12,20 | 55:9 57:8 |
| information | 27:21 121:3 | investigation | 147:12 148:15 | 58:1 75:14 |
| 63:2 91:15 | 214:15 | 29:10 63:3 | 149:6 153:11 | 85:21 86:1 |
| 93:8 108:10 | interim 22:3 | 64:13 70:10,12 | 183:6,7 | 90:21,23 |
| 202:9 211:16 | internal 166:3 | 70:16 80:11 | Jacqueline 5:15 | 103:10 107:20 |
| initial 29:24 | internally 10:17 | 88:11 100:15 | 7:1 215:6 | 111:5,23 114:11 |
| 44:23,24 45:6 | 203:15 | 176:7 180:5 | 216:21 | 115:25 118:25 |
| 46:16 47:10 | interned 10:24 | investigation | jacqueline.shi | 121:10,11 |
| 50:17 51:1,8,8 | Internet 149:19 | 70:24 | 5:18 | 129:18 134:21 |
| 51:18,19,22 | Internet-related | investigator | jail 15:24 16:7,17 | 134:22 135:16 |
| 52:3,12 53:4,9 | 149:17 | 41:3,7,16 | 23:20 24:10 | 136:25 138:23 |
| 85:23 88:2 | internships | 69:10 | 45:19,21 54:13 | 139:10 141:11 |
| 97:17 137:24 | 10:25 | investigators | 61:13,14,19,19 | 155:13 156:12 |
| initially 49:14 | interpreted | 15:10 18:17 | 61:23 98:8,10 | 189:24 190:21 |
| initiative 150:18 | 77:10 | 40:18,22 41:5 | 98:20 142:13 | 198:22 209:1 |
| input 172:25 | interpreter 76:4 | 41:12,23 42:1 | 152:11,21 153:8 | 209:3,21,22 |
| 181:5,15 194:19 | 76:6,17 77:6,9 | 68:11,17,21,25 | 175:3 | 210:15,17,20 |
| inquiry 204:10 | interpreters | 69:5 75:4 | January 10:1 | 210:24 211:4 |
| insane 120:14 | 73:23 75:20 | 184:15 | 116:13 184:23 | judge's 138:1 |
| 120:16 | 75:21,24 76:3 | involved 18:4 | Jason 5:4 6:24 | judges 32:24 |
| insist 67:23 | 76:20,21,22 | 98:2 111:12,25 | 7:16 215:23 | 45:15,22 48:11 |
| 97:3 100:21 | 77:2 | 122:8 130:17 | Jeff 120:19 121:8 | 48:12,15,24 |
| instance 101:20 | interrupting | 132:8 185:11,11 | Jefferson 5:11 | 49:3,18,22 |
| instances 74:11 | 166:19 | 193:18 194:2 | jeopardy 115:10 | 50:12 52:16 |
| 81:12 84:24 | interrupts | involves 54:17 | 115:11 136:25 | 80:17 85:9 |
| instantly 21:3 | 109:24 | isolated 152:6 | Jillian 198:6 | 87:13 90:5,7 |
| instituted 88:13 | intervene | issue 39:14 | job 11:2 12:15 | 90:10,17 91:1,4 |
| instructed 87:1 | 98:23 | 72:24 79:14 | 18:6 23:4 | 119:7 121:3 |
| 87:3 | intervening | 166:4 | 24:13 37:4 | 123:24 138:17 |
| instruction | 21:5 | issued 139:10 | 42:6 119:8 | 139:19 143:2 |
| 135:21 | interview 64:18 | issues 2:21 | 120:5,6 | 143:10 155:16 |
| instructions 3:4 | 64:23 69:6 | 24:17 26:2 | jobs 163:17 | 155:23 156:12 |
| 203:6,10 | interviewed | 56:24 73:16 | Joel 33:16 37:3 | 174:16 188:5 |
| 205:14 | 65:1 | 79:11 117:10 | 38:3 39:15 | 189:7,7 |
| instrument | interviewing | 124:23 138:4 | 59:7,11 60:13 | 196:22 209:5 |
| 45:18,23 | 19:25 65:5 | 138:22 150:20 | 60:15,24 | 209:9,15 |
| intangible 62:11 | 68:25 | 166:1,13 184:13 | 194:18 195:15 | judgment |
| intellectually | interviews 16:14 | item 184:7 | 195:16 | 171:25 |
| | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 72 of 93

| judicial 10:14,16 12:10 49:18 judicially 191:15 | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 12-10 49:18 Judicially 191:15 191:20 73:21 146:17 65:61.6 66:3 146:17 155:7,8 67:12.22 149:17 148:20 151:6 176:19 146:17 155:7,8 67:12.22 149:17 148:20 151:6 159:20 146:61 17:2,7 148:20 151:6 159:20 146:61 17:2,7 148:20 151:6 159:20 146:61 17:2,7 148:20 151:6 159:20 146:61 17:2,7 148:20 151:6 159:20 146:61 17:2,7 148:20 151:6 159:20 146:61 17:2,7 148:20 151:6 159:20 146:61 17:2,7 148:20 151:6 159:20 146:61 17:2,7 148:20 151:6 159:20 146:61 17:2,7 148:20 151:6 159:20 146:61 17:2,7 148:20 151:6 159:20 146:61 17:2,7 148:20 151:6 159:20 146:61 17:2,7 148:20 151:6 159:20 146:61 17:2,7 159:31 159:18 161:6,18 159:20 148:20 160:11 159:31 159:81 161:6,18 159:48 151:2,2,24 148:20 160:11 159:31 159:81 161:6,18 159:48 151:2,2,24 148:20 100:13 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:81 161:6,18 159:10 160:11 159:10 16 | judicial 10:14,16 | keep 31:20 | 54:3,10,11,17 | 132:10 133:3 | knows 91:5 |
| 19120 146:17 155:78 67:12,22 146:17 155:78 67:12,22 146:18 175:1 175:1 175:1 175:1 175:1 175:1 180:19 155:9 155:9 155:6 151:16,21,23 153:3,11 154:14 154:20 155:6 151:16,21,23 154:20 155:6 155: | 1 - | | | 134:14,22 | Korea 76:19 |
| 19120 146:17 155:78 67:12,22 146:17 155:78 67:12,22 146:18 175:1 175:1 175:1 175:1 175:1 175:1 180:19 155:9 155:9 155:6 151:16,21,23 153:3,11 154:14 154:20 155:6 151:16,21,23 154:20 155:6 155: | judicially 191:15 | 41:18 56:22 | 62:22 63:17 | 142:20 143:9 | Korean 76:16,17 |
| Judiciary 108:2 July 11:20 12:4 175:1 keeping 39:5 68:18, 22 69:7 148:20 151:6 L labeled 59:20 166:7 70:10 71:1, 28 153:3,11 154:14 154:20 155:6 lack 70:23 110:1 110:4 lack 70:23 1 | 1 - | 73:21 146:17 | 65:6,16 66:3 | 145:11,15 146:5 | · · |
| July 11:20 12:4 175:1 keeping 39:5 148:20 151:6 labeled 59:20 labeled 47:4 lack 70:23 110:1 lived 47:4 kept 129:9 72:17,19,23 155:31,11 154:14 lack 70:23 110:1 lived 47:4 kept 129:9 72:17,19,23 155:6 155:6 language 76:7 r6:12,13 language 76:7 languag | judiciary 108:2 | | · | • | |
| 12:13 | 1 - | | 68:18,22 69:7 | 148:20 151:6 | L |
| Jumping 100:12 166:7 70:10 71:1,2,8 153:3,11 154:14 10:4 170:21 10:11 10:4 154:20 155:6 156:5 156:5 156:5 156:5 156:5 157:6,11,14,17 154:20 155:6 157:6,11,14,17 16:12,13 16:21,13 16: | | keeping 39:5 | 69:8,10 70:9 | 151:16,21,23 | labeled 59:20 |
| 192:2 197:15 Juried 47:4 kept 129:9 kept 129:9 kept 129:9 f2:17:19.23 155:5 156:5 157:6 11.14.17 76:12.13 16:14 157:23 159:8 16:6.18 16:21 13:19 159:8 16:6.18 16:22.24 16:27 163:11 109:13 13:22.24 16:27 163:11 16:412 166:8,11 13:19 13:22.24 16:27 163:11 16:412 166:8,11 13:19 13:22.24 16:27 163:11 16:412 166:8,11 17:3,4 18:20 104:13 18:9 84:5 16:77.168:23 16:77 168:23 16:17 168:13 104:16,18,21 104:16,18,21 104:13 105:47,8,12 148:9 208:13 105:14,78,12 148:9 208:13 105:14,78,12 148:9 208:13 105:17 106:8 18:24 183:1 107:5,10,13 108:5,11,20,23 109:18,18 107:5,10,13 108:5,11,20,23 109:7,8,10,19 109:21 111:10 111:14,18,22 112:10,13,19 16:0:15 168:3 100:16,11,13,13 109:6,11,13,13 109:6,11,13,13 109:6,11,13,13 109:6,11,13,13 109:6,11,13,13 109:14,14,15,21 109:14,14,15,21 199:7 108:7,17,19 128:11 105:12,5 114:1,4 200:15,7,19 20:3 26:15 28:4 35:1 107:14,89:2 11:13,39:21 40:14 41:2 18:5,10,15 83:10 109:10 17:20,23 17:10 | jumping 100:12 | | | | lack 70:23 110:1 |
| juried 47:4 kept 129:9 72:17,19,23 155:9 156:5 157:6,11,14,17 48:2,4,7 82:13 kds 151:22,24 kids 151:22,24 kids 151:22,24 kids 151:22,24 kids 14:5 17:23 82:22 83:7,16 162:7 163:11 justify 106:11 Jus | | Keith 136:22,23 | 71:10 72:11,14 | 154:20 155:6 | 110:4 |
| 48:2,4,7 82:13 | juried 47:4 | kept 129:9 | 72:17,19,23 | 155:9 156:5 | language 76:7 |
| 84:17 85:7,11 justify 106:11 Justify 106:11 Justify 106:11 Justin 120:21,21 juvenile 13:19 13:22,24 82:10 104:13 104:16,18,21 105:4,7,8,12 105:4,7,8,12 105:13,18,20 106:1,12,16,18 107:5,10,13 108:5,11,20,23 109:7,8,10,19 109:21 111:10 111:14,18,22 112:10,13,19 109:21 111:10 111:14,18,22 110:10,13,19 105:24 111:2 105:24 111:2 105:24 111:2 105:23 9:18 10:6 15:7 Kanas 147:13 Kansas 4:13 5:7 Kanas 447:13 Kansas 4:13 5:64,18,20 10:14,20 11:10 11:13 39:21 5:60:8 Kanas 147:13 Kansas 4:13 5:64,12 0:13 10:14,20 11:10 11:13 39:21 5:64,12 60:8 76:14 89:2 113:20 130:21 130:224 146:24 148:15 17:23 130:15,16,19 82:22 83:7,16 162:7 163:11 82:22 43:7,16 164:12 166:8,11 166:21,24,25 166:21,24,25 166:21,24,25 166:21,24,25 173:4 175:2,6 166:21,24,25 173:4 175:2,6 166:21,24,25 173:4 175:2,6 169:20 179:5,9,11,14 170:9,18,21 170:19,24,25 173:4 170:9,18,21 170:19,1 | jury 47:9 48:1,1 | key 73:21 | 75:5,13 76:25 | 157:6,11,14,17 | 76:12,13 |
| justify 106:11 Justin 120:21,21 juvenile 13:19 13:22,24 17:5:17:3,4 1ap 2:1:0,1,1,5 1agg 1:0;1,1,5 1arge 12:0; 1alap 2:1:0,1,1,5 1arge 12:0; 1alap 2:1:0,1,1,5 1arge 12:0; 1alap 2:1:0,1,1,5 1arge 12:0; 1alap 2:1:0,1,1,5 1arge 12:0; 1deb: 21:17:65:16 196:24 1ap 2:1:0,1,1,5 1arge 12:0; 1deb: 21:17:65:16 196:24 1arge 12:0; 1deb: 21:17:65:16 196:24 1arge 12:0; 1deb: 21:17:65:16 196:24 1arge 12:0; 1deg: 12:0; 17:4:17:3,4 1ap 2:1:0,1,1,5 1arge 12:0; 17:4:17:5:16 196:24 1argely 109:20 119:5:17-13:1 19:5:17-1 | 1 | | 78:9,15 79:13 | 159:8 161:6,18 | 109:13 |
| Justin 120:21,21 juvenile 13:19 13:22,24 82:10 104:13 104:16,18,21 105:4,7,8,12 105:13,18,20 106:1,12,16,18 107:5,10,13 107:18,10,19 109:21 111:10 111:14,18,22 112:10,13,19 160:15 168:3 juveniles 17:13 18:21 17:15 17:211,16 18:21 17:15 17:211,16 18:21 17:15 17:211,16 18:22 18:19 105:4,7,8,12 105:13,18,20 106:1,12,16,18 107:5,10,13 107:18,10,19 109:21 111:10 111:14,18,22 112:10,13,19 160:15 168:3 juveniles 16:21 18:10 109:6,11,13,13 194:8,19,20 118:11 109:14,14,15,21 118:12,5 87:2 166:21,24,25 166:21,24,25 166:21,24,25 166:21,24,25 161:17 165:16 182:24 182:1 182:24 183:1 179:19 180:5 18argel 10:3 161:17 165:16 170:14,15 119:5 172:11 182:24 183:1 179:19 180:5 18argel 120:3 161:17 165:16 170:14,15 119:5 172:4 18e; 20:3 18e; 24 175:5 18e; 24 175:4 18e; 24 18:2 18e; 21 18e; 24 18:2 18e; 24:18 18e | 84:17 85:7,11 | killed 187:4 | 80:1,9 82:22 | 162:7 163:11 | languages 76:5 |
| Justin 120:21,21 juvenile 13:19 30:15,16,19 44:12 46:25 84:12,15 87:2 87:3,4 88:15 166:21,24,25 167:7 168:23 161:17 165:16 196:24 170:9,18,21 170:17,175:17 178:11 19:5 174:3 180:24 182:7 180:24 182:7 180:24 182:7 180:24 182:7 180:24 182:1 180:24 182:1 180:24 182:1 180:24 182:1 180:24 182:1 180:24 183:1 180:24 182:1 180:24 183:1 180:24 183:1 180:24 183:1 180:37,24 183:15 185:18 180:37:24 170:9,21 170:9,31:24 170:9,31:24 170:17 106:8 188:19 189:7 199:7,78,24 183:15 180:24 183:1 190:1 170:18,19 190:7,78,24 183:15 180:1 170:18,19 190:7,78,24 183:15 180:1 170:18,19 190:7,78,24 183:15 180:1 170:18,19 190:7,78,24 183:15 180:1 170:18,19 190:7,78,24 183:15 180:1 170:18,19 190:7,78,24 183:15 180:1 170:18,19 190:7,78,24 183:15 180:1 170:18,19 190:7,78,24 183:15 180:1 170:18,19 190:7,78,24 183:15 180:1 170:18,19 190:7,78,24 183:15 180:1 170:11,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 171:21 170:1,25 170:1,2 | justify 106:11 | kind 14:5 17:23 | 82:22 83:7,16 | 164:12 166:8,11 | 76:11 77:3,4 |
| Jiuvenile 13:19 44:12 46:25 87:3,4 88:15 167:7 168:23 161:77 165:16 13:22,24 82:10 104:13 81:9 84:5 91:2; 12,12,24 171:5 172:11,16 196:24 104:23 105:1,3 107:11,12 108:7 105:4,7,8,12 148:9 208:13 kinds 48:18 98:5,20 99:3 180:24 182:7 182:24 183:1 170:5,10,13 170:5,10,13 170:5,10,13 170:5,17 178:11 196:24 175:17 178:11 196:24 175:17 178:11 196:24 175:17 178:11 196:24 175:17 178:11 196:24 175:17 178:11 196:24 175:17 178:11 196:24 175:17 178:11 196:24 180:5,11,20,23 180:5,11,20,23 180:5,11,20,23 180:5,11,20,23 180:5,11,20,23 180:5,11,20,23 180:5,11,20,23 180:5,11,20,23 180:5,11,20,23 180:5,11,20,23 180:9,11,18,22 180:9,11,18,22 180:9,11,18,22 180:9,11,18,22 199:7 105:17 106:8 188:19 189:7 199:7 105:17 106:8 188:19 189:7 192:16,19 108:17 114:21 191:7,7,8,24 166:11,23 194:8,19,20 195:2,11,19 196:18 198:5 196:18 198:5 196:18 198:5 196:18 198:5 196:18 198:5 199:9,10,11 170:18,19 199:9,10,11 180:15 199:9,10,11 180:15 180:22 180:41 176:19 199:9,10,11 180:15 180:22 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:15 180:41 180:5 180:41 180:5 180:54 180:5 | 1 - | 30:15,16,19 | 84:12,15 87:2 | 166:21,24,25 | lap 21:10,11,15 |
| 13:22,24 82:10 104:13 81:9 84:5 91:2,12,24 171:5 172:11,16 196:24 196:24 104:16,18,21 107:11,12 108:7 94:4,15,17,19 175:17 178:11 175:17 178:11 175:17 178:11 175:17,18 131:18 103:2,8,12 109:7,8,10,19 109:7,8,10,19 109:7,8,10,19 109:7,8,10,19 109:7,111:10 106:15,25 112:10,13,19 12:3,19 14:20 106:11,13,13 106:15 168:3 juveniles 20:21 21:3 109:14,14,15,21 109:12,111:10 111:2,5 111:21 12:2,5 114:1,4 13:32 13:16,20 114:20,13 13:16,20 13:16,20 13:16,20 13:16,20 13:2,25 13:2,25 13:20 130:21 48:15,17 49:6 13:20 130:21 48:15,17 49:6 13:20 130:21 13:20 130:21 48:15,17 49:6 123:29,15 127:9 12:2,5 114:1,4 13:20 130:21 48:15,17 49:6 123:29,15 127:9 12:2,7 103:21,25 103:19,22 103:19,412.0 103:19,22 105:17,10 103:19,22 105:2,1110 103:19,22 105:2,1110 103:19,22 105:2,1110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 105:2,110 103:19,22 103:2,110 103:19,22 103:2,110 103:19,22 103:2,110 103:19,22 104:24 108:15 103:12,25 113:14,17,18,20 104:24 108:15 104:24 108: | • | , , | • | | large 120:3 |
| 82:10 104:13 | 1 - | 63:22 78:14 | • | 170:9,18,21 | 161:17 165:16 |
| 104:16,18,21 | | | | · · · | |
| 105:4,7,8,12 | 104:16,18,21 | 85:9 92:9 | 92:6,17,24,25 | · | largely 109:20 |
| 105:4,7,8,12 148:9 208:13 95:21 96:18,18 179:19 180:5 largest 163:7,7 late 71:25 law 11:1,1,3,6 107:5,10,13 77:18 131:18 103:2,8,12 183:15 185:18 183:15 185:18 109:7,8,10,19 109:7,8,10,19 109:7 105:17 106:8 188:19 189:7 106:15,25 189:13 190:1 111:10,13,19 12:3,19 14:20 109:6,11,13,13 194:8,19,20 105:24 111:2 105:24 111:2 105:24 111:2 105:24 111:2 105:25 110:6,11,13,13 194:8,19,20 105:24 111:2 105:24 111:2 109:25 110:5,5 196:18 198:25 18wuit 150:22 18 | | 107:11,12 108:7 | | | 119:5 174:3 |
| 106:1,12,16,18 | 105:4,7,8,12 | 148:9 208:13 | 95:21 96:18,18 | 179:19 180:5 | largest 163:7,7 |
| 106:1,12,16,18 | , , , | kinds 48:18 | · · | 180:24 182:7 | late 71:25 |
| 107:5,10,13 | | 53:7 67:24 | 101:6,11,11,21 | 182:24 183:1 | law 11:1,1,3,6 |
| 108:5,11,20,23 | | 77:18 131:18 | | 183:15 185:18 | 23:3 37:24 |
| 109:7,8,10,19 199:7 105:17 106:8 188:19 189:7 108:17 114:21 109:21 111:10 knight 119:14 know 8:8 9:1 107:18,19 191:7,7,8,24 128:3 158:10 160:15 168:3 16:2 18:10 109:6,11,13,13 194:8,19,20 189:13 190:1 128:3 158:10 160:15 168:3 16:2 18:10 109:6,11,13,13 194:8,19,20 189:13 190:1 128:3 158:10 166:11,23 189:13 190:1 128:3 158:10 199:14,14,15,21 199:14,14,15,19 199:14,14,15,19 199:14,14,15,19 199:14,14,15,19 199:14,14,15,19 199:9,10,11 199:9,10,11 199:9,10,11 199:9,10,11 199:9,10,11 199:9,10,11 199:9,10,11 199:9,10,11 199:9,10,11 199:9,10,11 199:9,10,11 199:9,10,11 199:9,10,11 199:9,10,11 199:9,10,11 199 | | knew 72:7 | | 186:9,11,18,22 | 54:16 76:18 |
| 111:14,18,22 | | 199:7 | • | | 92:16,19 |
| 112:10,13,19 160:15 168:3 juveniles 105:24 111:2 jwilliamson@ 5:7 Kanas 147:13 Kansas 4:13 5:23 9:18 10:6 10:14,20 11:10 11:13 39:21 10:14,20 11:10 59:4,12 60:8 76:14 89:2 130:24 146:24 130:24 146:24 130:24 146:24 130:25 113:10 12:3,19 14:20 108:3,13,17,18 109:6,11,13,13 109:14,14,15,21 109:25 110:5,5 109:14,14,15,21 109:25 110:5,5 110:11,25 111:21 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:9,10,11 109:15,17,19 20:3 26:15 28:4 35:1 114:19 115:20 208:3,4,11 209:19 210:11 57:10 62:17 66:24 67:22 67:25 69:6,8 117:6,8,8,13,18 117:23,25 118:5,10,15 119:7,12 122:10 159:5 161:3 170:11 187:14 103:19,22 113:20 130:21 130:24 146:24 149:20 50:18 12:3,19 14:20 109:4,14,15,21 199:9,10,11 199 | 109:21 111:10 | knight 119:14 | 106:15,25 | 189:13 190:1 | 108:17 114:21 |
| 160:15 168:3 16:2 18:10 109:6,11,13,13 194:8,19,20 195:2,11,19 195:2,11,19 196:18 198:25 196:18 198:25 199:9,10,11 29:9 31:25 33:16,20 34:12 35:7 36:12 37:10 15:24 116:7,11 209:19 210:11 209:19 210:11 209:19 210:11 209:19 210:11 209:19 210:11 209:25 10:4,20 11:10 39:11 40:11,11 17:23,25 11:3 39:21 40:14 41:2 118:5,10,15 76:14 89:2 44:4,5 48:14 13:20 130:21 48:15,17 49:6 130:24 146:24 49:20 50:18 125:9,15 127:9 212:7 113:14,17,18,20 113:14,17, | 111:14,18,22 | , • | · · | 191:7,7,8,24 | 128:3 158:10 |
| juveniles 20:21 21:3 109:14,14,15,21 195:2,11,19 196:18 198:25 196:18 198:25 196:18 198:25 196:18 198:25 199:9,10,11 20:3 26:15 | 112:10,13,19 | 12:3,19 14:20 | 108:3,13,17,18 | 192:16 193:5 | 166:11,23 |
| 105:24 111:2 jwilliamson@ 5:7 Kanas 147:13 Kansas 4:13 5:23 9:18 10:6 10:14,20 11:10 11:13 39:21 11:13 39:21 59:4,12 60:8 76:14 89:2 113:20 130:21 130:24 146:24 140:11,11 15:24 116:18, 117:1 17:23, 25 17:25 18:14 18:5,10,15 196:18 198:25 199:9,10,11 199:19,10,11 199:19,10,11 199:19,10,11 199:19,10,11 199:19,10,11 199:19,10,11 199:19,10,11 199:19,10,11 199:19,10,11 199:19,10,11 199:19,10,11 199:1 | 160:15 168:3 | 16:2 18:10 | 109:6,11,13,13 | 194:8,19,20 | |
| jwilliamson@ 5:7 Kanas 147:13 Kansas 4:13 5:23 9:18 10:6 10:14,20 11:10 11:13 39:21 11:20 130:21 130:24 146:24 130:24 146:24 130:24 146:24 14:17,18,20 14:4,15,19 20:3 26:15 20:3 20:4 35:1 20:2 5:10 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 26:15 20:3 20:4 35:1 20:2 56:10 57:10 62:17 66:24 67:22 67:25 69:6,8 69:22 84:14 87:20 93:17 93:23 97:21 10:13:14,17,18,20 | juveniles | 20:21 21:3 | 109:14,14,15,21 | 195:2,11,19 | |
| 5:7 Kanas 147:13 Kansas 4:13 5:23 9:18 10:6 10:14,20 11:10 11:13 39:21 59:4,12 60:8 76:14 89:2 113:20 130:21 130:24 146:24 148:15,17 49:6 130:24 146:24 29:9 31:25 33:16,20 34:12 35:7 112:2,5 114:1,4 112:2,5 114:1,4 200:15,17,19 20:3 26:15 28:4 35:1 209:19 210:11 200 | 105:24 111:2 | 22:9,11,24 | 109:25 110:5,5 | 196:18 198:25 | lawyer 12:14,16 |
| K 29:9 31:25 112:2,5 114:1,4 200:15,17,19 20:3 26:15 Kanas 147:13 Kanas 4:13 36:12 37:10 114:19 115:20 208:3,4,11 50:22 56:10 Kansas 4:13 37:25 38:1,2,2 116:18,21 117:1 209:19 210:11 57:10 62:17 5:23 9:18 10:6 38:5,14,20,24 117:6,8,8,13,18 knowing 117:6 knowledge 11:13 39:21 40:14 41:2 118:5,10,15 83:10 109:10 87:20 93:17 59:4,12 60:8 42:19 43:15 119:7,12 122:10 159:5 161:3 93:23 97:21 13:20 130:21 48:15,17 49:6 124:22 125:7 193:21,25 104:24 108:15 130:24 146:24 49:20 50:18 125:9,15 127:9 212:7 113:14,17,18,20 | jwilliamson@ | 24:11 28:11 | 110:11,25 111:21 | 199:9,10,11 | |
| K 34:12 35:7 114:19 115:20 208:3,4,11 50:22 56:10 Kansas 147:13 Kansas 4:13 37:25 38:1,2,2 116:18,21 117:1 209:19 210:11 57:10 62:17 5:23 9:18 10:6 39:11 40:11,11 117:6,8,8,13,18 knowing 117:6 66:24 67:22 11:13 39:21 40:14 41:2 118:5,10,15 83:10 109:10 87:20 93:17 59:4,12 60:8 42:19 43:15 119:7,12 122:10 159:5 161:3 93:23 97:21 76:14 89:2 44:4,5 48:14 123:15,16,16 170:11 187:14 103:19,22 130:24 146:24 49:20 50:18 125:9,15 127:9 212:7 113:14,17,18,20 | 1 - | 29:9 31:25 | 112:2,5 114:1,4 | 200:15,17,19 | |
| Kanas 147:13 36:12 37:10 115:24 116:7,11 209:19 210:11 57:10 62:17 Kansas 4:13 37:25 38:1,2,2 116:18,21 117:1 211:2,3 212:14 66:24 67:22 5:23 9:18 10:6 39:11 40:11,11 117:6,8,8,13,18 knowledge 69:22 84:14 11:13 39:21 40:14 41:2 118:5,10,15 83:10 109:10 87:20 93:17 59:4,12 60:8 42:19 43:15 119:7,12 122:10 159:5 161:3 93:23 97:21 76:14 89:2 44:4,5 48:14 123:15,16,16 170:11 187:14 103:19,22 130:24 146:24 49:20 50:18 125:9,15 127:9 212:7 113:14,17,18,20 | | 33:16,20 | 114:11,13,16,18 | 202:8,12 | |
| Kansas 4:13 37:25 38:1,2,2 116:18,21 117:1 211:2,3 212:14 66:24 67:22 5:23 9:18 10:6 38:5,14,20,24 117:6,8,8,13,18 knowing 117:6 67:25 69:6,8 10:14,20 11:10 39:11 40:11,11 117:23,25 knowledge 69:22 84:14 11:13 39:21 40:14 41:2 118:5,10,15 83:10 109:10 87:20 93:17 59:4,12 60:8 42:19 43:15 119:7,12 122:10 159:5 161:3 93:23 97:21 76:14 89:2 44:4,5 48:14 123:15,16,16 170:11 187:14 103:19,22 113:20 130:21 48:15,17 49:6 124:22 125:7 193:21,25 104:24 108:15 130:24 146:24 49:20 50:18 125:9,15 127:9 212:7 113:14,17,18,20 | | 34:12 35:7 | 114:19 115:20 | 208:3,4,11 | |
| 5:23 9:18 10:6 10:14,20 11:10 11:13 39:21 59:4,12 60:8 76:14 89:2 113:20 130:21 130:24 146:24 38:5,14,20,24 39:11 40:11,11 40:14,11 117:23,25 118:5,10,15 119:7,12 122:10 123:15,16,16 124:22 125:7 125:9,15 127:9 knowing 117:6 knowledge 83:10 109:10 159:5 161:3 170:11 187:14 103:19,22 104:24 108:15 113:14,17,18,20 | | 36:12 37:10 | 115:24 116:7,11 | 209:19 210:11 | |
| 10:14,20 11:10 39:11 40:11,11 117:23,25 knowledge 69:22 84:14 11:13 39:21 40:14 41:2 118:5,10,15 83:10 109:10 87:20 93:17 59:4,12 60:8 42:19 43:15 119:7,12 122:10 159:5 161:3 93:23 97:21 76:14 89:2 44:4,5 48:14 123:15,16,16 170:11 187:14 103:19,22 113:20 130:21 48:15,17 49:6 124:22 125:7 193:21,25 104:24 108:15 130:24 146:24 49:20 50:18 125:9,15 127:9 212:7 113:14,17,18,20 | | 37:25 38:1,2,2 | 116:18,21 117:1 | 211:2,3 212:14 | |
| 11:13 39:21 40:14 41:2 118:5,10,15 83:10 109:10 87:20 93:17 59:4,12 60:8 42:19 43:15 119:7,12 122:10 159:5 161:3 93:23 97:21 76:14 89:2 44:4,5 48:14 123:15,16,16 170:11 187:14 103:19,22 113:20 130:21 48:15,17 49:6 124:22 125:7 193:21,25 104:24 108:15 130:24 146:24 49:20 50:18 125:9,15 127:9 212:7 113:14,17,18,20 | | 38:5,14,20,24 | 117:6,8,8,13,18 | knowing 117:6 | • |
| 59:4,12 60:8 42:19 43:15 119:7,12 122:10 159:5 161:3 93:23 97:21 76:14 89:2 44:4,5 48:14 123:15,16,16 170:11 187:14 103:19,22 113:20 130:21 48:15,17 49:6 124:22 125:7 193:21,25 104:24 108:15 130:24 146:24 49:20 50:18 125:9,15 127:9 212:7 113:14,17,18,20 | • | 39:11 40:11,11 | 117:23,25 | knowledge | |
| 76:14 89:2 | | 40:14 41:2 | 118:5,10,15 | 83:10 109:10 | |
| 113:20 130:21 | · · | 42:19 43:15 | 119:7,12 122:10 | 159:5 161:3 | |
| 130:24 146:24 | | 44:4,5 48:14 | 123:15,16,16 | 170:11 187:14 | • |
| 100 7 045 0 | | 48:15,17 49:6 | 124:22 125:7 | 193:21,25 | |
| 163:7 215:3 50:19 21 51:13 128:1 130:12 17 known 98:25 116:2 118:12.17 | | 49:20 50:18 | 125:9,15 127:9 | 212:7 | |
| 30.13,21 31.13 120.1 130.12,17 Kilowii 30.23 | | 50:19,21 51:13 | 128:1 130:12,17 | known 98:25 | · |
| Kathy 67:12 51:24 53:21 131:1,2,16 99:7,14 102:12 125:21 148:12 | Kathy 67:12 | 51:24 53:21 | 131:1,2,16 | 99:7,14 102:12 | 125:21 148:12 |
| | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.6 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 73 of 93

| 158:15 175:5,6 | 162:18 164:23 | legitimate | life 105:12 | 151:23 |
|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 175:18,19,24 | 166:21 171:16 | 138:22 | 208:15 | litigate 90:24 |
| 175:24,25 | 178:10,12 | legs 119:15 | life-changing | 104:21 120:10 |
| 176:1,7,17 | 180:23 181:4 | legwork 108:19 | 87:18 | litigated 121:4,5 |
| 188:18 190:13 | 189:5 190:9 | 110:21 | lifetime 117:4 | litigation 5:22 |
| 209:13 210:25 | 191:4 197:12 | Leon 89:3 | liked 168:20 | 6:21 150:13,15 |
| lawyer's 21:6 | 199:2 206:14 | Leon's 91:11 | limes 98:22 | 151:17 215:1,18 |
| 62:8 | 207:16 | Leslie 135:18 | limitations 171:11 | little 28:19 29:1 |
| lawyering 81:22 | 209:24 212:7 | let's 28:9 48:22 | limited 8:4 | 42:5 43:11 |
| lawyers 11:15,22 | 212:25 | 97:16 157:17 | limits 188:14 | 52:10 64:14 |
| 12:12,20,22 | lead 57:12 | 191:5,12 | line 71:12 80:13 | 71:22 84:22 |
| 13:20,25 15:8 | leader 27:9,11 | 204:14 211:9 | 114:18 154:25 | 85:13 103:16 |
| 17:12,13 19:1 | 27:24 105:1 | letter 2:14 61:15 | 158:6 159:17 | 114:22 134:5 |
| 21:9,13,13,22 | 168:18 | 98:9 123:9,13 | 184:7 204:12 | 134:16 161:9,10 |
| 22:1,1,19 23:9 | leaders 17:7 | 123:19,20 | 204:19,23 | 163:16 176:7 |
| 24:7,7,16 25:7 | 18:8 27:16 | 124:1,8,9,10,13 | 205:15 207:9 | 186:4 |
| 25:9,9 28:3,5 | 30:1,20,21 | 124:19 134:20 | 210:10 217:5,7 | live 66:13 99:17 |
| 32:2,3,6,7 | 70:19,22 73:6 | 141:9,10,13,17 | 217:11,16,20 | 99:24 |
| 33:10,10 34:17 | learned 68:9 | 141:21 189:24 | lines 78:1,16 | living 208:15 |
| 34:17,22 | leave 19:15,16 | 190:1,16 | 100:7,8 104:5 | local 36:3 59:4 |
| 38:22,23 | 19:17 29:5,6 | 193:16,18,22 | 105:10 108:11 | 59:21 60:14,18 |
| 40:25 42:15 | 33:17 38:25 | 194:16,21 | 114:7 135:4 | 60:19,23 116:4 |
| 42:16 54:13,19 | 115:14 116:17 | letters 19:1,2 | 149:4 150:21 | 162:4 168:13 |
| 57:20 59:12 | leaves 20:15,17 | 123:23 124:2 | 153:6 154:25 | 170:18 172:9 |
| 61:5 67:6,16 | 21:2 | 189:21 | 195:13 212:14 | 173:8 184:12 |
| 67:23 68:10 | leaving 133:24 | level 10:4 26:5 | lineup 99:14,18 | locating 74:24 |
| 69:23 71:8,9 | 134:2 | 26:12,12 27:6 | 99:24,25 | locked 61:21 |
| 71:10,20,20 | led 189:20 | 28:25 36:11 | lineups 99:5,8 | Locust 5:23 |
| 75:23 78:11 | Leer 67:12 | 41:19 63:19 | 99:15 | 215:2 |
| 79:21 81:25 | leery 37:5,18 | 67:8 70:24 | liquor 68:23 | logistics 66:16 |
| 84:21 85:15 | Left 19:10 | 71:13 73:11 | list 46:5 47:15 | long 9:19 12:3 |
| 86:22 88:3 | legal 6:20 | 88:7 105:19 | 55:10 74:16 | 14:19,25 20:17 |
| 90:3 94:7,12 | 10:23 11:2 | 135:24 164:19 | 78:9,14 86:11 | 24:21 32:10 |
| 96:14 97:19 | 15:19,22 16:19 | 164:19 168:11 | 91:24 110:9,13 | 34:6,10 |
| 98:12 100:1 | 17:9,14,21 18:6 | 170:3,7 | 126:5,8,10,15 | 108:22 119:8 |
| 101:8 102:3 | 18:17 23:4,7 | 196:23 203:21 | 126:17,21,23 | 131:23 140:22 |
| 103:7 109:18 | 31:18 42:3,4 | 205:2,7,10 | 127:5,6,10,17 | 141:2 |
| 111:20 113:8 | 42:12,15 43:13 | levels 10:2 14:14 | 128:5,7,13,15 | longer 11:25 |
| 114:19 115:3 | 76:23 85:25 | 26:21 80:11 | 128:17,20,20 | 48:17 122:20 |
| 118:3 119:3,12 | 128:16 150:4 | 167:19 | 128:22,23 | 126:25 158:16 |
| 125:14,25 | 152:19 158:18 | Liberties 4:11 | 129:2,4,9,11,12 | look 21:18,19,20 |
| 126:7 131:14 | 160:18 166:13 | 6:18 | 129:14 153:6 | 22:11 29:2 |
| 132:12,24 | 207:14 | Liberty 120:19 | 165:7 177:16 | 30:12,13,14,15 |
| 133:2,3,4 | legally 101:11 | license 49:8 | 196:9 | 34:15 40:15 |
| 135:11 140:10 | 158:12 187:7 | 56:24 114:21 | listen 80:5 | 43:23 44:16 |
| 143:5 144:1 | legislature | 148:11 188:15 | listened 114:10 | 72:15 74:2 |
| 151:8 156:8 | 200:17 | lie 208:9 | literally 19:23 | 89:4 90:21,22 |
| | ı | ı | ı | ı |

| 92:3 94:2 | 68:21 71:14,16 | lying 202:3 | 54:15 114:6 | 55:24 56:12 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 108:10 125:14 | 72:6 73:14 [°] | | 145:8 211:24 | 57:6 62:10 |
| 129:14 140:12 | 74:4 76:15,15 | M | March 9:22 | 65:23 66:2,6 |
| 148:6 151:22 | 76:22,23 77:8 | ma'am 167:16 | mark 26:3 | 67:8,18 68:20 |
| 154:10 165:4,5 | 81:17,18 82:14 | 177:4 | 58:20 153:2 | 71:13,16 74:2 |
| 165:8 178:11 | 84:12 85:9,9 | maid 207:10 | marked 58:22 | 75:1 76:1,4 |
| 184:23,24 | 88:14 89:23 | mail 19:2 | 88:21 123:5 | 79:17 80:1,16 |
| 185:3 201:21 | 90:17 91:3 | main 54:3 | 124:4,6,24 | 81:3,16 82:10 |
| 203:19 204:4 | 92:12 93:10,10 | maintain 177:15 | 125:1 126:12 | 83:6 84:7,9 |
| 204:14,25 | 93:12 96:19 | maintaining | 129:21,23 | 86:3,10,13 |
| 205:24 | 99:18,20,21 | 128:20 129:10 | 136:2,4 137:5 | 88:13 94:2 |
| looked 9:4,4 | 102:1 105:10 | major 172:14 | 139:22 192:23 | 95:19 96:10,16 |
| 79:25 127:14 | 107:11 110:15 | majority 22:18 | 193:12 199:22 | 98:4 100:5,20 |
| 146:14 207:6 | 117:10 120:2 | 44:2 139:19 | 199:24 201:6 | 101:7,22 |
| looking 30:19 | 125:19 127:4 | 155:11 | 203:1,4 | 102:10 103:22 |
| 32:7 47:19 | 138:3,18 | making 24:19 | market 129:8 | 106:24 108:9 |
| 54:20 56:23 | 145:15 148:14 | 55:24 56:6 | marking 88:20 | 108:24 110:2 |
| 69:23 72:11 | 148:15,15,16 | 132:23 143:2 | 123:8 | 112:11 114:8,12 |
| 106:11,19 | 148:22 149:16 | 175:1,2 201:17 | marks 27:3 | 114:18 115:1,8 |
| 182:6 186:3 | 149:17,20,22 | man 18:24 | Martin 120:20 | 115:11,22 116:7 |
| 192:22 | 149:17,20,22 | manage 35:13 | master's 75:8 | 116:25 117:2,2 |
| looks 33:16,16 | 149:25 150:23 | 196:10 | match 72:20 | 118:2,4,24 |
| 60:2,10 123:14 | 150:24,25 | management | matches 10:15 | 120:4,8 122:21 |
| 125:3,12 141:16 | 151:8 152:8 | 13:5 27:7 34:2 | math 19:7 | 123:16 124:20 |
| looming 80:16 | 156:12 160:19 | 35:21 37:6,11 | 164:13 | 129:7 132:12 |
| loose 44:13 | 161:16 165:6 | 37:14,19 38:14 | matter 6:13 | 133:4 143:22 |
| loose 44.13 | 167:3,4 180:6 | 38:19 67:11 | 47:22 54:6 | 144:14,19 |
| 96:25 | 180:7,7,8 181:3 | 78:12 120:1 | 85:12 108:3 | 146:13,16 |
| lose 22:15 | 181:5 182:9 | 166:1,4 172:6 | 113:13 142:17 | 147:6 148:1,4 |
| 102:20 | 183:2,17 | 172:23,24 | 159:10 166:2 | 148:12,20,24 |
| loses 90:20 | 184:19 202:19 | 178:20 180:9 | 207:12 215:19 | 149:16,21,23 |
| losing 20:23,24 | 202:24 | 180:13 184:2 | matters 80:10 | 150:2,16,18,23 |
| 22:6 148:11 | 202.24 | 188:25 196:25 | MCRC 2:18 | 150.2,10,18,23 |
| | 208.12 209.15 | manager 18:20 | | 152:22,25 |
| lost 20:23 22:12,16,16 | loudly 8:14 | 42:20,24 | 130:6,8 132:3 133:18 151:12 | 152:22,25 153:7 154:9 |
| lot 9:6 10:17 11:5 | Louis 10:24 | 87:15 | 194:24,25 | 155:7 154:9 |
| 14:5,7,8 16:13 | 130:18 163:8 | managers 38:21 | 194.24,25 | 159:9 160:13 |
| 16:14,16 19:15 | 163:10 195:2 | managing | mean 11:4 13:22 | 160:19 163:7 |
| 19:15,16 20:6 | low 105:19 | 180:18 | 17:12 19:8 | 163:14 164:9 |
| 20:7 21:12 | 106:8 122:4 | mandamus 2:17 | 22:8,24 24:24 | 164:22 165:5 |
| 28:17 32:1 | lower 106:13 | 125:5 | 25:14 28:3 | 165:10 166:4,8 |
| 38:8 40:9 | 134:11 | mandated | 31:11 32:1 36:4 | 167:4 168:17 |
| 41:5 43:16 | lucked 76:18 | 165:17 186:15 | | 168:19 176:16 |
| 44:3 45:15 | | mandatory | 37:22 38:18 39:13 41:2 | 176:22 177:11 |
| 52:7 55:5 | lucky 163:11,13 lunch 24:20 | 24:22,23,23 | 43:14 44:22 | 176:22 177:11 |
| | lunch 24:20 | manner 27:4 | | 177:21 178:9 |
| 62:10,16,16 | | 30:25 34:19 | 45:24 51:23 52:4 53:5 | |
| 66:12,16 68:9 | 165:21,24 | 30.23 34.13 | 52.4 55.5 | 180:6,7,10,16 |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 75 of 93

| | | | | 1 |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 180:22 182:3 | 151:25 152:1,2 | 121:9 131:4 | 36:18 67:5 | 187:23 |
| 182:21 183:2 | members 18:11 | 198:9 | 77:13 109:5 | motivate 33:21 |
| 183:12 184:18 | memo 117:1 | minor 172:12 | 156:6,8 170:15 | move 23:19 |
| 184:23 186:9 | memorandum | minute 123:1 | 170:16,20 | 25:22 26:2 |
| 186:19,20 | 84:12 | 145:19 | 177:24 183:22 | 50:8 57:9 |
| 187:3,12,21 | memorized | minutes 9:2 | 184:25 185:19 | 60:22 71:10 |
| 188:6,15 190:8 | 172:8,10 | 153:18 208:19 | 185:21 209:16 | 91:10 103:3 |
| 191:25 192:1 | memory 212:1 | misdemeanor | 211:23 | 117:18 157:6 |
| 193:4,8,10 | mental 96:20 | 82:23,24,25 | money-wise | 161:16,20 |
| 194:7,17 | 97:2 98:10,18 | 171:12 | 75:18 | 174:19 195:22 |
| 195:14,19 | 98:24 112:12 | misdemeanors | monitor 132:11 | 210:4 |
| 196:12 197:8 | 115:14 144:19 | 13:17 14:7 | 132:21 | moved 23:18 |
| 197:10 202:19 | mentals 96:23 | 32:17 44:3 | monitoring | 142:1 154:12 |
| 204:21 206:12 | mentioned 11:9 | 82:21 86:20 | 132:9 137:1 | 211:24 |
| 208:7,7,12,16 | 22:5 28:2,16 | 147:18 171:7,17 | 154:6 180:14 | movements |
| 209:2 210:3,9 | 29:14 31:3 | missed 114:4 | month 24:14 | 8:16 |
| 211:15 | 44:5 55:21 | missing 208:11 | 46:25 81:3,3 | moves 50:8 |
| meaning 122:19 | 61:5 64:13 | Missouri 1:1,6 | 122:13 162:20 | 109:22 |
| 175:19 | 68:16 74:17 | 2:13 4:1,6,12 | 190:6 195:11 | moving 91:4 |
| means 21:9 32:1 | 74:20 75:20 | 4:13,15,17,18 | monthly 30:11 | MSPD 5:14 7:1 |
| 34:13 54:7 | 103:18 119:16 | 5:8,10,11,15,17 | months 26:17,18 | 9:23 10:13 11:2 |
| 66:9,10,11 | 140:3 142:3 | 5:23 6:5,14,16 | 32:12 49:19 | 12:9 27:12,22 |
| 94:5 112:18 | 154:21 165:20 | 6:18 7:4 9:15 | 50:11,12 53:15 | 30:10 33:8 |
| meant 188:8 | 168:10 171:6 | 10:22 49:17 | 53:17,20 | 59:21 60:10 |
| mechanism | 193:15 212:10 | 54:1 83:9 | 54:20 62:22 | 61:6,7 78:6 |
| 178:16 208:5 | mentor 27:14 | 89:2,8 114:9 | 64:5 79:2 | 121:16 125:17 |
| medical 49:12 | mercy 133:5 | 119:12 121:13 | 81:23,23 | 172:10 |
| meet 17:18 | merely 171:24 | 130:3 135:20 | 122:13,14 | multiple 38:5 |
| 27:15 28:8 | merged 105:15 | 146:3 149:12 | 167:2 176:5,6 | 58:6 115:23 |
| 61:6,7 68:18 | Mermelstein | 156:16 163:4 | morning 7:12,13 | 120:4 144:15 |
| 72:10 87:11,19 | 125:8,10 | 164:4 192:24 | 174:5 | 150:20 157:15 |
| 101:22 110:12 | mess 106:15 | 194:14 214:4 | motion 2:21 | 165:3,6 181:18 |
| 152:20,20 | 158:12,13 | 215:3,7,9,10 | 79:22 99:3 | 182:1 183:15 |
| 189:10 196:22 | met 9:1 85:20 | 217:2 | 100:5 101:24 | 189:11 197:12 |
| meeting 19:14 | 107:21 118:12 | mistrust 62:17 | 102:21,22 | multiple-count |
| 24:9 35:2 | 122:15 189:24 | MO 5:21 6:4 | 121:9 135:11 | 183:4 |
| 55:6 61:15,18 | 190:2 196:3 | 215:22 | 137:3,9,16,18 | Munday 89:3,21 |
| 61:22 76:2 | meth 149:23,24 | mom 151:22 | 137:21,24,24 | Munday's 89:11 |
| 85:24 92:9 | method 96:18 | 156:4 | 138:2,6,8 | murder 21:22 |
| 133:2 175:7 | methods 165:14 | moment 28:17 | 139:16 161:10 | 36:1 48:3 |
| 193:10 | Michael 117:1 | 123:11 128:11 | 197:16 | 86:18 131:21 |
| meetings 29:12 | 195:7,8 196:17 | 173:14 201:22 | motions 30:15 | 210:5,5 |
| 61:16 92:8 | micromanaging | Monday 45:1 | 71:18 79:7,17 | murders 40:11 |
| 119:13 | 87:14 | 134:21 139:13 | 80:18,22 81:1,1 | 149:17 164:16 |
| Megerman 17:6 | mid 170:3 | 139:13 190:2 | 81:14,14,19,19 | Musgrave |
| 21:16 129:4 | mind 39:5 56:5 | money 19:16 | 82:1 110:24 | 124:14,15 |
| member 140:9 | mine 37:22 | 35:16 36:4,7 | 121:6 125:13 | |
| | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376

ww.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 76 of 93

| N | nerd 166:11 | normal 163:15 | numbers 36:3 | 127:18,19 |
|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| N 2:1 3:1 5:1 | Nevels 136:21 | normally 26:17 | 160:2 164:10 | 134:21 141:10 |
| N-A-C-D-L | 136:22,23 | 159:21,21 | 186:3 187:10 | 141:21 167:1 |
| 198:21,25 | 137:2 138:19 | 209:4 | 196:23 | offenses 83:2 |
| NACDL 198:21 | 142:15 | notarized | numbers-wise | offer 49:14 63:8 |
| name 6:19,20 | never 35:2 | 215:17 | 122:6,7 | 74:5 85:22,23 |
| 7:16 26:20 | 37:12 41:9,9 | notary 4:14 6:5 | | 86:5,12,17,18 |
| 139:18 146:2 | 63:7 83:21,21 | 215:16 216:18 | 0 | 88:2 89:25 |
| 165:4 195:2 | 90:8 99:7,13 | notice 20:21 | O 3:1 | 90:6 91:6,7 |
| 216:9 217:1,2 | 102:12 105:17 | 60:5 65:24 | O'Malley | 191:12 |
| named 14:13 | 106:14 121:1 | 65:25 66:9,18 | 198:22 | offers 28:10 |
| National 199:1 | 150:22 157:14 | 102:23,25 | oath 7:24 | 47:15 85:14,19 |
| natural 103:8 | 168:19 181:1 | 103:20 185:1 | object 8:3 | 86:4,22 89:18 |
| naturally 20:6 | 185:16,24 | 202:13 | objection | 90:2,4 91:2,8 |
| necessarily | 209:11 211:6 | noticed 78:25 | 136:15 | 132:15 175:4 |
| 43:18 172:9 | 211:12,17 212:4 | noticing 66:10 | obligated 86:4 | office 5:10,15 |
| necessary | new 5:6,6 21:22 | Notification | obligation | 9:18 10:6,15 |
| 63:23 64:18 | 22:18 23:2,2 | 2:18 | 85:25 140:7 | 10:20,25 11:24 |
| 64:23 65:1,5 | 23:9,14,20 | November | obligations | 12:9,9 13:12 |
| 78:23 81:14 | 25:9,15 102:21 | 137:3,22 | 31:18 56:13 | 14:11,13,20 15:1 |
| 82:1 93:7 | 102:22 111:22 | 140:17 | 89:12 191:1 | 16:1,9 18:18,20 |
| 143:21 163:21 | 159:11 166:20 | number 6:14 | observation | 18:21,22 19:4 |
| 168:23 169:23 | 166:22 167:10 | 15:23 19:17 | 113:8,8 | 20:15,17 21:18 |
| 170:2 216:8 | 169:15 172:1,18 | 25:4 30:12 | observations | 23:14 25:2,20 |
| need 33:22 | 185:1 | 32:24,25 | 110:7 | 25:25 29:8 |
| 36:9 40:22 | newer 72:16 | 35:5 40:5,15 | obviously 20:4 | 31:16,17 33:8 |
| 42:2 71:25 | news 165:10 | 40:17 42:1 | 21:21 27:6,19 | 33:10 34:7,17 |
| 72:13 89:13 | newspaper | 43:23 44:15 | 29:8 41:21 | 35:14 36:2,9 |
| 115:13 135:22 | 150:24 | 45:12 54:24 | 85:6 92:16,22 | 36:18 37:1 |
| 146:5 169:14 | nice 116:19 | 65:2 69:4 | 98:24 124:20 | 38:4,11 39:1,2 |
| 177:8 178:4,8 | 138:2 | 71:18,19 72:23 | 142:11 170:21 | 39:5,10,10,21 |
| 186:4 198:5 | Nifong 5:16 | 74:10 75:16 | 177:20 192:16 | 39:24 40:10 |
| 204:10 | 215:8 | 94:3 95:1 | occasionally | 42:7,19,24 |
| needed 42:2 | nightmare | 96:16 97:9 | 30:2 111:10 | 43:21 51:21 |
| 72:7 77:5 | 178:23 | 106:15 113:11 | 194:8 195:8 | 53:8,13 55:23 |
| needs 28:15 | nine 19:5,8,9 | 115:12 118:14 | occasions | 56:10 57:2 |
| 182:13 | 22:23 50:12 | 122:9 123:25 | 138:12 | 59:13,21 60:19 |
| negative 52:7 | 54:20 62:21 | 125:13 130:22 | occur 46:14,15 | 61:15 62:7 |
| 90:23 | 155:15 162:23 | 132:7 135:11 | 47:9 48:19 | 64:17 66:14 |
| negotiate 88:4 | 167:2 | 146:4 151:16 | 61:10,11,13 | 69:15 70:3 |
| 88:5 93:6 | non-appeara | 155:6 163:20 | 111:4 | 71:4 73:9,20 |
| negotiation | 139:10 | 164:8,12 165:4 | occurred 107:18 | 75:10 78:17 |
| 88:7,10 | nonprofit 130:11 | 181:14 [°] 190:11 | occurs 46:13 | 82:5 83:11,24 |
| negotiations | Nonverbal 8:6 | 204:3,5,6,14 | 72:3 146:23 | 86:15 88:3 |
| 47:16 92:22 | 136:10 | 208:8 213:4,9 | 184:6 | 90:4 93:6,20 |
| neither 214:9 | Nope 104:19 | numbered | OCDC 142:5 | 94:12,22 96:1 |
| 1.016101 217.3 | 171:13 | 204:16 205:1 | October 59:16 | 96:9 97:12 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |

| 99:5 101:19 | 22:11,14 38:5 | 98:2 99:15 | 88:2 95:19 | 144:4,7 184:21 |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 102:17 103:18 | 38:6 39:14 | 101:16 102:9 | 111:14 210:21 | orders 86:8 |
| 103:19 104:17 | 65:11 117:19 | 102:24 104:12 | ones 24:24,25 | 97:16 138:25 |
| 105:13,21,23 | 119:24 122:11 | 105:23 106:7 | 92:20 186:16 | 143:10,22,24 |
| 106:2 108:12 | 122:21,23 | 106:23 108:6 | open 16:2 20:9 | 145:6 |
| 108:21,23 | 131:8 148:1 | 109:6 112:6,18 | 25:2 30:13 | organizational |
| 110:7,23 112:10 | 153:12 163:4,6 | 113:2,23 | 53:22 114:20 | 27:12 |
| 113:3,17,18 | 163:12 164:4 | 123:18 124:15 | 142:24 160:24 | organizations |
| 114:24 115:12 | 176:21 187:5,9 | 125:24 126:9 | 163:13 164:11 | 150:12 151:3,4 |
| 115:16 116:5,23 | 190:11 | 127:19 129:6 | opening 83:11 | 151:5,6,25 |
| 117:17 118:10 | official 171:1 | 129:20 130:20 | 83:15,21 | 152:8 198:20 |
| 118:20 122:5,9 | officially 102:17 | 131:5 133:22 | operates | organized |
| 124:22 126:1,5 | oh 26:6 29:19 | 134:12,12 | 183:20 | 152:3 166:8 |
| 129:3 131:3 | 38:24 52:20 | 137:12 138:7,11 | operating | original 3:6,6 |
| 132:8 138:18 | 59:25 65:19 | 139:24 140:19 | 131:22 | 215:13 |
| 139:2 140:4,13 | 65:21 69:10 | 141:9,18,21,23 | opinion 19:11 | out-of-custody |
| 141:3 142:25 | 70:20 71:15 | 143:16,20 | 37:17 69:19 | 97:2 |
| 144:9 146:23 | 72:18 73:2,4 | 144:22 145:10 | 83:23 93:5 | outcome 214:15 |
| 147:3,8,24 | 90:7 119:4,4 | 146:15 147:22 | 94:21 97:7 | outcomes 85:4 |
| 148:21 152:14 | 120:12,24 | 152:16 157:5 | 109:8 113:2,6 | 109:14,14 |
| 153:4 162:21 | 151:4 164:22 | 157:12,12 | 180:23 206:2 | outlets 151:5 |
| 165:20 170:11 | 185:15 198:8 | 159:4,6 160:3 | opioid 149:25 | outreach 133:10 |
| 173:8 175:4 | 200:4 204:5 | 164:11 167:22 | opportunity | outright 158:9 |
| 177:15 178:14 | 204:5 | 168:3 171:14 | 52:5,5 85:9 | 211:12 212:1 |
| 178:16,24 | okay 7:20 8:5,9 | 172:22 173:3 | 155:19 | outside 18:6 |
| 185:21,25 | 8:10,21,22 | 174:1 178:21 | opposed 8:15 | 111:7 116:4 |
| 186:20 187:10 | 9:13 10:6 12:18 | 179:15 184:11 | 147:19 171:12 | 155:20 176:14 |
| 187:12 190:25 | 13:11 14:22 | 186:8 188:19 | 173:8 176:15 | 189:22 |
| 192:14 193:9 | 17:17 18:16 | 188:20 194:11 | 181:10 183:4 | outstanding |
| 196:8 200:11 | 26:9,23 27:18 | 195:23 197:24 | opposite 183:2 | 178:15 |
| 200:13,14 | 35:13 36:22 | 198:8,17 199:3 | optimal 40:8 | over-reporting |
| 201:18 206:2 | 36:25 41:4 | 199:21 200:19 | option 39:8 | 181:2 |
| 207:19,23 | 45:6 47:13 | 200:23 201:8 | 97:5 99:1 | overflow 186:10 |
| 208:22 210:19 | 48:18,23 | 201:11,21 | 186:18 | overhead 182:4 |
| 212:8,22 | 50:16 55:17 | 202:22 203:3 | options 111:2 | overlap 42:5 |
| 215:7 | 56:4,25 57:22 | 203:19 204:15 | 122:1 | overload 9:4 |
| office's 89:12 | 58:1,11 59:6,14 | 204:25 | order 27:9 | 22:11 55:1 |
| 145:12 | 60:12,21 61:1 | 205:12 206:17 | 75:24 94:1 | 56:15 95:3 |
| office-wide | 63:4 64:3,13 | 207:25 212:19 | 96:11 127:3,6 | 162:15 190:13 |
| 43:6 189:12 | 67:15 68:15 | 213:3 | 128:8 135:3 | overloaded |
| officed 195:1 | 69:14,25 | old 177:22 | 135:22 139:10 | 22:14 122:12 |
| officer 80:6 | 71:22 74:8 | 185:2 195:17 | 142:9,9 143:12 | overreacting |
| 98:7 | 75:20 77:11 | omission 149:2 | 174:16 | 119:1 |
| officer's 80:4 | 79:4,6,20 | on-the-scene | ordered 32:25 | oversee 178:15 |
| officers 88:16 | 83:2,5,10 87:7 | 100:2 | 97:11 98:13 | oversight |
| 119:20 | 92:5 93:1,15 | once 9:9 24:14 | 210:23 | 132:19 180:20 |
| offices 4:11 | 95:22 97:25 | 57:1,1 72:12 | ordering 139:20 | overwhelmed |
| | 33.22 37.23 | 0,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 3.23.119 133.20 | |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376 www.alaris.us

Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 78 of 93

| 38:16 115:6,8 | 196:25 | 49:4,5,6,6,7 | 45:16 | 208:23 209:7 |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| overworked | participate | 50:19 58:6 | percent 12:16 | personal 69:20 |
| 116:10 | 131:12 198:23 | 62:2,21 64:22 | 35:3 43:14 | 69:21,21,22 |
| 110.10 | participated | 65:18,19 66:4 | 47:3 65:6,7,12 | 113:7 135:25 |
| Р | 130:13 | 67:4,19 70:11 | 67:21 70:17,17 | 153:16 170:11 |
| P 5:1,1 | particular 35:10 | 71:17 72:24 | 154:24 157:3 | 182:18 |
| p.m 123:2,4 | 124:9 133:11 | 73:1,7,13,15,23 | 157:8 163:15 | personally |
| 145:20,22 | 149:11 150:22 | 74:4 75:16 | 192:1 | 25:19 86:14 |
| 198:12,14 | 152:15 159:25 | 76:15 77:8,23 | percentage | 87:22 129:1 |
| 213:13,15 | 161:1 162:21 | 77:24 78:1,3,5 | 44:1 64:16 | 134:25 135:2 |
| page 2:2,11 3:2 | 165:2,17 | 78:12,14,21,22 | 122:11 128:1 | 135:13 139:9 |
| 59:17 200:1 | 175:12 178:25 | 79:11 82:8 | 157:11 182:24 | 139:19,20 |
| 201:10,22 | 179:24 181:8 | 87:16 88:14,15 | performance | 142:22 143:10 |
| 215:14,16,18 | 184:6 187:2 | 91:2,5 93:9 | 25:17 27:1 | 143:12 169:22 |
| 216:21 217:5,7 | 188:2 189:2 | 98:19 106:12 | 30:5 33:9 | 178:3,22 |
| 217:11,16,20 | 197:21 208:4 | 112:4,4 115:12 | 34:21 | 182:4 189:24 |
| pain 21:3 | particulars 71:11 | 115:13,13 | period 21:5 | 210:4 211:8,11 |
| pairs 183:18 | parties 214:11,14 | 116:12,17 117:3 | 23:10 34:13 | 212:3 |
| Pamela 124:14 | pass 187:8 | 118:5 120:3 | 165:22,25 | personnel 184:7 |
| 194:7 | passage 187:2 | 126:11 127:5,9 | 179:15 | 184:8,9 |
| paper 151:13 | 187:15 | 127:11,15,20 | periods 34:12 | perspective |
| paperwork | pay 3:3 34:12,13 | 128:5,7,22 | 179:14 | 84:17 128:19 |
| 65:25 105:2 | 36:13 49:9 | 128.5,7,22 | perjury 216:10 | persuasive |
| paragraph | 168:4 201:15 | 133:24 148:2 | permanently | 49:13 |
| 203:19,20 | 201:24 | 150:25 151:9 | 61:21 | pertaining |
| 204:2,6,7,14 | payments 49:12 | 150.23 151.5 | permissive | 130:2 |
| 204:25 | peg 157:8 | 152:23 153:12 | 186:15,18,22 | pester 34:10 |
| paralegals 15:17 | penalty 142:13 | 155:10,15 | permitted | petition 2:19 |
| 15:18 | 216:10 | 158:7 159:7,11 | 114:24 | 136:13 |
| pardon 160:10 | pending 4:16 | 161:13 162:23 | perpetuity | Petsch 1:11 2:3 |
| 162:22 163:1 | pens 184:22 | 164:15 165:4 | 142:19 | 4:9 6:13 7:7,12 |
| 166:17 179:10 | people 13:6,7 | 166:6,9 167:10 | person 13:2,9 | 9:13 58:19,22 |
| parent 106:25 | 19:6,8,9,14,15 | 168:17,19,22 | 23:15,20,24 | 88:21 123:5 |
| 168:4 | 19:16,17 20:4 | 168:25 169:2 | 24:5,7 28:4 | 124:4,24 |
| parent/guardi | 20:9,21 21:1 | 170:4,24,25 | 33:22 48:10 | 129:21 136:2 |
| 106:20 | 21:20 23:5,17 | 173:8 174:8,11 | 60:24 66:17 | 137:5 139:22 |
| parole 100:23 | 23:23 24:17 | 174:16,17 175:1 | 66:17 70:21 | 143:24 199:22 |
| part 10:7,9 11:11 | 24:22 25:4 | 174.16,17 175.1 | 70:22 94:4 | 201:6 203:1 |
| 17:21 23:1 | | 180:8,19 | 96:4 97:15 | 215:13 216:5 |
| 24:13 29:18,19 | 26:8,13,19 27:14 28:24 | 184:15,19 | 98:8,9 100:22 | 216:12 217:1 |
| 30:4,18 37:7 | 30:1 31:2 34:11 | 184:15,19 187:5 192:18 | 103:13 106:14 | phone 61:15 |
| 48:6 63:20 | 34:15 35:4 | 196:18,20 | 126:20 128:11 | 77:25 |
| 65:15 83:8 | 37:8,21 38:5 | 196:18,20 | 129:4 140:25 | |
| 103:17 111:11 | 38:12,13,16,21 | 202:15 206:3 | 153:25 161:4 | phones 18:24 208:9 |
| 120:3 121:10 | 39:8 40:16 | | 161:13 168:20 | |
| 121:10 130:12 | | 206:8 207:21 | | phonetic 76:14 |
| 151:12 187:4 | 41:22 43:17 | 208:8,10,12,17 | 172:13 176:9 | Photos 99:19 |
| 151,12 107,7 | 45:12 47:20 | people's 21:19 | 177:22 | physically |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 79 of 93

| pick 50:10 | 85:14 94:8 | pools 38:8 | 203:22 205:2 | 98:11 111:20 |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|
| picked 51:14 | please 6:22 7:6 | poor 154:3 | 205:7,22 | 166:22 187:18 |
| 95:20 | 44:13 89:15 | 207:12 | 208:17 | presentation |
| pictures 99:18 | 203:5 215:12 | pop 12:7 | power 36:15 | 196:13 |
| 99:21 | 215:15,17 | popping 92:12 | practice 19:15 | presentations |
| pills 149:25 | pleasure 21:14 | pornography | 25:17,23,23 | 197:7 |
| place 31:14 | pled 65:3 93:10 | 83:7 | 83:20 119:7 | presentence |
| 104:5 136:24 | 103:9 174:14 | position 13:5 | 164:4 176:11 | 100:15 |
| 162:5 192:3 | 211:22 | 20:18 23:18 | 190:23 | presiding 29:13 |
| 202:5 | plenty 115:3 | 34:9 180:17 | practiced | 55:9 |
| placed 95:22 | plus 31:25 | positions 20:23 | 190:23 | press 150:17 |
| 95:23 125:16 | point 33:2 | 22:10,12,13,16 | practicing 14:20 | pressure 80:15 |
| places 67:24 | 44:19 46:8,20 | 22:17 23:19 | 15:1 | 116:15 |
| 116:20 147:4 | 47:8,10,23 | 27:7 163:12,21 | pre 48:21 | pressuring 87:5 |
| 152:12 155:10 | 50:25 53:12 | positive 80:3 | predictable | presumably |
| plain 189:6 | 55:7,9 56:2,9 | possession | 31:10,13 | 193:6,7 |
| Plaintiff's 193:13 | 58:13 60:22 | 131:20 149:21 | prediction 85:7 | presume 65:4 |
| 193:24 194:11 | 66:5 81:18 | possibility | prefer 27:19 | 175:9 |
| 207:1 | 86:24 102:16 | 66:25 72:25 | preferred 96:17 | presumed |
| plaintiffs 1:4,12 | 108:18 111:16 | possible 20:22 | preindictment | 205:23 |
| 4:4,18,19 5:3 | 118:11 134:18 | 27:4 34:20 | 48:21,22,23 | presuming |
| 6:2,25 7:9,17 | 138:16 146:5 | 50:16 72:17 | prelim 46:17,18 | 92:23 164:14 |
| 192:23 | 169:5 170:24 | 78:8 183:8 | 46:22,24 47:4 | presumption |
| plan 18:12,13 | 194:18 202:17 | possibly 56:23 | 47:14,22 48:5 | 203:23 205:3 |
| 77:1 | pointing 73:16 | post 20:19 | 48:8,9 49:4,21 | 205:8,14,15 |
| planned 75:17 | pol 59:24 | post-conviction | 51:9 57:8,14 | pretrial 48:19 |
| plant 174:24 | police 68:16 | 14:15 | 85:19,21 86:16 | 50:9,10 80:22 |
| Platte 11:18 | 80:2,3,3,6 | post-litigation | 148:6,8 162:19 | 80:25 81:14 |
| play 15:21 33:8 | 88:16 99:11,13 | 90:25 | 175:18,24 | 82:1 |
| 97:20 100:18 | 175:22 176:8 | post-traumatic | prelimed 162:13 | pretty 7:20 |
| 159:24 | policies 39:4 | 116:22 | preliminary | 37:13,13 48:13 |
| played 65:17 | 59:4,5 60:9,11 | posted 89:6 | 46:10,13 57:3 | 53:21 76:15 |
| plea 47:18 85:14 | 60:16 89:2 | 204:11 | 57:9 73:11 | 79:9 87:18 |
| 85:23 86:4 | 91:18 162:5 | postpone 55:13 | prep 70:11 | 89:16 103:25 |
| 88:2,4,10 93:7 | 168:13,14 | postponement | 110:16 | 106:8 107:2 |
| 93:13,17 101:18 | 172:14,18 | 55:10 126:8,9 | preparation | 160:22 162:7 |
| 103:1,3,6,15,17 | 210:7 | 126:21,22 | 66:19 70:24 | 163:15,18 |
| 132:15 158:14 | policy 2:12 37:1 | 153:6 | 150:9 | 167:4 172:5 |
| plead 50:17 | 37:7,10 59:21 | potential 99:11 | prepare 8:23 | 178:10 186:6 |
| 74:6 85:16 | 60:6,7,19 87:9 | 177:16 | 8:25 83:25 | 187:21 |
| 87:5,10,20 | 88:14 168:17 | potentially | 84:3 85:1,5 | prevalent 77:4 |
| 173:16 174:7,17 | 171:19,22 172:1 | 41:23,24 52:7 | 108:8,14 110:7 | primary 165:5,7 |
| 174:20 | 172:4,9,9,10 | 147:19 187:14 | prepared 70:15 | 165:9 |
| pleading 88:14 | 173:7,8,11 | potted 174:23 | prepared 70:13 | print 198:6 |
| 91:5 174:11 | 174:10 | potted 174.23 | present 6:22 | print 138.0 printed 45:14 |
| pleads 101:16 | pool 36:7,18 | 153:11,22 | 57:3,5,20,24 | prior 10:21 11:3 |
| pleas 49:5 | 38:9 | 154:25 158:6 | 58:7 69:6 | 12:13 53:19 |
| picus +3.5 | 30.3 | 154.25 150.0 | 30.7 03.0 | 12.15 55.15 |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 80 of 93

Fax: 314.644.1334

| 88:10 97:12 | 31:12,24 32:5 | 189:5 | prove 73:10 | 103:10 111:8,10 |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| 114:23 190:3 | 44:6 54:5 | program 111:15 | provide 43:1 | 115:9 120:24 |
| 215:18 | 100:23 103:4 | 111:19 131:7,10 | 63:22 117:17 | 177:7 181:9,9 |
| prioritized | 103:10 134:23 | 131:22 132:2 | 131:17 145:12 | 181:17 188:14 |
| 128:23 | 136:24 142:17 | 133:18 | 188:8 196:8 | 202:13 |
| priors 46:5 | 144:16,18 | prohibition 2:17 | provided 75:21 | putting 106:12 |
| prison 184:19 | 145:8 158:23 | 2:20 125:4 | 76:4 104:20 | 115:10,10 |
| private 19:15 | 168:1 175:4 | promise 3:3 | 191:16,20 | 128:20 |
| 96:17,23 97:6 | problem 53:7 | 201:15,24 | 210:14 | 120.20 |
| 98:5,8,15,24 | 62:11 166:24 | , | psych 97:11 | Q |
| 107:25 129:16 | 174:13 | promote 26:16 128:4 | ' <i>'</i> | qualified 53:21 |
| | | | psychiatric | 77:2 160:23 |
| 148:5,7,8 | problems 114:13 | promoted 25:16 | 96:6 | qualifies 159:17 |
| 157:18 158:9 | 114:14 185:4 | 26:18 27:4 | public 4:14 5:15 | qualify 15:25 |
| 158:25 159:11 | procedural | 28:24 34:18 | 6:5 9:15,25 | 47:20 153:2 |
| 160:4 164:4,8 | 56:25 | promoting | 10:3,3,22 | 154:4,13 160:1 |
| 203:24 | procedure | 28:23 | 15:25 46:20 | 209:14 |
| 205:10 206:4 | 44:18,18 171:19 | promotion | 51:1,9,10 53:18 | |
| 207:19,21 | procedures | 26:21 | 58:7 84:12 | qualifying 61:12 160:20 |
| 210:12,22 | 59:5 60:9,11 | promotions | 100:18 107:22 | |
| privilege 62:5 | 60:17 89:2 | 28:25 29:18 | 107:24 108:4 | quality 34:23 |
| 81:11 89:19 | 91:18 | 29:19 33:24 | 111:25 114:9 | quantify 64:15 |
| pro 107:11,13 | proceed 173:23 | prompt 54:14 | 116:4,9 126:1 | 72:4 |
| 112:4 174:20 | 174:2 185:10 | promptly 54:13 | 147:8 149:12 | quashed 139:16 |
| probably 12:16 | 189:21 | promptness | 150:20 152:5 | 139:17 |
| 18:7 28:12,13 | proceeding | 54:12 | 156:17 157:25 | question 8:5,8 |
| 29:5 32:12 | 53:2 | promulgate | 158:4 159:17 | 8:9,9,15,19,21 |
| 37:16 39:9 | proceedings | 171:20 | 160:7 165:18 | 41:9 58:24 |
| 40:13 58:6 | 57:13 108:8 | promulgates | 166:17 188:13 | 67:12 77:8 |
| 63:7 68:17 | 111:4 209:25 | 200:12 | 191:14 200:1 | 95:11 101:5 |
| 70:17 71:13 | process 15:13 | property 153:17 | 201:14 204:9 | 117:13 147:16 |
| 78:11 85:20 | 18:4 29:19 | prosecuted | 205:18 | 147:21 157:12 |
| 86:17,18 95:2 | 47:18 53:13 | 148:22 150:6 | 208:22 | 158:21 165:1 |
| 95:18,21 | 79:10 97:20 | prosecutor 46:1 | 209:13 215:7 | 169:21 170:9 |
| 104:23 110:2 | 97:20 98:3 | 47:25 49:14 | 215:16 216:18 | 172:19 207:25 |
| 111:24 115:11 | 100:19 101:1 | 89:23 161:3 | pull 162:8 | 208:1 212:12 |
| 127:3 130:23 | 134:6 152:17 | prosecutor's | pulled 193:10 | question/ans |
| 142:18,24,24 | 153:20 161:7,11 | 66:14 88:3 | pulling 119:2 | 20:8 |
| 148:12,20 | 162:4 185:12 | 92:23 147:3 | 177:21 | questioning |
| 160:2 170:25 | 185:15 187:13 | 148:21 190:25 | punt 170:5 | 101:1 210:7 |
| 171:3,20 | 194:2 | prosecutors | purely 70:8 | questions 7:21 |
| 172:13,14,21 | produce 65:18 | 51:11 86:7 | pursue 74:12 | 7:22 8:4,13 |
| 176:5 189:10 | 65:19 66:15 | 87:14 92:13 | purview 148:19 | 39:22 44:17 |
| 190:3 192:1 | produced 4:9 | 146:17 183:7 | push 38:14 73:7 | 45:20,21 |
| 207:19 208:14 | 7:8 175:12 | protect 89:18 | pushing 66:1 | 55:17 57:1 |
| 210:13 | professional | 91:12 | put 12:19 45:12 | 102:13,14 |
| probation 13:25 | 2:15 10:21,23 | protection | 45:19,20 50:7 | 120:24 132:14 |
| 29:7 31:6,8,9 | 61:23,25 | 175:5 | 55:10 89:1 | 133:12 146:4 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 156:11 172:22 | 213:14 215:15 | reasonable 41:7 | 8:17 24:19 | 70:14 79:9 |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| 198:18 206:25 | 216:6 217:5,8 | 54:12 163:24 | 52:16 58:14,16 | 132:11,14 |
| 209:18,18 | 217:12,17,21 | 164:13,14,16 | 58:18 85:22 | 199:17 200:10 |
| quickly 139:3,14 | reading 19:24 | reasons 77:13 | 89:25 91:14 | reject 128:4 |
| quit 114:17,20 | 89:25 114:4 | 77:16 79:23 | 95:4,7,9 | 156:20 157:1 |
| quite 91:20 | 189:6 | 88:13 104:10 | 122:25 123:2 | 158:8 159:25 |
| 134:13 143:13 | ready 211:1 | reassign 21:8 | 123:4 135:10 | rejected 157:4 |
| 146:8 152:2 | real 24:15 31:11 | reassigned | 135:13 145:18 | 158:9 192:12 |
| | 118:23 128:15 | 132:3 | 145:20,21,22 | rejection |
| R | Realistically | reassigning | 191:9 198:10 | 154:20 |
| R 5:1 | 51:21 | 142:25 | 198:12,14 | related 92:6 |
| Ramsey 2:5,7 | realize 71:25 | recall 77:12,14 | 199:6 213:13 | 100:2 156:5 |
| 5:10 7:3,3 | 72:18 79:21 | 77:16 89:20 | recorded 6:12 | 157:13 214:10 |
| 145:18,24 | 204:2 | 92:7 99:24 | records 49:13 | relates 121:24 |
| 146:2 152:13 | really 20:25,25 | 101:13 136:20 | 90:10,18 91:13 | relationship |
| 192:15,21 | 21:13 28:4 | 179:6 182:10 | 143:2 146:17 | 37:14 62:12 |
| 195:21 197:24 | 31:15 38:7,21 | 182:10,11 | 146:18 | 63:6 81:24 |
| 198:19 206:18 | 38:21 39:2,3,4 | 207:4 | red 114:10 | 172:6,25 |
| 206:21,24 | 40:7 43:1 | recalling 183:21 | reduced 214:8 | 175:22 210:9 |
| 208:18 | 45:15,23 47:5 | receive 23:13 | reductions | relative 214:12 |
| ran 39:20 | 50:3 51:25,25 | 78:18 143:12 | 51:25 | relatively 22:19 |
| rare 51:13 61:24 | 52:9,18,22 | 154:1 155:1 | refer 10:10 | relaxation |
| 171:2 | 60:24 64:6,19 | 164:25 193:2 | 32:14 34:3 | 166:8 |
| rarely 99:17 | 64:19 67:8 | received 94:12 | referenced | relentless |
| rash 206:7 | 70:19 76:5,17 | 140:19 | 25:12 | 116:15 |
| rate 19:4,19 | 78:11,22 84:18 | receives 140:25 | references | relevant 7:21 |
| 21:25 79:1 | 85:12 90:23 | receiving 30:11 | 19:25 | 158:4 188:6 |
| 154:20 157:9 | 90:24 94:10 | 149:9 193:1,4 | referred 137:9 | relief 120:7 |
| 162:23 163:10 | 115:1 119:6 | 204:9 205:17 | 141:10 | 188:8 |
| 177:7,11,12 | 121:4 131:8 | recess 58:17 | referring 136:5 | relieved 133:19 |
| ratio 108:13 | 136:24 142:2 | 95:8 123:3 | 201:19 | remain 52:24 |
| reach 27:5 | 142:3 144:5 | 198:13 | refuse 67:10 | 90:15 |
| 151:16 | 145:4 148:3 | recite 187:21 | 114:25 | remember 7:23 |
| reached 164:8 | 149:18 152:6,6 | 200:9 | refused 67:9 | 19:23 99:23 |
| reaches 158:5 | 152:21 153:7 | recognize 59:1 | refusing 122:1,5 | 105:15 106:11 |
| 195:14 | 174:8,21 175:1 | 88:23 123:9 | 197:13 | 109:2 150:7 |
| reaching 172:23 | 175:4,6 176:11 | 124:7 129:24 | regard 128:17 | 163:25 181:6 |
| 190:4 | 179:4 182:3 | recollect 180:12 | 151:17 | 181:22 182:3,3 |
| reacted 90:7 | 183:7 188:8 | recollection | regarding 89:12 | 193:1,4 212:6 |
| reaction 116:3,6 | 194:25 204:3 | 181:21 194:9 | 145:11 | remembering |
| 147:6 | 206:14 209:3 | recommend | regardless | 195:2 |
| read 9:2 89:13 | rear 191:8 | 68:20 177:21 | 107:3 | remove 126:20 |
| 92:3 124:1 | reason 56:12 | recommenda | region 75:12 | 129:12 |
| 175:21 202:1 | 96:2 134:2 | 46:6 | regional 140:8 | render 216:8 |
| 202:18,20,25 | 144:12 190:5 | recommended | regular 195:17 | reopened 38:10 |
| 203:20 204:3 | 217:6,10,14,18 | 45:13 | regularly 35:6 | repair 63:7 |
| 204:18 205:1 | 217:22 | record 6:10 8:16 | 62:12 70:6,7 | repeat 114:19 |
| | | | 522 , 5.0,, | |
| | | | | |

| rephrase 8:9 | 175:20 | residual 13:8 | result 115:17,18 | 83:5 90:15,15 |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 14:18 53:10,12 | representing | resign 115:16 | 137:16 202:10 | 90:16 92:18 |
| 80:24 97:13 | 51:18 109:8,19 | resigned 19:9 | resulted 95:16 | 101:3,10 102:2 |
| replaced 19:9 | 144:6 145:4 | 115:18,21 | results 82:18 | 103:2,24 105:1 |
| 162:24 | 160:20 161:9 | resolved 116:12 | 98:25 | 107:23 108:1 |
| report 68:16 | 174:12 210:2 | resource 31:15 | resume 103:1 | 112:23 113:16 |
| 80:2 100:15 | request 17:13 | 31:17 75:17 | resumes 19:24 | 121:25 125:18 |
| 100:22 101:9 | 30:6 35:23 | 77:16 94:11 | retain 74:15 | 126:22 127:10 |
| 108:16 119:12 | 46:20 47:22 | 125:25 132:12 | retained 73:19 | 130:4 132:6 |
| reporter 4:14 | 48:6 50:1 71:5 | 132:21 182:9 | retainers 95:22 | 133:7,16 |
| 5:21 7:5 8:12 | 71:6,12 76:2 | resources 17:2 | 95:23 | 134:24 140:18 |
| 58:12 78:15 | 77:12 78:19,21 | 17:20,25 24:4 | retaining | 141:8,23 |
| 205:5 214:1,4 | 78:23,24 94:1 | 69:15 74:23 | 212:22 | 142:14,21,23 |
| reporter's 6:19 | 96:15 97:8 | 74:24 78:7 | return 33:5 | 143:16 144:2 |
| reporters 52:17 | 168:25 177:6 | 82:2 83:24 | 215:17 | 144:24 145:1,3 |
| 73:23 78:9 | 177:6,9,10,14 | 84:3,10 93:6 | Returning | 145:8,16 146:11 |
| reports 29:22 | 185:6,13,24 | 94:22 110:1,3 | 176:24 | 147:15 153:5 |
| 75:13 108:14 | 192:8 211:6,8 | 110:18 112:1 | Reverse 37:12 | 154:3 157:20 |
| 175:22 176:8 | 211:11,13,19 | 125:18,20 | review 25:19,24 | 161:2,12 |
| represent 7:16 | 212:2,4 | 133:8 153:15 | 29:15,21 | 165:19 167:12 |
| 97:15 103:13 | requested | 154:15 | 33:23 43:17 | 168:12 171:9 |
| 112:5 113:3 | 68:18 117:23 | respect 61:7 | 110:23,23 | 173:2 175:14 |
| 129:17 136:23 | Requesting | 91:1 95:17 | 111:19 123:11 | 182:17 187:19 |
| 142:15,18 | 2:21 | respond 54:14 | 202:23 | 192:14,20 |
| 146:3 148:24 | requests 16:10 | 90:19 116:23 | reviewed 25:20 | 193:5 194:15 |
| 149:2 150:19 | 17:21 29:4,4,6 | 119:24 138:7 | 123:18 | 199:3 201:8 |
| 157:25 206:3 | 35:25 41:13 | responded | reviewing | 202:14 |
| 206:15 | 46:24 54:14 | 141:19 | 69:23 124:11 | 205:20 206:1 |
| representation | 71:9 73:8 74:4 | response 8:6 | reviews 25:24 | 207:16 209:15 |
| 31:19 55:13 | 77:15,18 78:2 | 66:12 118:21 | 43:15 54:10 | 212:17 213:7,11 |
| 62:6 63:22 | 79:1 138:13 | 122:22 134:14 | revocation 14:1 | rights 53:1 |
| 70:2 74:17 | 170:11,23 171:1 | 136:10,13 | 158:23 | 90:14,24 |
| 81:12 84:24 | 212:9 213:5,9 | 139:15 140:20 | ridiculous 41:25 | rise 205:3,8 |
| 102:16 103:15 | require 31:10 | 140:23 141:13 | right 10:10 13:23 | risk 45:18,22 |
| 132:9,20 | 109:9 | 143:17 | 15:10,11 16:2,3 | 148:11 |
| 143:3,8 144:21 | required 7:22 | responses | 16:24 19:25 | rob 148:16 |
| 145:12 147:5 | 8:5 11:25 25:7 | 66:13 120:17 | 22:7 23:3 | robberies |
| 154:1 155:1 | 57:11 69:17 | responsibilities | 24:9 33:15 | 149:20 |
| 158:6 159:18 | requirement | 28:20 | 34:5 40:22 | robbery 183:12 |
| 161:13 167:11 | 30:9 61:6,7 | Responsibility | 41:21 42:8,9 | role 15:21 33:8 |
| 172:16 173:14 | 65:24,25 | 2:15 | 43:4,20,23 | 34:16 96:24 |
| 175:9,15 176:6 | 132:18 | responsible | 44:6 49:1 | 97:19 100:19 |
| 176:15 190:20 | requirements | 103:23 105:2 | 52:24 53:11 | room 51:1,10 |
| represented | 27:23 56:5 | 105:3 | 56:3,9,21 | 61:22,25 98:11 |
| 12:6 111:15 | requires 20:6,7 | rest 143:5 | 57:15,18 58:4 | 103:16 118:8 |
| 136:19 144:16 | research 177:17 | restitution 49:9 | 60:5 63:24 | rooms 61:20 |
| 151:7 159:2 | reserved 6:7 | 49:12 | 72:2,5 73:10 | rotated 13:1,6 |
| | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 83 of 93

| rough 70:18 | Ryan 5:20 6:20 | 68:9 | 34:16 35:19 | 43:25 73:18 |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| roughly 43:11 | | scenes 67:17 | 38:16 47:6 | 88:15,17 108:6 |
| 43:25 127:20 | S | schedule 45:10 | 59:20 64:7 | 108:9 109:3 |
| rounds 20:20 | S 2:10 3:1 4:13 | 109:24 134:10 | 69:13 73:25 | 116:3 119:9 |
| route 80:20 | 5:1,21 6:4 | scheduled 28:8 | 74:3 87:16 | 127:19,23 |
| 138:24 169:18 | 214:3,18 | schedules 21:9 | 96:11,12 129:8 | 131:7 132:22 |
| 210:19 | 215:22 | 21:19 | 142:3 147:18 | 141:2 146:11 |
| routinely | sadly 101:6 | school 11:1,1,3,7 | 147:24 158:18 | 148:10 153:13 |
| 103:25 150:5 | Saint 10:24 | 23:3 | 201:23 | 154:19 163:3 |
| RPR 5:21 6:4 | 130:18 163:8 | scramble 72:19 | seeing 30:9 | 163:14,21 |
| 214:18 215:22 | 163:10 195:1 | screen 15:22 | 31:22 35:5,6 | 165:13 [°] 170:8 |
| rule 53:23,25 | salary 27:24 | 16:6 46:21 | 62:11,23 63:1 | 171:5 176:12 |
| 54:1,1,22 55:1 | Salvation 75:6 | 53:18,19 | 81:23 | 179:2 191:23 |
| 56:5,14,18 | sample 196:17 | 55:23 126:11 | seek 173:1,22 | 191:25 200:6 |
| 96:22 114:2 | sanctions 71:10 | 152:22,23 | seen 50:22 | 208:6 |
| 121:3 124:22 | saving 170:20 | 159:11 172:13 | 64:5 124:8 | sensical 176:11 |
| 134:25 135:12 | saw 110:2,3 | screened | 149:9,13 | sent 12:7 33:18 |
| 135:21,25 | 151:13 193:6 | 126:13 156:1 | 166:16 168:24 | 47:19 59:9,12 |
| 138:4,22 | saying 47:9 | screening | 170:4 193:7 | 60:6,13 92:2 |
| 140:11 150:17 | 56:4,10 63:10 | 55:21 153:20 | 200:23 203:7 | 105:2 117:1 |
| 151:17 154:25 | 63:11 77:10 | 159:7 | segue 104:15 | 123:23 124:2 |
| 159:17 172:17 | 96:21 102:13 | screwing 185:15 | select 111:12 | 131:3,24 135:2 |
| 188:6,9 189:9 | 103:5 106:11 | se 174:20 | 180:4,4 | 141:1 142:8,8 |
| 189:18 191:2 | 108:4 114:11 | search 153:16 | selection 134:6 | 143:12 155:9 |
| 196:14 210:12 | 115:23,25 | 153:17 165:9 | selfreporting | 176:3 181:24 |
| 210:13 | 135:3,22 | 165:10,12,14 | 143:18 | 189:23 193:3 |
| rules 2:15 54:6 | 136:8 143:24 | searched 165:11 | self-care 166:12 | 193:4 194:18 |
| 55:11 71:7 | 158:10 163:25 | second 12:15 | self-harm 115:13 | 195:9 207:3,7 |
| 167:6 189:5 | 174:11,22 | 24:15 30:2,21 | self-inflicted | 207:14 |
| 199:17 210:10 | 182:5,6 186:3 | 30:21,23 51:14 | 190:19 | sentence 202:1 |
| run 32:6 33:13 | 188:13 191:9 | 59:17 67:23 | self-monitoring | sentenced |
| 38:12 112:3 | 212:15 | 70:22 95:5 | 154:7 | 90:21 |
| 150:25 153:16 | says 7:9 47:21 | 97:6 105:4 | self-report | sentencing |
| 153:17 183:25 | 55:1 65:19 | 107:20 113:9 | 140:4,7 | 75:7 84:6,8,8 |
| 184:3 186:1 | 68:19 89:16 | 137:20 138:8 | self-reported | 84:11,25 85:1 |
| running 162:11 | 103:10 115:25 | 156:19 176:1 | 139:3 | 85:8,11 100:22 |
| runs 147:3 | 118:16 128:3 | 197:16 203:19 | self-reporting | 101:8 102:22 |
| rural 38:4,6 | 135:1 153:15 | 203:20 204:4 | 153:7 154:9 | sentencings |
| 39:14 148:1 | 153:25 156:2 | 204:5,7 | send 33:15 48:7 | 84:3 |
| 153:11 163:12 | 174:5,17 187:11 | 207:25 | 50:4 86:14 | sentiments |
| 176:17 | 189:3,14 | secret 153:1 | 91:19 105:22 | 123:19 124:18 |
| rush 98:19 | 204:20 | 202:24 | 170:23 171:25 | separate 37:22 |
| Ruth 1:11 2:3 4:9 | scale 122:12 | section 36:12 | 185:1,5 190:1 | 105:13 108:11 |
| 6:13 7:7 | 167:18 | 167:9 187:2 | sending 143:10 | 108:20 111:1 |
| 143:24 198:17 | Scathing 138:3 | 188:3 201:23 | sends 47:15 | 159:10 175:18 |
| 215:13 216:5 | scenario 158:21 | see 17:1 21:20 | 195:17 | 175:19,19,24 |
| 216:12 217:1 | scene 67:25 | 24:16 30:5,10 | sense 19:3 | 175:24,25 |
| | | ı | ı | 1 |

| 176:1 | 50:7 175:25 | show 16:11 51:15 | 160:7,10 | 72:7 |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| September 15:2 | 176:2 | 77:8 135:4 | six 20:19 21:5 | soonest 27:4 |
| 23:24 | setup 143:14,14 | 139:10 142:9 | 26:16,18 29:5 | sorry 48:22 |
| series 54:2 | seven 30:11 | 150:19 | 32:12 50:11 | 87:25 89:13 |
| serious 40:9 | 126:20 | showered | 54:20 109:22 | 109:3 117:14 |
| 83:8 86:12,16 | seven-day | 208:11 | 111:24 | 147:13 182:15 |
| 121:7 148:16 | 65:24 | showing 16:16 | size 61:23 163:5 | 195:5 198:24 |
| 164:17 165:7,8 | sex 48:2 83:2 | 208:16 | skill 167:23 | 205:6 |
| 175:15 | 86:17 | side 130:18,21 | skills 31:2 167:1 | sort 13:7 17:19 |
| serve 38:15 | shadowing | sign 35:22,23 | 167:2 | 20:3 24:12,16 |
| 66:10 | 24:7 | 43:1 76:12,13 | skipping 194:12 | 26:20 27:11 |
| served 9:19,23 | shadows 28:3 | 202:21 213:14 | sliding 167:18 | 28:8 38:17 |
| 127:7 | shake 164:10 | 215:16 | 167:23 | 39:11 40:8 |
| server 60:24 | share 99:2 | signature 6:6 | slightly 169:21 | 45:16 47:5 |
| servers 60:23 | shared 75:12 | 202:6 215:14 | slowed 163:15 | 49:3,4 60:15 |
| services 3:3 | sharing 99:1,1 | 215:16,17 | small 39:5 69:4 | 62:19 63:18 |
| 5:22 6:21 | She'll 119:13 | 216:21 217:24 | 171:15 184:12 | 66:8 69:2 |
| 110:17 201:15 | sheer 113:11 | significant 71:19 | 197:8 | 71:16 74:16 |
| 204:10 205:19 | sheet 45:14,25 | 133:19 166:23 | smaller 75:16 | 77:24 78:19 |
| 205:24 206:4 | 46:2 86:11 | 213:4,9 | snag 186:2 | 79:12 80:16 |
| 208:23 215:1 | 106:24 215:14 | significantly | sneak 66:4 | 81:20 90:16 |
| 215:18 | 215:16,17 217:1 | 134:11 | snookering | 93:24 94:7,25 |
| sessions 166:7 | sheets 45:14 | silent 52:24 | 206:9 | 97:1 106:16 |
| set 17:22 21:9 | Sherrer 195:4,6 | 90:15 | so-and-so | 112:24 116:9,11 |
| 29:12 31:9 | 195:7 | similar 42:14 | 70:20 | 116:14 120:16 |
| 45:6 46:16,23 | shift 166:23 | 91:1 123:22,25 | soap 188:20 | 121:2 122:22 |
| 46:24 47:23 | shifting 144:18 | 124:3,10 | social 15:15 | 125:18 129:1 |
| 48:2,9,14,24 | Shipma 2:6 | 182:12 | 74:21 75:8 | 134:9,16 |
| 49:19,25 50:2 | 5:15 7:1,1 95:4 | simply 213:5 | 108:12,14 | 144:21 149:4 |
| 50:12 52:19 | 137:14 192:13 | Sincerely | 110:17 | 150:1,23 152:7 |
| 54:11 57:8 | 198:3,5,9,16 | 215:20 | sole 107:11 | 153:7 154:16 |
| 61:19 66:17 | 205:12 206:17 | single 35:1 | solely 33:12 | 164:13 167:8 |
| 72:21,22 76:2 | 213:14 215:6,11 | 84:14 118:12 | 41:13 106:12 | 169:16 180:9 |
| 80:17 98:5 | 216:21 | 124:22 168:20 | solutions 191:12 | 183:14 187:13 |
| 127:17 130:11 | Shondel 1:3 4:3 | 176:6 182:20 | somebody 30:2 | 205:18 207:13 |
| 134:8 135:2,15 | 4:17 6:13 | 182:20 | 112:2 170:22 | sought 138:11 |
| 136:15 138:20 | 215:10 217:2 | single-count | 182:6 | southern 135:19 |
| 143:4 148:7 | Shook 151:11 | 183:5 | somebody's | Spanish 76:9 |
| sets 48:3 | 195:10 | sister 52:20 | 156:4 | 77:4 |
| setting 37:1 | shoot 148:2 | sit-down 94:18 | someone's | speak 8:13 |
| 46:16,17,23 | shootings 40:12 | sits 99:22 | 30:4 48:17 | 90:19 91:14 |
| 47:4,15 48:15 | shorter 29:1 | sitting 24:11 31:1 | 61:13 174:4 | 94:6,15 96:25 |
| 57:14 65:21 | shorthand 6:4 | 154:19 | somewhat | speaker 25:3 |
| settings 21:11 | shortly 20:14 | situation 134:19 | 70:23 71:12 | speaking 9:5 |
| settle 50:2,6 | 118:19 119:25 | 141:4 | 120:11 | 37:18 39:23 |
| settlement | 195:25 | situations 62:6 | soon 134:9 | 69:14 113:2 |
| 48:25 49:1,25 | shot 54:17 | 93:16 102:6,10 | sooner 34:14 | 162:22 186:23 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | ī | • | ī | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| special 180:11 | staff 13:12 15:11 | state 1:6 4:6,15 | 146:2 | submitted 46:2 |
| specialize | 15:14,15 18:9 | 4:18 5:8,10,15 | Steven.Rams | subpoena |
| 104:17 106:9 | 18:18 19:22 | 6:14 7:3 9:15 | 5:12 | 169:3 |
| specialized | 21:1 22:17 | 10:22 25:4 | sticker 201:9 | subpoenaing |
| 74:2 109:9 | 40:18 41:24 | 47:2,15 57:16 | Stinson 151:10 | 84:18 |
| specific 31:2 | 42:18 50:18 | 65:18,19 66:6 | STIPULATED | subscribe 216:9 |
| 104:7,9,25 | 50:23 51:22 | 71:5,17 85:19 | 6:1 | substance |
| 111:1 112:12 | 74:21 75:11 | 88:18 97:5 | stone's 41:10 | 216:8 |
| 117:17,22 | 91:16 92:8,9 | 104:7 131:9 | stood 156:5 | substantive |
| 118:19 151:21 | 104:21 107:12 | 146:3 163:8 | stop 55:2 63:11 | 43:13 |
| 179:22,22 | 109:18 182:4 | 214:4 215:7,10 | 122:23 190:17 | succeed 173:9 |
| 180:1,6,10 | 193:9 | 216:1 217:2 | stopped 63:21 | successful |
| 181:20 192:17 | staffed 15:12 | statement 67:3 | stopping 58:12 | 25:17,22 27:1 |
| specifically | 52:3,3 | statements | store 68:23 | 38:11 210:24 |
| 78:2 94:17 | staffings 77:23 | 67:4 | straight 11:6 | sufficient 67:5 |
| 124:21 130:16 | stage 88:8 | states 1:1 4:1,16 | straightforward | sufficiently 70:4 |
| 162:22 181:17 | 129:15 195:9 | 6:15 89:8 | 7:20 | suggest 182:13 |
| specificity | stamps 149:4 | 164:5 | strange 11:12 | 196:8 |
| 139:18 | stand 210:6 | statewide 22:10 | strategically | suggestions |
| specifics 62:4 | standalone | 25:5,8 38:1 | 66:6 | 2:16,20 125:4 |
| 70:1 81:10 | 82:25 | 60:10,17,23 | stream 20:9 | 137:4 178:11 |
| speed 54:16,19 | standard 27:2 | 94:16 104:22 | street 4:12 5:5 | 189:25 190:14 |
| spend 23:10 | 188:10 199:18 | 104:23 166:4 | 5:11,23 68:23 | suing 151:18 |
| 36:19 43:12 | 199:20 | 166:25 170:22 | 215:2 | Suite 4:12 5:16 |
| 85:15 90:17 | standardly | 173:6,7,9 | stress 116:22 | 215:8 |
| 151:24 211:22 | 48:14 | 192:16 | strictly 18:23 | summer 23:16 |
| spending 12:12 | standards 49:18 | status 95:17 | 19:2 | 23:17 76:19 |
| 185:21 | 175:7 189:4,17 | statute 187:20 | strike 20:16 | Superior 89:8 |
| spent 179:5 | 199:13,15 | 188:7,22,24 | 212:20 | supervise 27:14 |
| splatter 54:18 | standing 174:13 | 189:16,22 | strong 158:16 | 75:10 103:11 |
| split 31:16,24 | stands 101:12 | stay 52:20 | structure 16:8 | supervised |
| 94:25 | 198:25 | 114:15 185:19 | 17:22 41:11,14 | 38:3 39:17,18 |
| spoke 76:19 | staples 35:23 | 210:23 | 41:15,17 | 169:10 170:1 |
| spoken 88:9 | start 19:6 23:23 | staying 120:5 | struggle 76:5 | 191:19 |
| 107:25 | 26:4 48:22,23 | steal 148:3 | 91:3 | supervises |
| spot 20:24 | 66:1 167:1 | stealing 148:13 | struggled 117:7 | 33:15 |
| 22:6 55:11 | 211:9 | 148:22 149:2 | 117:8 | supervising |
| 79:11 | start-up 23:6 | 149:3,3 165:11 | stuck 152:7 | 29:22 69:22 |
| spots 38:7 | started 10:1 | 175:17 183:16 | student 76:18 | supervision |
| spotting 72:24 | 23:17,24 | stealings 14:7 | stuff 23:7 52:6 | 29:24 52:2 |
| spreadsheet | 55:15 60:20 | step 47:18 58:9 | 77:25 83:7 | 133:12 |
| 73:21 74:3 | 89:24 92:10 | 171:19 188:20 | 90:25 120:10 | supervisor |
| 94:2 129:9 | 107:16 126:19 | steps 88:4 | 150:24 167:4 | 33:17,19 75:10 |
| 185:2 | 139:2 149:19 | 93:20 101:18 | 180:8 196:14 | 114:17 116:1 |
| springs 86:1 | 149:21 175:14 | 118:19 134:16 | stunt 119:2 | 173:6 |
| stab 64:19 | 190:6 | 150:7 179:9 | submit 33:25 | supervisors |
| stack 163:3 | starts 26:6 | Steven 5:10 7:3 | 185:12,17 | 113:10 114:19 |
| | I | | I | ı |

| supervisory | 180:16 182:14 | 115:24 118:11 | 94:16 96:4 | tell 14:16 19:13 |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| 140:11 | 186:17 192:5 | 123:11 126:15 | 112:6,21 113:10 | 48:6 73:2 74:1 |
| supplemental | 194:17 195:18 | 126:15 128:2 | 113:16 119:11 | 76:20 78:22 |
| 184:2 185:6 | 195:21,23 | 134:18 138:15 | 120:17,18,19 | 78:24 85:18 |
| supplementary | 198:11 199:4 | 140:23 141:2 | 132:12 134:13 | 93:2 99:12 |
| 185:12,13 | 204:5 211:2 | 152:21 155:4,5 | 135:14 151:9,10 | 104:1,16 114:16 |
| supplies 36:12 | surrounding | 164:17,22 | 151:10,11 197:8 | 114:19 115:25 |
| 36:13 184:21 | 11:18,23 150:17 | 168:15 169:7,11 | 197:14 207:20 | 116:1 119:8 |
| support 2:16 | suspect 53:3 | 178:22 186:15 | talking 24:18,18 | 121:8 126:13 |
| 18:9,21,22 | suspected | 186:19 187:10 | 37:6 51:11,11 | 133:23 134:4 |
| 19:22 22:17 | 154:9 | 190:2,10 | 70:8,11,19 | 157:2 173:10 |
| 43:2 125:4 | suspects 99:10 | 203:11 210:19 | 71:17 73:3 | 199:25 201:13 |
| 137:4 | suspension | takeaway 89:11 | 86:8 117:2 | 203:4 |
| supported | 117:4 | taken 1:12 6:3 | 121:25 122:16 | telling 103:3 |
| 117:25,25 | swear 7:6 | 31:11 56:21 | 122:16 133:3 | 107:16 115:4,6 |
| supposed | Switching | 58:17 95:8 | 158:22 172:5 | tells 190:21 |
| 30:10 54:6,7 | 152:13 178:14 | 123:3 130:22 | 177:11 191:7 | 204:21 |
| 54:10 75:12 | sworn 4:10 7:8 | 131:2 159:19 | 192:13 194:9 | template 125:3 |
| 78:10 83:15 | 214:6 | 160:16 198:13 | 201:16 | 125:16,22 |
| 90:18 98:16 | system 12:3 | 214:7,12 | talks 177:9 | ten 70:17,17 |
| 120:11 158:13 | 22:9,10 37:25 | 215:13 217:4 | 196:14 | 155:15 207:19 |
| 159:9,10 | 38:1 67:14 | takes 66:18 | tampering | 209:17 |
| 175:21 177:5,7 | 125:21 130:10 | 98:17 140:24 | 175:17 | ten-day 65:25 |
| 177:9 196:21 | 149:13 154:7 | 162:1 | tangible 81:20 | tend 34:14 |
| 196:21,22 | 155:2 156:17 | talk 19:14 28:9,9 | tape 52:17 | 57:13 62:1 |
| suppress 100:5 | 158:5 160:8 | 28:19 29:25 | tapes 80:10 | 76:11 88:3,3 |
| suppressed | 161:1 165:3,15 | 30:20 39:20 | task 179:20 | 108:7 166:11,12 |
| 79:13 | 167:12 177:1 | 53:16 65:17 | tasks 178:25 | 192:11 |
| suppression | 178:20 181:6,7 | 68:21 69:5,12 | 180:2 | tends 84:20 |
| 79:7,11,17,22 | 191:15 206:9 | 71:22 72:10 | tax 153:17 | tenure 172:15 |
| 80:12,17 81:1 | systemwide | 73:6,15 84:15 | team 16:8,10 | term 138:2 |
| Supreme 118:16 | 170:9 | 87:12 90:5 | 17:4,5,7,8,10 | terms 36:19 |
| 121:13 135:20 | | 99:12,13 | 17:22,23 18:1 | 76:23 81:6 |
| 141:6 | T | 101:23 104:2 | 18:8,11,12 27:9 | 149:14 150:9 |
| sure 8:13,15 9:6 | T 2:10 3:1,1 | 105:8 109:25 | 27:11,16,24 | 152:14 159:24 |
| 20:13 21:6 | table 62:18 | 113:8 116:18 | 28:13 30:1,20 | 186:2 |
| 33:6 48:25 | tables 43:15 | 118:19 124:21 | 30:21 70:19,21 | terrify 94:7 |
| 55:19 60:18 | take 16:3 20:18 | 132:14,15 | 73:6 105:1 | territories 121:2 |
| 63:16 65:6 | 27:2 28:25 | 133:3 134:15 | 162:10 168:18 | testified 63:20 |
| 91:1 95:6 | 32:2 34:6 | 148:1 150:20 | team's 162:16 | 71:23,24 |
| 100:12 125:9 | 35:7 53:22 | 150:23,25 | teams 16:9 17:8 | 163:19 171:6 |
| 127:13 140:15 | 54:25 55:8,12 | 164:9 166:9 | 17:18,19,20 | 177:23 183:21 |
| 146:7 158:2 | 66:7 67:7 | 169:2,4 178:9 | 18:25 162:10 | 192:6 211:5 |
| 159:15 161:5 | 80:5 88:4 | 180:7 188:11 | 162:12,14 | 212:21,25 |
| 164:2 169:17 | 101:19 103:11 | talked 61:2 | technically | testify 90:12,16 |
| 169:18,19 | 109:23 114:17 | 63:9 70:20 | 75:11 | 135:12 |
| 173:13 177:2 | 114:20 115:5,14 | 73:1 85:13 | teeth 208:11 | testifying 7:25 |
| | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 87 of 93

| 150:7 | 138:18 141:1 | 88:12 89:25 | 181:13,13,19 | tied 27:22 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| testimony 7:24 | 148:3 149:4,18 | 91:6,9,11 92:16 | 182:5 183:7,10 | time 6:11 9:5,8 |
| 170:12 177:12 | 150:21 152:4 | 92:21,21 93:9 | 185:15 186:3 | 12:12 13:6 |
| 177:12 196:7 | 155:6 159:8 | 93:10,15 94:21 | 187:3 188:5,7 | 17:23 18:12 |
| 197:20 214:5 | 159:22,23 | 95:1,1,2 96:23 | 188:9,12,12,13 | 19:23 20:2,7 |
| 214:7 | 165:12 166:21 | 98:20 99:8 | 188:19 189:8 | 23:10 31:6,13 |
| testing 77:20 | 169:16 172:7 | 101:6,7,9,22 | 189:10,11,12 | 34:8 35:5 |
| 192:7 | 172:12 177:13 | 101:24 103:5 | 190:19,20 | 41:25 43:12 |
| tests 207:9 | 183:17,23 | 103:14 104:5 | 191:3 192:19 | 44:20 46:4,19 |
| thank 61:1 | 184:17 195:9 | 105:6,11,18 | 195:11 196:12 | 48:6 49:6,18 |
| 145:17 160:6 | 196:9,16,16,23 | 107:22 108:15 | 203:17 206:13 | 51:24 53:4 |
| 199:5,21 | 207:9,12 | 109:11 110:11,11 | 206:13 207:3 | 57:21 58:10 |
| 200:6 215:19 | 210:13 211:17 | 110:16 111:8,11 | 207:6,7 | 61:22 62:24 |
| theirselves | 212:11 | 112:3,16,21,25 | 210:22 211:10 | 63:12 64:9 |
| 174:12 | think 7:19 8:11 | 113:1 115:7,8 | thinking 37:7 | 65:13,16,16 |
| theory 38:19 | 10:17 13:20 | 116:7,10,25 | 63:16 | 66:5,18 67:4 |
| 70:25 | 14:17 20:25 | 117:2,2,22 | thinks 50:2 | 69:15 71:7 |
| thereon 216:9 | 22:12,21,22 | 118:9 120:13 | 89:17 | 73:24 74:13 |
| thereto 214:14 | 28:6,8,11,24 | 120:15 121:21 | third 59:17 | 75:11 80:5,13 |
| they'd 90:8 | 29:1 32:12 | 125:13,24 | 172:16 | 80:14 82:2 |
| 92:24 164:24 | 33:15 35:1 | 126:19 127:2,2 | thought 21:9 | 83:24 84:3,10 |
| thing 28:2 | 37:20,20,21 | 128:14 130:21 | 38:11 63:23 | 84:18,18 85:14 |
| 38:13 112:24 | 37:22 38:12 | 131:1,10,13,24 | 64:6 101:7 | 86:187:22 |
| 115:15 168:1,2 | 38:13,15 39:4 | 131:25 133:1,4 | 114:25 131:13 | 88:16 89:3,5 |
| 180:9 186:5 | 39:5,24 40:2 | 136:17 137:3 | 178:4,7 185:22 | 90:11,17 92:4 |
| 211:5 | 40:6,7,22 41:1 | 137:20,21 | thoughts 172:7 | 92:11 93:6,17 |
| things 8:11 9:6 | 41:15,15 42:2 | 138:2,21,21,24 | thousand 64:25 | 94:22 95:3 |
| 10:17 16:11 | 42:13 43:10 | 138:25 139:13 | threaten 115:13 | 96:12 104:4 |
| 18:13 21:12 | 48:11,13,13 | 140:9 142:16 | three 15:12 | 105:15 107:19 |
| 23:23 24:16 | 49:3 50:6 | 142:18 145:11 | 23:23 33:24 | 107:20 113:1 |
| 24:19 29:13,13 | 51:17,20 52:4 | 145:14,16 | 42:15 47:24 | 115:5 119:8 |
| 29:25 36:9 | 52:5,7 55:15 | 146:17 147:25 | 49:19 53:20 | 121:17,20,22 |
| 40:12 43:5 | 60:18,24 63:11 | 148:5 149:15 | 64:5 78:14 | 122:6 127:14 |
| 47:20 48:24 | 65:11,15 66:20 | 150:2 151:15 | 122:14 132:13 | 135:17 136:17 |
| 49:12,13 50:12 | 67:5,24 68:24 | 153:12 154:12 | 132:13 151:22 | 143:11 146:6 |
| · · | 69:16 70:6 | 153.12 154.12 | 157:18 172:14 | 148:24,25 |
| 52:1,7,11 62:16 68:2 74:16 | 71:11 72:3 | 160:1 163:8,10 | 190:6 196:9 | 149:12 151:24 |
| | | • | | |
| 75:1 78:1,12 | 73:13 74:10 | 163:14,14,20 | three-day 166:10 | 152:1,4,10,23 |
| 80:6 86:18 | 75:11 76:10 | 164:10,15,23 | | 155:11 156:14 |
| 90:8 91:21,23 | 77:7 78:23 | 165:11 166:3,6 | three-year 26:3 | 157:1 159:8 |
| 91:24,25 | 79:5,9,12,20 | 166:6 167:25 | threes 27:16 | 160:16 167:3 |
| 93:12 96:19 | 79:24 80:14 | 168:16 169:1 | thrilled 164:23 | 168:15,24 |
| 105:4,9 | 80:20 81:12 | 170:19 172:3 | throw 41:10 | 170:23 171:3 |
| 106:20 107:12 | 82:7,8 83:17 | 172:21 173:2,4 | Thursday | 172:18 173:11 |
| 114:7,12 116:12 | 84:8,11 85:7,8 | 174:7 176:16 | 127:15 135:2 | 173:16 177:1,3 |
| 116:16 117:23 | 85:11 86:2 | 177:23 179:5 | 136:16 | 178:2,11,25 |
| 119:3 122:10 | 87:17 88:5,6 | 179:22 181:11 | tie 144:21 | 179:6,8,13,17 |
| | | | • | • |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 88 of 93

| | | | | _ |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 180:13,15,19 | 189:24 190:21 | transfers 22:21 | 209:1 210:22 | 78:3 |
| 181:1,5,6,7,23 | total 133:15 | 22:22,23 | 215:18 | Turner 123:14,15 |
| 181:25 182:1 | 146:12 | 26:10,11 | trials 30:22 | turning 168:7 |
| 187:4 190:22 | touch 28:14 | travel 184:15,19 | 62:21 65:2,3 | 183:19 192:21 |
| 193:6 202:18 | 96:1 119:17 | tremendous | 82:13,14 105:4 | 193:12,24 |
| 209:20 211:4 | touched 54:9 | 16:12 20:2 | 195:10 | 194:11 |
| 211:18 | touches 28:12 | trend 147:17 | trick 159:13 | turnover 19:3,19 |
| time-consumi | tough 39:19 | 149:9 150:2 | 188:7 | 21:25 27:20 |
| 78:13 | 114:15 | trends 149:13 | tricky 153:11 | 28:23 32:2 |
| time-keeping | track 165:1 | 150:1 | tried 31:20 | 38:8 162:22 |
| 176:25 | 166:12 179:13 | triage 62:19 | 51:23 55:13 | 163:10 167:8 |
| timekeeping | 180:1,11 181:1 | 65:15 | 64:24 68:2 | tweaked 211:7 |
| 180:21,24 | 181:13,23,25 | trial 9:18 10:6 | 82:17,22 115:1 | twice 41:19 113:1 |
| timely 30:25 | 182:9 | 16:10 17:4,10 | 134:25 135:10 | 207:7 |
| 34:19 54:7 | tracked 179:21 | 20:4 21:10,11 | 150:5 173:6 | two 11:13 12:20 |
| 114:6 211:24 | 179:24 180:2 | 21:19 22:24 | tries 78:6 | 12:24 13:7 |
| times 23:17 | 181:8 182:1 | 23:1 25:23 | triggered 56:19 | 20:19,20,24 |
| 31:10 39:19 | tracking 177:1,2 | 26:1 27:6,9,11 | true 26:7 39:13 | 22:12,22 23:6 |
| 57:22 68:22 | 178:25 179:6 | 30:3,4,5,5 | 57:18 74:9 | 25:22 26:24 |
| 73:7 88:7 | 179:8,16 181:4 | 31:2,7,14,20 | 80:21 81:6 | 26:25 29:6 |
| 94:3 155:15 | 181:7 | 32:3,11,14,20 | 113:13 149:5 | 33:12 41:3,20 |
| 182:1 207:5 | tracks 94:16 | 32:21,22 33:2 | 153:13 204:11 | 42:15 44:21 |
| 209:15,18 | 156:15 166:5 | 35:24 39:21 | 213:8 216:8,10 | 53:15,16 73:1 |
| timing 48:8 | 166:14 | 47:22 50:4,10 | trust 62:13 63:8 | 82:8 85:25 |
| 60:19,25 66:8 | train 166:16 | 50:13 57:6,8 | 63:9 | 99:20 104:5 |
| 80:8 81:6 | trainer 20:3 | 62:20,25 63:1 | truth 28:10 107:1 | 105:11,18 |
| timliest 27:4 | 28:2 | 63:15,21 | 210:14 | 106:12 121:6 |
| title 9:16 | trainers 24:4 | 64:24 65:4 | truthfully 7:23 | 122:13 130:13 |
| today 8:12,23 | training 20:4,6 | 65:20 66:1,23 | try 30:2,3 34:19 | 138:12 150:7 |
| 9:11 28:10 | 23:11,13,15,20 | 67:20 68:1,3 | 57:7 78:7 | 156:15 169:13 |
| 119:4 212:21 | 24:2,3,5,13 | 70:9,15,23 | 82:19 90:5,10 | 179:8 187:23 |
| Today's 6:11 | 25:5,8 28:4 | 72:6,8,9 73:2 | 98:12 101:24 | 188:8 195:10 |
| told 54:5 55:9 | 28:12 73:14 | 76:25 79:10 | 110:11 111:11 | 198:1 207:7 |
| 65:10 107:2 | 92:5 94:13,16 | 79:14,16 80:16 | 130:12 134:19 | 210:5 |
| 129:19 151:16 | 104:22,23,25 | 81:3 82:6,8,11 | 139:1 151:24 | twos 24:24,25 |
| 210:14 | 105:5,10 | 82:11,20 83:3 | 158:12 160:17 | 26:14 |
| ton 120:9 171:7 | 131:25 166:1,5 | 83:20,25 | 162:17 206:15 | type 21:21 27:3 |
| tool 27:12 | 166:10 181:23 | 90:12,20,25 | 211:1 | 40:9 103:11 |
| top 27:6,8 | trainings 24:6 | 91:8 92:18 | trying 22:21 | 148:8 149:11 |
| 59:24 94:4 | 104:20 130:14 | 102:2,17,19,20 | 31:16 67:21 | 149:20,21 |
| 114:15 120:5,6 | 133:2 165:16 | 102:21,22 | 90:18,24 | 154:7 158:21 |
| 120:10 | 165:21,25 | 105:14 109:21 | 100:12 111:5 | 165:8 167:20 |
| topic 25:3 | 166:25 | 109:22 113:10 | 138:2 143:4 | 180:13,13 |
| 169:21 | transcribed 6:5 | 134:3,8 152:10 | 144:21 159:13 | 186:2,14 196:4 |
| topics 24:18 | transcript 3:6 | 167:1,2,3,24 | 159:16 | types 14:12 |
| Torrence 118:25 | 141:25 215:15 | 168:19 174:4,5 | turn 201:12 | 23:22 86:8,10 |
| 134:21 141:11 | transfer 26:12 | 176:4 195:12 | turned 77:12 | 86:15 147:23 |
| | | <u>I</u> | I | ı |

| - | 1 | 1 | 1 | I |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 148:6 149:9,14 | 83:9 | undertake 31:18 | 50:10 51:9 | 181:10 186:15 |
| 151:3 182:12 | unclear 195:21 | 145:2 | 57:12 58:5 | vertical 172:15 |
| typewriting 6:6 | uncomfortable | unemployed | 61:11 72:5 | 173:12 175:9 |
| 214:9 | 174:21,22 | 204:8 205:17 | 80:2 83:6 | 175:15 176:14 |
| typical 182:18 | unconstitutio | unemployment | 91:8 98:17 | 176:22,23 |
| typing 42:21 | 188:12 | 148:23 149:3 | 104:25 110:9 | 190:20 |
| | uncover 69:1 | unfile 104:4 | 133:24 153:10 | victim 107:1 |
| <u>U</u> | under-reporti | unfortunate | 153:10 165:6 | video 1:11 4:9 |
| Uh-huh 25:13 | 181:3 | 143:6 | 170:6,15 184:8 | 6:12 16:11,12 |
| 29:20 44:8 | undercut 187:8 | Union 4:11 6:18 | 211:19 212:11 | 16:15 80:5 |
| 55:22 58:25 | 187:13 | United 1:1 4:1,16 | utilize 183:22 | 84:13 93:11 |
| 59:8,19,22 | undergo 96:6 | 6:15 89:7 | 189:1 | videographer |
| 61:4 82:12 | undergrad 11:4 | unlawful 138:25 | utilized 176:25 | 5:19 6:10,20 |
| 95:12 97:18 | 11:6 | unofficial 171:3 | 188:2 | 7:5 58:16,18 |
| 100:17 112:8 | underlined | unrepresented | utilizing 18:10 | 95:7,9 123:2,4 |
| 119:18 136:22 | 142:7 | 46:19 50:21 | 178:3,7 | 145:20,22 |
| 137:8 141:12 | underlying | 50:25 52:8 | | 198:12,14 |
| 147:10 150:10 | 159:12 | unsure 185:6 | V | 213:13 |
| 153:24 154:23 | underreported | update 91:25 | v 2:13 192:24 | videos 16:16 |
| 155:25 156:18 | 180:23 | 207:4 | 215:10 217:2 | videotaped |
| 156:24 157:7 | understand | updated 177:9 | vacation 24:24 | 99:15 |
| 157:16,24 | 7:25 8:7 44:14 | 207:5,6 | valid 128:15 | Vietnamese |
| 158:24 161:15 | 64:14 72:4 | upper 28:25 | valuable 31:17 | 76:13 |
| 161:23 162:3 | 76:23 90:6,14 | 34:1 35:21 | 178:1 | views 165:3,14 |
| 163:2,23 | 114:12 142:5 | 37:6,11,14,18 | varied 34:8 | violation 29:7 |
| 165:23 168:9 | 146:9 159:16 | 52:8 67:8 | varies 28:6,14 | 44:6 103:4 |
| 170:13 171:23 | 165:15 166:20 | 78:12 172:6 | 39:10 40:8 | 134:23 139:4 |
| 173:18 175:11 | 196:7 202:3 | 172:24 178:19 | 43:22 53:15 | 140:10,11 |
| 179:12,18 | understanda | 184:2 188:25 | variety 29:25 | 144:16,18 168: |
| 182:23 183:24 | 118:3 | upset 55:14 | 77:3 120:17 | violations 31:6 |
| 185:8 186:25 | understanding | 117:3 197:9 | 131:20 165:13 | 31:9,12,25 |
| 187:17,25 | 11:24 36:22 | uptick 149:10 | 166:5,9 | 32:5 114:2 |
| 192:9,25 | 86:21 101:2 | use 13:8 17:19 | various 13:10 | violent 149:16 |
| 193:14 194:13 | 102:3 109:5 | 17:24 35:11 | 159:18 165:16 | virtually 62:22 |
| 196:2,5 197:4 | 111:13 113:24 | 36:7 42:17 | 167:18,19 | visit 67:17,25 |
| 197:18 204:17 | 114:3,8 119:11 | 73:24 74:5 | 183:22 | visitation 61:24 |
| 205:16 208:2 | 120:12 121:23 | 75:17 78:1 | vary 17:23 85:18 | 61:25 |
| ultimate 34:7 | 122:21 130:10 | 80:19 98:15 | verbally 8:15 | voir 24:18 102:4 |
| 35:20 89:11 | 139:8 153:19 | 112:7 132:11 | vernacular | 102:11,14 |
| ultimately | 156:15 167:17 | 168:7 171:11 | 185:7 | voluntarily |
| 33:24 116:2 | 168:2 173:15 | 177:1 178:13 | version 80:4 | 169:4 |
| 210:15 | 187:6 188:23 | useful 43:6 | 116:22 | votes 17:24 |
| unable 62:15 | 189:20 197:20 | usually 16:19 | versus 6:14 | vs 1:5 4:5,18 |
| 157:5 168:25 | 199:14 205:13 | 24:20,20 | 36:8,8 43:13 | |
| unaware 36:15 | 206:12 | 32:3 44:21,22 | 51:24 89:8 | W |
| | Understood | 46:4,6,22,24 | 146:24 147:13 | Wade 100:2,4 |
| uncharted 121:2 | i Oliueisiooo | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376

www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 90 of 93

| waiting 126:5 127:10 128:13 128:23 143:17 144:8 179:25 138:6 139:8,8 waits 66:6 waive 49:20 53:2 83:15 waive 49:20 53:2 83:15 waive 49:20 53:2 83:15 waive 49:20 159:21 172:20 192:18 waiving 90:16 wake 134:17 waik 20:5 152:17 172: 209:12 wasn't 9:6,6 walk-fins 152:21 wasn't 9:6,6 walk-fins 152:21 want 10:10 13:4 22:25 23:7 251:10 28:1 33:12,24 11:8 46:1 47:21 49:3 55:16 55:18,24 138:19 169:13 31:12,22 3 47:19,25 54:6 518:2 29:6:2 3 47:8 29:6:2 49:3 55:16 55:18,24 175:19 102:1 182:9,16 194:5 195:12 196:22 174:6,14,16,18 146:6 149:19 174:6,14,16,18 146:6 149:19 174:6,14,16,18 146:6 149:19 174:6,14,16,18 146:6 149:19 174:6,14,16,18 146:6 149:19 174:6,14,16,18 146:6 149:19 174:6,14,16,18 146:6 149:19 174:6,14,16,18 146:6 149:19 174:6,14,16,18 146:6 149:19 174:6,14,16,18 146:6 149:19 174:6,14,16,18 146:6 149:19 174:6,14,16,18 146:6 149:19 174:6,14,19,19,22 176:22 185:4 201:2 28:4 201:2 28:4 201:2 28:5 46:5 48:23 53:16 48:23 190:8 48:23 190:8 48:20 190:8 48:20 190:8 48:21 177:2 209:12 49:3 55:16 56:18,24 138:19 169:13 182:2,24 174:29 90:11,13 291:19,122 29 291:1100:24 101:71 104:2 107:16,17,17 108:4 117:11 131:14 132:14 122:8 169:18 133:2 148:2 149:19 1 | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 127:10 128:13 128:23 143:17 128:23 143:17 128:23 143:17 128:23 143:17 128:23 143:17 128:23 143:17 128:61 139:84 walts 66:6 142:2,2,4,4,11 169:6.10 169:6.10 169:6.10 169:6.10 169:6.10 169:6.18 199:3 183:11,13,21 183:11 1 | waiting 126:5 | 50:4 52:10 | 182:9,16 194:5 | 112:11,11,16 | West 4:12 5:11 |
| 128:23 143:17 144:8 179:25 138:6 139:8,8 ways 146:8 ways 146:8 the state of the sta | _ | 60:22 118:1 | 195:12 196:22 | 120:6,6 127:2 | 5:16 215:8 |
| waits 66:6 142:2,2,4,4,11 150:2 165:12 174:6,14,16,18 white 148:18,21 white 148:18,21 white 148:18,21 148:23 149:5 153:2 83:15 172:20 192:18 well 10:19 16:6 174:19,19,22 174:19,19,22 174:19,19,22 who've 115:7 wide 120:16 wide 120:16 who've 115:7 wide 120:16 who've 115:7 wide 120:16 wide 120:16 weapon 150:4 weapon 150:4 </td <td>128:23 143:17</td> <td>134:15,23</td> <td>197:13 208:15</td> <td>133:1 145:15</td> <td>western 1:1 4:1</td> | 128:23 143:17 | 134:15,23 | 197:13 208:15 | 133:1 145:15 | western 1:1 4:1 |
| waive 49:20 169:6,10 189:22 174:19,19,22 148:23 149:5 149:6 53:2 83:15 172:20 192:18 well 10:19 16:6 176:22 185:4 149:6 149:6 83:11,13,21 wanting 47:17 63:2 117:12 48:23 53:16 weap 01:50:4 wide 120:16 wide 120:16 <td< td=""><td>144:8 179:25</td><td>138:6 139:8,8</td><td>ways 146:8</td><td>146:6 149:19</td><td>4:16 6:16 136:1</td></td<> | 144:8 179:25 | 138:6 139:8,8 | ways 146:8 | 146:6 149:19 | 4:16 6:16 136:1 |
| 53:2 83:15 172:20 192:18 we'll 10:19 16:6 176:22 185:4 149:6 who've 115:7 8aith,13,21 96:18 199:3 38:25 46:5 weapon 150:4 who've 115:7 who've 10:15 who've 115:7 who've 115:7 who've 115:7 who've 115:7 who've 115:7 who've 115:7 who've 10:15 wh | waits 66:6 | 142:2,2,4,4,11 | 150:2 165:12 | 174:6,14,16,18 | white 148:18,21 |
| waived 26:8 196:18 199:3 20:12 38:24 211:2 who've 115:7 wide 120:16 83:11,13,21 wantting 47:17 63:2 117:12 48:23 53:16 weap 208:17 wide 120:16 wiggle 103:16 walving 90:16 wash: 31:17 wants 38:14,15 130:6 156:20 website 125:14 118:7 walk 20:5 101:25 157:23 184:23 190:8 Wednesday 118:7 Williamson 2:4 152:17 172:2 209:12 wasn't 9:6,6 15:14 20:3 144:15 62:4 7:11,16 58:14,19 95:6 walk-ins 152:21 yesn't 9:6,6 15:14 20:3 137:20 162:10 95:00 122:25 want 10:10 13:4 22:25 23:7 37:25 43:23 162:12,14,15,16 58:14,19 95:6 38:12,21 41:18 75:10 102:11 54:7,10,21 190:3 15:120 195:4,6 46:147:21 118:7,7 122:5 67:20 70:8 199:2 199:2,14 49:13,91:29 29:4 198:19 99:2,11 100:24 196:24 197:13 103:4,77 119:14 197:23 197:23 119:12 208:19,21 213:12 25:23 110:25 103:3 101:25 103:3 101:25 103:3 | waive 49:20 | 169:6,10 | 189:22 | 174:19,19,22 | 148:23 149:5 |
| 83:11,13,21 wanting 47:17 63:2 117:12 48:23 53:16 wear 208:17 waste 134:17 wants 38:14,15 101:25 157:23 184:23 190:8 welk e182:14 153:1 Wednesday 144:15 62:4 7:11,16 were 10:8 11:20 were 10:8 11:20 week 63:18 137:20 162:10 132:25 23:7 37:25 43:23 152:14,15,16 152:14 153:1 week 63:18 58:14,19 95:6 151:4 20:3 week 63:18 137:20 162:10 137:20 162:10 137:20 162:10 137:20 162:10 137:20 162:10 137:20 162:10 137:20 162:10 137:20 162:10 137:20 162:10 137:20 162:10 137:15 145:15 137:13 137:15 145:15 137:13 137:1 | 53:2 83:15 | 172:20 192:18 | we'll 10:19 16:6 | 176:22 185:4 | 149:6 |
| 89:19 | waived 26:8 | 196:18 199:3 | 20:12 38:24 | 211:2 | who've 115:7 |
| walving 90:16 wake 134:17 134:3 171:11 65:19 118:19 website 125:14 118:70 walk 20:5 walk 20:5 stall 152:17:22 101:25 157:23 184:23 190:8 Wednesday 14:15 14:15 15:12 14:15 14:15 14:15 14:15 14:15 14:15 14:15 14:15 14:15 14:15 14:15 | 83:11,13,21 | wanting 47:17 | 38:25 46:5 | weapon 150:4 | wide 120:16 |
| wake 134:17 walk 20:5 wants 38:14,15 101:25 157:23 174:19 130:6 156:20 184:23 190:8 were 10:8 11:20 were 10:8 11:20 wasn't 9:6,6 15:14 20:3 were 10:8 11:20 wasn't 9:6,6 15:14 20:3 were 63:18 137:20 162:10 95:10 122:25 watn 10:10 13:4 22:25 23:7 37:25 43:23 162:12,14,15,16 158:14 20:3 week 63:18 137:20 162:10 95:10 122:25 134:18 37:8,21 104:8 117:11 55:16,16 56:21 162:16,19 190:3 151:20 195:4,6 162:16,19 190:3 151:20 195:4,6 162:16,19 190:3 151:20 195:4,6 162:16,19 190:3 151:20 195:4,6 162:16,19 190:3 151:20 195:4,6 162:16,19 190:3 151:20 195:4,6 162:16,19 190:3 151:20 195:4,6 162:16,19 190:3 151:20 195:4,6 162:16,19 190:3 151:20 195:4,6 162:16,19 190:3 151:20 195:4,6 162:16,19 190:3 151:20 195:4,6 162:16,19 190:3 151:20 195:4,6 198:14,9,11 19:12 206:20,22 13:12 215:23 17:29 20:11,13 175:23 190:5 98:4 101:9 22:25 162:23 17:24 197:14 197:14 197:14 197:12 196:24 197:13 103:4,17 119:14 197:23 190:5 198:4 107:9 190:22 208:19,20 199:2,11 100:24 197:14 197:14 121:25 126:16 101:17 104:2 197:14 197:14 121:25 126:16 130:11 131:14 132:14 1 | 89:19 | 63:2 117:12 | 48:23 53:16 | wear 208:17 | wiggle 103:16 |
| walk 20:5 101:25 157:23 184:23 190:8 we're 10:8 11:20 Wednesday 144:15 2:8 5:4 6:24 152:17 172:2 209:12 wasn't 9:66 15:14 20:3 week 63:18 58:14,19 95:6 walk-ins 152:21 19:23 22:24 22:9 26:2 week 63:18 58:14,19 95:6 34:18 37:8,21 31:22,23 47:19,25 54:6 162:12,14,15,16 123:7 137:13 38:12,21 41:18 75:10 102:11 54:7,10,21 190:3 137:20 162:10 123:7 137:13 46:1 47:21 104:8 117:11 55:16,16 56:21 weekend 45:2 weekly 92:9 206:20,22 49:3 55:16 122:9 136:24 73:3 78:9 199:12 208:19,20 46:18,24 138:19 169:13 82:7,78,8 96:13 weeks 20:19,20 208:19,21 40:15,10,25 196:24 197:13 103:4,17 119:14 197:23 47:24 109:22 208:19,20 99:2,11 100:24 197:14 waste 17:1 127:4 128:5 weigh 39:6 142:15 142:12 107:16,17,17 108:4 17:11 15:15 186:10 142:25 147:7 weigh 39:6 142:1154:12 161:10,16,20 128:16 | waiving 90:16 | 134:3 171:11 | 65:19 118:19 | website 125:14 | 118:7 |
| 152:17 172:2 209:12 wasn't 9:6,6 walk-ins 152:21 25:1,10 28:1 35:2,23 47:19,25 54:6 34:18 37:8,21 35:2,23 47:19,25 54:6 38:12,21 41:18 104:8 117:11 46:1 47:21 46:1 47:21 47:2 90:11,13 91:1,9,12 92:4 98:7,10,25 99:2,11 100:24 101:17 104:2 107:16,17,17 108:4 117:11 115:15 186:10 watch 80:5 122:8 137:20 162:10 162:12,14,15,16 162:16,19 190:3 137:15 145:15 162:16,19 190:3 137:15 145:15 162:16,19 190:3 137:15 145:15 162:16,19 190:3 137:15 145:15 133:15 145:15 142:19 190:3 137:15 145:15 142:19 190:3 137:15 145:15 128:16 130:11 131:14 132:14 132:14 132:14 132:15 137:13 138:23 146:8 163:11 138:23 146:8 163:11 138:19 169:13 138:23 146:8 100:13 149:12 100:13 149:12 100:13 149:12 100:13 149:12 100:13 149:12 100:13 149:12 100:13 149:13 101:25 103:3 | wake 134:17 | wants 38:14,15 | 130:6 156:20 | 153:1 | Williamson 2:4 |
| 209:12 | walk 20:5 | 101:25 157:23 | 184:23 190:8 | Wednesday | 2:8 5:4 6:24 |
| walk-ins 152:21 19:23 22:24 22:9 26:2 137:20 162:10 95:10 122:25 want 10:10 13:4 22:25 23:7 37:25 43:23 162:16,19 137:13 13:713 25:1,10 28:1 31:22,23 47:19,25 54:6 162:16,19 137:15 145:15 34:18 37:8,21 75:10 102:11 54:7,10,21 190:3 151:20 195:4,6 38:12,21 41:18 104:8 117:11 55:16,16 56:21 weekend 45:2 weekend 45:2 weekly 92:9 151:20 195:4,6 46:1 47:21 118:7,7 122:5 67:20 70:8 199:3 191:2 206:20,22 208:19,21 206:20,22 208:19,21 206:20,22 208:19,21 206:20,22 208:19,21 206:20,22 208:19,21 206:20,22 208:19,21 206:20,22 208:19,21 206:20,22 208:19,21 206:20,22 208:19,21 206:20,22 208:19,21 206:20,22 208:19,21 206:20,22 208:19,21 206:20,22 208:19,21 208:19,21 208:19,21 208:19,21 208:19,21 208:19,21 208:19,21 208:19,20 208:19,20 208:19,20 208:19,20 208:19,20 | 152:17 172:2 | 174:19 | we're 10:8 11:20 | 144:15 | 6:24 7:11,16 |
| want 10:10 13:4 22:25 23:7 37:25 43:23 162:12,14,15,16 123:7 137:13 25:1,10 28:1 31:22,23 47:19,25 54:6 162:16,19 137:15 145:15 34:18 37:8,21 75:10 102:11 54:7,10,21 190:3 151:20 195:4,6 38:12,21 41:18 104:8 117:11 55:16,16 56:21 weekend 45:2 weekend 45:2 49:3 55:16 122:9 136:24 73:3 78:9 199:12 208:19,21 65:18,24 138:19 169:13 82:7,7,8 96:13 weeks 20:19,20 213:12 215:23 71:22 90:11,13 175:23 190:5 98:4 101:9 197:23 win 102:19,19 98:7,10,25 196:24 197:13 102:20,22 47:24 109:22 withdraw 101:17 99:2,11 100:24 197:14 121:25 126:16 weigh 39:6 122:1154:12 101:17 104:2 watch 80:5 133:2 139:1 weight 26:14 weight 26:14 131:4 132:14 132:15 137:13 wave 161:21 160:13,14,19 weight 26:14 weight 26:14 138:23 146:8 wave 161:21 167:8 172:12 135:5,7 141:5 63:10 65:2,5 | 209:12 | wasn't 9:6,6 | 15:14 20:3 | week 63:18 | 58:14,19 95:6 |
| 25:1,10 28:1 34:18 37:8,21 34:18 37:8,21 38:12,21 41:18 46:1 47:21 46:1 47:21 46:1 47:21 46:1 47:21 46:1 47:21 46:1 47:21 46:1 47:21 46:1 47:21 47:19,25 54:6 49:3 55:16 104:8 117:11 118:7,7 122:5 67:20 70:8 49:3 55:16 122:9 136:24 71:22 90:11,13 91:1,9,12 92:4 98:7,10,25 99:2,11 100:24 107:16,17,17 108:4 117:11 121:4,5 127:15 128:16 130:11 121:4,5 127:15 128:16 130:11 121:4,5 127:15 128:16 130:11 131:4 132:14 132:18 138:23 146:8 164:12 169:17 169:18,19 33:23 34:9 175:20 199:2 171:16,20,21 171:16,20,21 171:16,20,21 171:15,21 106:1 190:3 47:19,25 54:6 190:0 77:20 70:8 73:3 78:9 199:19 190:2 73:3 78:9 119:12 206:20,22 119:12 208:19,21 213:12 215:23 21:5 46:23 21:2 10:5 21:10,10;2 21:11 100:22 21:5 46:23 21:5 46:23 21:5 46:23 21:5 46:23 21:5 46:23 21:5 46:23 21:5 46:23 21:5 46:23 21:5 46:23 21:5 46:23 21:5 46:23 21:5 46:23 21:5 46:23 21:11 109:22 21:5 46:23 21:11 109:22 21:5 46:23 21:2 109:23 21:2 109:20 21:5 46:23 21:5 46:23 21:2 109:20 21:5 46:23 21:2 109:20 21:5 46:23 21:11 109:12 21 | walk-ins 152:21 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 22:9 26:2 | 137:20 162:10 | • |
| 34:18 37:8,21 | want 10:10 13:4 | 22:25 23:7 | 37:25 43:23 | 162:12,14,15,16 | 123:7 137:13 |
| 38:12,21 41:18 104:8 117:11 55:16,16 56:21 weekend 45:2 weekly 92:9 198:1,4,8,11 46:1 47:21 118:7,7 122:5 67:20 70:8 73:3 78:9 119:12 206:20,22 49:3 55:16 122:9 136:24 73:3 78:9 119:12 208:19,21 65:18,24 138:19 169:13 82:7,7,8 96:13 weeks 20:19,20 213:12 215:23 91:1,9,12 92:4 196:15,19,19 196:24 197:13 103:4,17 119:14 197:22 47:24 109:22 99:2,11 100:24 197:14 121:25 126:16 weigh 39:6 142:1 154:12 101:17 104:2 waste 17:1 127:4 128:5 weigh 39:6 142:1 154:12 107:16,17,17 watch 80:5 133:2 139:1 weight 26:14 174:20 210:4 121:4,5 127:15 watched 79:25 152:6 155:23 149:17 weith 11:6 69:11 131:14 132:14 122:8 160:13,14,19 91:12 92:19 withheld 86:2 133:23 146:8 way 2:16,17 167:8 172:12 135:5,7 141:5 63:10 65:2,5 169:18,19 33:23 43:9 175:6 177:2,11 179:21 180:11 99:22 169:23 <td>25:1,10 28:1</td> <td>31:22,23</td> <td>47:19,25 54:6</td> <td>162:16,19</td> <td>137:15 145:15</td> | 25:1,10 28:1 | 31:22,23 | 47:19,25 54:6 | 162:16,19 | 137:15 145:15 |
| 46:1 47:21 118:7,7 122:5 67:20 70:8 weekly 92:9 206:20,22 49:3 55:16 122:9 136:24 73:3 78:9 119:12 208:19,20 65:18,24 138:19 169:13 82:7,7,8 96:13 weeks 20:19,20 213:12 215:23 71:22 90:11,13 175:23 190:5 98:4 101:9 21:5 46:23 win 102:19,19 91:1,9,12 92:4 196:15,19,19 102:20,22 47:24 109:22 withdraw 101:17 98:7,10,25 196:24 197:13 103:4,17 119:14 197:23 withdraw 101:17 101:7 104:2 waste 17:1 127:4 128:5 weigh 39:6 142:1 154:12 107:16,17,17 watch 80:5 133:2 139:1 weight 26:14 174:20 210:4 121:4,5 127:15 watched 79:25 Waters 121:14 122:8 160:13,14,19 117:10 131:14 132:14 122:8 160:13,14,19 117:122:8 withheld 86:2 138:23 146:8 way 22:16,17 167:8 172:12 135:5,7 141:5 63:10 65:2,5 164:12 169:17 29:11 31:19 174:11,12,23 179:21 180:11 99:22 169:23 171:6,20 | 34:18 37:8,21 | 75:10 102:11 | 54:7,10,21 | 190:3 | 151:20 195:4,6 |
| 49:3 55:16 122:9 136:24 73:3 78:9 119:12 208:19,21 65:18,24 138:19 169:13 82:7,7,8 96:13 weeks 20:19,20 21:5 46:23 win 102:19,19 91:1,9,12 92:4 196:15,19,19 102:20,22 47:24 109:22 withdraw 101:17 98:7,10,25 196:24 197:13 103:4,17 119:14 121:25 126:16 weigh 39:6 142:1 154:12 107:16,17,17 watch 80:5 133:2 139:1 weight 26:14 weight 26:14 108:4 117:11 115:15 186:10 142:25 147:7 weight 26:14 withheld 86:2 12:4,5 127:15 watched 79:25 152:6 155:23 weight 16:21 withheld 86:2 13:14 132:14 122:8 160:13,14,19 107:21 122:8 withheld 86:2 142:1 169:17 29:11 31:19 174:11,12,23 173:4 176:22 66:23 68:25 169:18,19 33:23 43:9 175:6 177:2,11 189:7 190:15 177:19 195:5,7 172:8,25 69:16 78:8 206:14 195:12 197:11 weren't 2:11 215:15 217:1,1 183:9 184:5 199:23 10:22 13:22 41:9 13:22 43:9 <td>38:12,21 41:18</td> <td>104:8 117:11</td> <td>55:16,16 56:21</td> <td>weekend 45:2</td> <td>198:1,4,8,11</td> | 38:12,21 41:18 | 104:8 117:11 | 55:16,16 56:21 | weekend 45:2 | 198:1,4,8,11 |
| 65:18,24 71:22 90:11,13 91:1,9,12 92:4 98:7,10,25 99:2,11 100:24 101:17 104:2 107:16,17,17 108:4 117:11 131:14 132:14 132:15 137:13 138:23 146:8 132:15 137:13 138:23 146:8 164:12 169:17 169:18,19 179:28,25 174:5,17 175:4 183:9 184:5 199:23 101:22 188:5 198:29 199:21 106:13 188:19 169:13 175:23 190:5 198:4 101:9 102:20,22 103:4,7 119:14 102:20,22 197:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 47:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 47:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 47:24 109:22 197:23 47:24 109:22 101:25 103:3 42:115 13:3 42:15 139:1 42:15 139:1 42:15 139:1 42:15 139:1 42:17 4:20 210:4 42:10:5 42:11 14:12 42:15 139:1 42:17 42:10:5 42:11 14:12 42:17 52:23 47:24 109:22 47:24 109:23 47:24 109:22 47:24 109:22 47:24 109:22 47:24 109:22 47:24 109:23 47:24 109:22 49:13:24 47:24 109:22 49:13:24 47:24 109:22 49:13:24 47:24 109:22 49:13:24 47:24 109:22 49:13:24 47:24 109:22 40:13:24 47:24 109:22 40:13:16 48:25 14:11 49:17 | 46:1 47:21 | 118:7,7 122:5 | 67:20 70:8 | weekly 92:9 | 206:20,22 |
| 71:22 90:11,13 | 49:3 55:16 | 122:9 136:24 | 73:3 78:9 | 119:12 | 208:19,21 |
| 91:1,9,12 92:4 | 65:18,24 | 138:19 169:13 | 82:7,7,8 96:13 | weeks 20:19,20 | 213:12 215:23 |
| 98:7,10,25 196:24 197:13 103:4,17 119:14 197:23 101:25 103:3 199:2,11 100:24 197:14 121:25 126:16 weigh 39:6 142:1 154:12 101:17 104:2 waste 17:1 127:4 128:5 51:24 161:10,16,20 107:16,17,17 watch 80:5 133:2 139:1 weight 26:14 174:20 210:4 121:4,5 127:15 watched 79:25 152:6 155:23 149:17 withheld 86:2 128:16 130:11 122:8 160:13,14,19 91:12 92:19 witness 2:2 6:6 132:15 137:13 wave 161:21 163:7 164:11 107:21 122:8 7:6 16:13,15 138:23 146:8 way 22:16,17 167:8 172:12 135:5,7 141:5 63:10 65:2,5 164:12 169:17 29:11 31:19 174:11,12,23 173:4 176:22 66:23 68:25 171:16,20,21 61:19 67:2 184:24 190:7 189:7 190:15 177:19 195:5,7 172:8,25 69:16 78:8 206:14 195:12 197:11 205:6 214:5,7 174:5,17 175:4 80:2 98:23 we've 12:5 weren't 21:11 215:15 217:1,1 183:9 184:5 99:23 101:22 13:22 41:9 51:25 52:1 217:24 witnessed 53:4 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 witnesses 47:2 201:8,21 133:20 159:20 72:12 76:13 122:3,6,21 65:9,14 66:13 wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,19 | 71:22 90:11,13 | 175:23 190:5 | 98:4 101:9 | 21:5 46:23 | win 102:19,19 |
| 99:2,11 100:24 | 91:1,9,12 92:4 | 196:15,19,19 | 102:20,22 | 47:24 109:22 | withdraw 101:17 |
| 101:17 104:2 waste 17:1 127:4 128:5 51:24 161:10,16,20 107:16,17,17 watch 80:5 133:2 139:1 weight 26:14 174:20 210:4 108:4 17:11 115:15 186:10 142:25 147:7 weird 116:21 210:5 121:4,5 127:15 watched 79:25 152:6 155:23 149:17 withheld 86:2 128:16 130:11 Waters 121:14 155:23 158:22 went 11:6 69:11 117:10 131:14 132:14 122:8 160:13,14,19 91:12 92:19 witness 2:2 6:6 132:15 137:13 wave 161:21 163:7 164:11 107:21 122:8 7:6 16:13,15 138:23 146:8 way 22:16,17 167:8 172:12 135:5,7 141:5 63:10 65:2,5 164:12 169:17 29:11 31:19 174:11,12,23 173:4 176:22 66:23 68:25 169:18,19 33:23 43:9 175:6 177:2,11 189:7 190:15 177:19 195:5,7 172:8,25 69:16 78:8 206:14 we've 12:5 weren't 21:11 205:6 214:5,7 188:5 198:2,9 105:21 106:1 48:25 49:1 77:6 90:1 witnessed 53:4 199:10 | 98:7,10,25 | 196:24 197:13 | 103:4,17 119:14 | 197:23 | 101:25 103:3 |
| 107:16,17,17 watch 80:5 133:2 139:1 weight 26:14 174:20 210:4 108:4 117:11 115:15 186:10 142:25 147:7 weird 116:21 210:5 121:4,5 127:15 watched 79:25 152:6 155:23 149:17 withheld 86:2 128:16 130:11 122:8 160:13,14,19 91:12 92:19 witness 2:2 6:6 132:15 137:13 wave 161:21 163:7 164:11 107:21 122:8 7:6 16:13,15 138:23 146:8 way 22:16,17 167:8 172:12 135:5,7 141:5 63:10 65:2,5 169:18,19 33:23 43:9 175:6 177:2,11 179:21 180:11 99:22 169:23 171:16,20,21 61:19 67:2 184:24 190:7 189:7 190:15 177:19 195:5,7 172:8,25 69:16 78:8 206:14 195:12 197:11 205:6 214:5,7 174:5,17 175:4 80:2 98:23 we've 12:5 weren't 21:11 215:15 217:1,1 183:5 198:2,9 105:21 106:1 48:25 49:1 77:6 90:1 witnessed 53:4 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 1 | 99:2,11 100:24 | 197:14 | 121:25 126:16 | weigh 39:6 | 142:1 154:12 |
| 108:4 117:11 115:15 186:10 142:25 147:7 weird 116:21 210:5 121:4,5 127:15 watched 79:25 152:6 155:23 149:17 withheld 86:2 128:16 130:11 Waters 121:14 155:23 158:22 went 11:6 69:11 117:10 131:14 132:14 122:8 160:13,14,19 91:12 92:19 witness 2:2 6:6 132:15 137:13 wave 161:21 163:7 164:11 107:21 122:8 7:6 16:13,15 138:23 146:8 way 22:16,17 167:8 172:12 135:5,7 141:5 63:10 65:2,5 164:12 169:17 29:11 31:19 174:11,12,23 173:4 176:22 66:23 68:25 169:18,19 33:23 43:9 175:6 177:2,11 179:21 180:11 99:22 169:23 171:16,20,21 61:19 67:2 184:24 190:7 189:7 190:15 177:19 195:5,7 172:8,25 69:16 78:8 206:14 195:12 197:11 205:6 214:5,7 174:5,17 175:4 80:2 98:23 we've 12:5 weren't 21:11 215:15 217:1,1 188:5 198:2,9 105:21 106:1 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 witnessed 53:4 199:10,24 | 101:17 104:2 | waste 17:1 | 127:4 128:5 | 51:24 | 161:10,16,20 |
| 121:4,5 127:15 watched 79:25 152:6 155:23 149:17 withheld 86:2 128:16 130:11 12:8 155:23 158:22 went 11:6 69:11 117:10 131:14 132:14 122:8 160:13,14,19 91:12 92:19 witness 2:2 6:6 132:15 137:13 wave 161:21 163:7 164:11 107:21 122:8 7:6 16:13,15 138:23 146:8 way 22:16,17 167:8 172:12 135:5,7 141:5 63:10 65:2,5 164:12 169:17 29:11 31:19 174:11,12,23 173:4 176:22 66:23 68:25 169:18,19 33:23 43:9 175:6 177:2,11 179:21 180:11 99:22 169:23 171:16,20,21 61:19 67:2 184:24 190:7 189:7 190:15 177:19 195:5,7 172:8,25 69:16 78:8 206:14 195:12 197:11 205:6 214:5,7 174:5,17 175:4 80:2 98:23 we've 12:5 weren't 21:11 215:15 217:1,1 188:5 198:2,9 105:21 106:1 48:25 49:1 77:6 90:1 witnessed 53:4 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 13 | 107:16,17,17 | watch 80:5 | 133:2 139:1 | weight 26:14 | 174:20 210:4 |
| 128:16 130:11 Waters 121:14 155:23 158:22 went 11:6 69:11 117:10 131:14 132:14 122:8 160:13,14,19 91:12 92:19 witness 2:2 6:6 132:15 137:13 wave 161:21 163:7 164:11 107:21 122:8 7:6 16:13,15 138:23 146:8 way 22:16,17 167:8 172:12 135:5,7 141:5 63:10 65:2,5 164:12 169:17 29:11 31:19 174:11,12,23 173:4 176:22 66:23 68:25 169:18,19 33:23 43:9 175:6 177:2,11 179:21 180:11 99:22 169:23 171:16,20,21 61:19 67:2 184:24 190:7 189:7 190:15 177:19 195:5,7 172:8,25 69:16 78:8 206:14 195:12 197:11 205:6 214:5,7 174:5,17 175:4 80:2 98:23 we've 12:5 weren't 21:11 215:15 217:1,1 183:9 184:5 99:23 101:22 13:22 41:9 51:25 52:1 217:24 188:5 198:2,9 105:21 106:1 48:25 49:1 77:6 90:1 witnessed 53:4 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 13 | 108:4 117:11 | 115:15 186:10 | 142:25 147:7 | weird 116:21 | 210:5 |
| 131:14 132:14 122:8 160:13,14,19 91:12 92:19 witness 2:2 6:6 132:15 137:13 wave 161:21 163:7 164:11 107:21 122:8 7:6 16:13,15 138:23 146:8 way 22:16,17 167:8 172:12 135:5,7 141:5 63:10 65:2,5 164:12 169:17 29:11 31:19 174:11,12,23 173:4 176:22 66:23 68:25 169:18,19 33:23 43:9 175:6 177:2,11 179:21 180:11 99:22 169:23 171:16,20,21 61:19 67:2 184:24 190:7 189:7 190:15 177:19 195:5,7 172:8,25 69:16 78:8 206:14 195:12 197:11 205:6 214:5,7 174:5,17 175:4 80:2 98:23 we've 12:5 weren't 21:11 215:15 217:1,1 183:9 184:5 99:23 101:22 13:22 41:9 77:6 90:1 217:24 witnessed 53:4 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 witnesses 47:2 201:8,21 128:23 129:7 54:4 56:21 117:10 118:4 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 133:20 159:20 72:12 76:13 122:3,6,21 65:9,14 66:13 wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,1 | 121:4,5 127:15 | watched 79:25 | 152:6 155:23 | 149:17 | withheld 86:2 |
| 132:15 137:13 wave 161:21 163:7 164:11 107:21 122:8 7:6 16:13,15 138:23 146:8 way 22:16,17 167:8 172:12 135:5,7 141:5 63:10 65:2,5 164:12 169:17 29:11 31:19 174:11,12,23 173:4 176:22 66:23 68:25 169:18,19 33:23 43:9 175:6 177:2,11 179:21 180:11 99:22 169:23 171:16,20,21 61:19 67:2 184:24 190:7 189:7 190:15 177:19 195:5,7 172:8,25 69:16 78:8 206:14 195:12 197:11 205:6 214:5,7 174:5,17 175:4 80:2 98:23 we've 12:5 weren't 21:11 215:15 217:1,1 183:9 184:5 99:23 101:22 13:22 41:9 77:6 90:1 vitnessed 53:4 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 vitnesses 47:2 201:8,21 128:23 129:7 54:4 56:21 117:10 118:4 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 133:20 159:20 72:12 76:13 122:3,6,21 65:9,14 66:13 wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,19 | 128:16 130:11 | Waters 121:14 | 155:23 158:22 | went 11:6 69:11 | 117:10 |
| 138:23 146:8 way 22:16,17 167:8 172:12 135:5,7 141:5 63:10 65:2,5 164:12 169:17 29:11 31:19 174:11,12,23 173:4 176:22 66:23 68:25 169:18,19 33:23 43:9 175:6 177:2,11 179:21 180:11 99:22 169:23 171:16,20,21 61:19 67:2 184:24 190:7 189:7 190:15 177:19 195:5,7 172:8,25 69:16 78:8 206:14 195:12 197:11 205:6 214:5,7 174:5,17 175:4 80:2 98:23 we've 12:5 weren't 21:11 215:15 217:1,1 183:9 184:5 99:23 101:22 13:22 41:9 51:25 52:1 217:24 188:5 198:2,9 105:21 106:1 48:25 49:1 77:6 90:1 witnessed 53:4 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 57:17 64:18,23 201:8,21 128:23 129:7 54:4 56:21 117:10 118:4 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 133:20 159:20 72:12 76:13 122:3,6,21 65:9,14 66:13 wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,19 | 131:14 132:14 | 122:8 | 160:13,14,19 | 91:12 92:19 | witness 2:2 6:6 |
| 164:12 169:17 29:11 31:19 174:11,12,23 173:4 176:22 66:23 68:25 169:18,19 33:23 43:9 175:6 177:2,11 179:21 180:11 99:22 169:23 171:16,20,21 61:19 67:2 184:24 190:7 189:7 190:15 177:19 195:5,7 172:8,25 69:16 78:8 206:14 195:12 197:11 205:6 214:5,7 174:5,17 175:4 80:2 98:23 we've 12:5 weren't 21:11 215:15 217:1,1 183:9 184:5 99:23 101:22 13:22 41:9 51:25 52:1 217:24 188:5 198:2,9 105:21 106:1 48:25 49:1 77:6 90:1 witnessed 53:4 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 witnesses 47:2 201:8,21 128:23 129:7 54:4 56:21 117:10 118:4 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 133:20 159:20 72:12 76:13 122:3,6,21 65:9,14 66:13 wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,19 | 132:15 137:13 | wave 161:21 | 163:7 164:11 | 107:21 122:8 | 7:6 16:13,15 |
| 169:18,19 33:23 43:9 175:6 177:2,11 179:21 180:11 99:22 169:23 171:16,20,21 61:19 67:2 184:24 190:7 189:7 190:15 177:19 195:5,7 172:8,25 69:16 78:8 206:14 195:12 197:11 205:6 214:5,7 174:5,17 175:4 80:2 98:23 we've 12:5 weren't 21:11 215:15 217:1,1 183:9 184:5 99:23 101:22 13:22 41:9 51:25 52:1 217:24 188:5 198:2,9 105:21 106:1 48:25 49:1 77:6 90:1 witnessed 53:4 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 witnesses 47:2 201:8,21 128:23 129:7 54:4 56:21 117:10 118:4 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 133:20 159:20 72:12 76:13 122:3,6,21 65:9,14 66:13 wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,19 | 138:23 146:8 | way 22:16,17 | 167:8 172:12 | 135:5,7 141:5 | 63:10 65:2,5 |
| 171:16,20,21 61:19 67:2 184:24 190:7 189:7 190:15 177:19 195:5,7 172:8,25 69:16 78:8 206:14 195:12 197:11 205:6 214:5,7 174:5,17 175:4 80:2 98:23 we've 12:5 weren't 21:11 215:15 217:1,1 183:9 184:5 99:23 101:22 13:22 41:9 51:25 52:1 217:24 188:5 198:2,9 105:21 106:1 48:25 49:1 77:6 90:1 witnessed 53:4 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 witnesses 47:2 201:8,21 128:23 129:7 54:4 56:21 117:10 118:4 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 133:20 159:20 72:12 76:13 122:3,6,21 65:9,14 66:13 wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,19 | 164:12 169:17 | 29:11 31:19 | 174:11,12,23 | 173:4 176:22 | 66:23 68:25 |
| 172:8,25 69:16 78:8 206:14 195:12 197:11 205:6 214:5,7 174:5,17 175:4 80:2 98:23 we've 12:5 weren't 21:11 215:15 217:1,1 183:9 184:5 99:23 101:22 13:22 41:9 51:25 52:1 217:24 188:5 198:2,9 105:21 106:1 48:25 49:1 77:6 90:1 witnessed 53:4 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 witnesses 47:2 201:8,21 128:23 129:7 54:4 56:21 117:10 118:4 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 133:20 159:20 72:12 76:13 122:3,6,21 65:9,14 66:13 wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,19 | 169:18,19 | 33:23 43:9 | 175:6 177:2,11 | 179:21 180:11 | 99:22 169:23 |
| 174:5,17 175:4 80:2 98:23 we've 12:5 weren't 21:11 215:15 217:1,1 183:9 184:5 99:23 101:22 13:22 41:9 77:6 90:1 217:24 188:5 198:2,9 105:21 106:1 48:25 49:1 77:6 90:1 77:6 90:1 77:6 90:1 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 77:17 64:18,23 201:8,21 128:23 129:7 54:4 56:21 117:10 118:4 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 133:20 159:20 72:12 76:13 122:3,6,21 65:9,14 66:13 wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,19 | 171:16,20,21 | 61:19 67:2 | 184:24 190:7 | 189:7 190:15 | 177:19 195:5,7 |
| 183:9 184:5 99:23 101:22 13:22 41:9 51:25 52:1 217:24 188:5 198:2,9 105:21 106:1 48:25 49:1 77:6 90:1 witnessed 53:4 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 witnesses 47:2 201:8,21 128:23 129:7 54:4 56:21 117:10 118:4 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 133:20 159:20 72:12 76:13 122:3,6,21 65:9,14 66:13 wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,19 | 172:8,25 | 69:16 78:8 | 206:14 | 195:12 197:11 | 205:6 214:5,7 |
| 188:5 198:2,9 105:21 106:1 48:25 49:1 77:6 90:1 witnessed 53:4 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 witnesses 47:2 201:8,21 128:23 129:7 54:4 56:21 117:10 118:4 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 133:20 159:20 72:12 76:13 122:3,6,21 65:9,14 66:13 wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,19 | 174:5,17 175:4 | 80:2 98:23 | we've 12:5 | weren't 21:11 | 215:15 217:1,1 |
| 199:10,24 107:6 126:13 51:23 53:23 92:21 115:23 witnesses 47:2 201:8,21 128:23 129:7 54:4 56:21 117:10 118:4 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 133:20 159:20 72:12 76:13 122:3,6,21 65:9,14 66:13 wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,19 | 183:9 184:5 | 99:23 101:22 | 13:22 41:9 | 51:25 52:1 | 217:24 |
| 201:8,21 128:23 129:7 54:4 56:21 117:10 118:4 57:17 64:18,23 209:4 133:20 159:20 72:12 76:13 122:3,6,21 65:9,14 66:13 wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,19 | 188:5 198:2,9 | 105:21 106:1 | 48:25 49:1 | 77:6 90:1 | witnessed 53:4 |
| 209:4 133:20 159:20 72:12 76:13 122:3,6,21 65:9,14 66:13 wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,19 | 199:10,24 | 107:6 126:13 | 51:23 53:23 | 92:21 115:23 | witnesses 47:2 |
| wanted 9:7 163:22 165:9 77:7 94:3 154:13 163:16 68:11,13,19 | 201:8,21 | 128:23 129:7 | 54:4 56:21 | 117:10 118:4 | 57:17 64:18,23 |
| | 209:4 | 133:20 159:20 | 72:12 76:13 | 122:3,6,21 | 65:9,14 66:13 |
| 23:19 44:17 169:1 176:11 100:20,21 181:4 69:1 71:23 | wanted 9:7 | 163:22 165:9 | 77:7 94:3 | 154:13 163:16 | 68:11,13,19 |
| 1 1 1 | 23:19 44:17 | 169:1 176:11 | 100:20,21 | 181:4 | 69:1 71:23 |
| | | | I | I | |

| 84:15 85:12 | world 40:1 41:2 | 79:24 82:25 | Z | 141:10 |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 88:17 110:16 | 87:19 | 83:6 85:6 | | 1353 5:21 6:4 |
| 112:7 168:21 | worried 181:1 | 86:20 93:19 | 0 | 214:18 215:22 |
| woman 105:7 | 197:9 | 100:4 105:22 | 06 59:25 | 136 2:20 |
| 156:10 | worry 181:2 | 116:10,10,10 | 063 122:22 | 137 2:21 |
| word 113:24 | worthwhile | 120:13 121:21 | 09 108:24 | 139 2:22 |
| 132:11 141:16 | 188:16 | 139:16 142:2 | | 14 37:4 |
| 171:21 | wouldn't 43:18 | 144:14 152:2 | 11 | 145 2:5 |
| words 29:22 | 67:18 70:13 | 157:22 158:2 | 1 2:12 11:20 12:4 | 15 28:24 40:3 |
| 32:20 106:19 | 71:19 94:9 | 164:20 167:25 | 12:13 21:22 | 132:5 133:15 |
| 180:20 | 95:19,21 149:5 | 178:22 180:17 | 25:12,15,16 | 151:23 |
| work 12:21 14:5 | 158:15 177:21 | 182:14 192:16 | 26:4,6 29:1 | 15th 134:22 |
| 18:23,23 19:17 | 196:12 204:21 | 193:8 195:7 | 54:2 58:21,22 | 16 10:7,8,11,11,13 |
| 20:4,10 24:2 | 208:14,15 | 197:6 200:9 | 137:3 184:23 | 10:18 11:9,11,12 |
| 24:8 31:11,23 | wreak 134:9 | 203:17 208:7 | 1,000 41:24 | 82:7 127:18,19 |
| 33:23 34:24 | writ 2:16,19 | year 11:17 16:4 | 1.800.280.33 | 130:25 146:19 |
| 41:13 42:23 | 125:4 135:15 | 19:5 22:21 | 5:24 | 176:14 |
| 43:12,13 54:7 | 135:19,23 | 25:16 26:17 | 1:49 198:12 | 1608 5:23 215:2 |
| 54:19 69:11,23 | 136:5,14,16 | 28:24 43:21 | 1:52 198:14 | 16th 10:13,16 |
| 75:8 84:5,20 | 210:17,25 | 43:22,22 44:4 | 10 2:23 108:24 | 12:10 |
| 87:6,12 108:7 | write 19:1 25:19 | 44:10 50:13 | 199:22,25 | 17 141:21 162:25 |
| 112:10 119:4 | 25:19 29:1,14 | 64:24 73:1,19 | 10,000 165:11 | 17-04057-CV |
| 120:9,11 | 33:11,14,25 | 82:6,9,23,23 | 10/2/2017 2:14 | 1:5 4:5 6:15 |
| 124:20 126:10 | 43:15 46:1 | 94:4 104:23 | 10:17 58:16 | 18 15:2 183:1 |
| 135:18 144:13 | 75:13 86:12 | 104:24 105:15 | 10:27 58:18 | 200:2 |
| 151:23 161:7,11 | 98:8 108:16 | 104:24 103:13 | 100 5:16 35:3,8 | 18th 5:5 135:6 |
| 164:24 174:15 | 140:12 190:16 | 163:13 184:1 | 44:11 54:9 | 19 215:4 |
| 174:19 179:23 | writing 30:18 | 184:24 186:4 | 65:6 106:4,6,7 | 198 2:6 |
| 181:4,10 | 125:12 189:21 | 186:7,11 192:1 | 116:20 120:15 | 199 2:24 |
| worked 23:6 | writted 210:21 | yearly 120:1 | 154:24 164:23 | 1997 11:1 |
| 116:13 191:19 | 210:24 211:4 | years 13:3 14:21 | 215:8 | 1998 10:1 |
| worker 108:12 | written 9:3 | 23:6 25:22 | 1000 5:16 215:8 | 15 25:1 148:16,16 |
| 108:14 110:18 | 25:25 71:2 | 26:25,25 | 1000 5:16 2 15:16 | 15 23.1 140.10,10 |
| workers 15:15 | 80:2 | 27:5 37:4 | 11 3:3 9:22 109:1 | 2 |
| 74:21 | wrong 56:19 | 39:21 45:12,17 | 201:6,9 | 2 2:13 25:18,21 |
| working 24:12 | 136:24 187:7,7 | 75:7 105:16 | 11:21 95:7 | 88:20,21 |
| 26:2 41:24 | wrote 55:9 | 109:1 111:24 | 11:25 95:9 | 192:23 204:5 |
| 43:3 62:20 | 134:20 | 116:13 120:8 | 110 55:16 | 204:6,14,16 |
| 85:15 133:9 | 154.20 | 149:8,22 | 12 3:4 203:1,4 | 2:00 38:25 |
| 144:14 153:9 | X | 176:23 179:1,5 | 207:1 | 2:08 213:13,15 |
| 180:25 | X 2:1,10 3:1 | 179:6 182:2 | 12:08 123:2,4 | 20 28:25 39:21 |
| workload 20:24 | | 207:7,19 | 12:42 145:20,22 | 40:3,14 58:6 |
| 150:16 165:25 | Υ | yellow 68:22 | 12.42 143.20,22 | 116:13 132:5 |
| 196:10 | yeah 17:1 38:18 | Yep 153:21 | 124 2:15,17 | 133:15 140:17 |
| workloads | 52:22 53:5 | Yesterday 9:1 | 125 5:5 | 153:18 163:15 |
| | 60:8 65:15 | • | 129 2:18 | 175:20 176:22 |
| 150:14 works 36:23 | 68:7 71:15 | York 5:6,6 | 13 59:16 134:21 | 20-plus 149:8 |
| MILLIAN 210, 12 | 1 | Ī | IJ JJ.IU IJ4.Z | ~U-piu3 TJ.O |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376

ww.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 92 of 93

| | • | <u>-</u> | • | - |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| 20,000 154:15 | 4 | 194:11 | | |
| 2002 59:16 | 4 2:15 26:22 | 6,000 16:3,4 | | |
| 2009 163:16 | 27:10 53:23 | 6/14 59:25 | | |
| 201 3:3 | 53:25 54:1,22 | 60 41:20 | | |
| 2011 32:13 | 55:1 56:5,14 | 600 120:22,25 | | |
| 179:11 | 56:18 114:2 | 121:12 138:4 | | |
| 2012 121:21 | 121:3 124:4,7 | 155:4 186:23 | | |
| 122:3 176:22 | 124:22 134:25 | 189:3 197:16 | | |
| 187:16 196:19 | 135:12,21 | 600.036 | | |
| 2013 187:18 | 138:4,22 | 135:23 | | |
| 193:5 | 140:11 150:17 | 600.063 121:1,6 | | |
| 2016 82:14 | 151:17 172:17 | 137:4,21 187:11 | | |
| 162:24 | 188:6,9 189:9 | 64108 5:23 | | |
| 2017 1:13 4:10 | 189:18 191:2 | 215:3 | | |
| 6:11 163:1 | 193:24 196:14 | 64111 4:13 | | |
| 215:4,13 | 40 28:25 40:7 | 65102 5:11 | | |
| 216:15 217:4 | 142:25 164:14 | 65203 5:17 | | |
| 203 3:5 | 164:16,17 | 215:9 | | |
| 206 2:7 | 406 4:12 | | | |
| 207 5:11 | 4100 41:22 | 7 | | |
| 208 2:8 | 164:11 | 7 2:4,19 5:16 | | |
| 20th 142:8 | 420 4:12 | 136:2,5 215:8 | | |
| 212.607.3300 | 420 4.12 45 9:2 | 75 12:16 43:14 | | |
| 5:6 | | | | |
| 21st 142:10 | 48 82:9 | 8 | | |
| 24 163:9 | 49 82:9 | 8 2:21 137:5,7 | | |
| 25 40:13 | 5 | 137:22 | | |
| 250 127:15,20 | 5 1:13 2:16 4:10 | 80 44:11 157:8 | | |
| 29 13:3 14:21 | 6:11 124:24 | 816.221.1160 | | |
| 2s 25:1,12,21 | 125:2 194:12 | 5:24 | | |
| 23 23.1,12,21 | 215:13 217:4 | 88 2:13 | | |
| 3 | 5,000 16:3 | | | |
| 3 2:14 26:2,21 | 43:24 106:5 | 9 | | |
| 123:5,8 193:12 | 207:10,17 | 9 2:22 139:22 | | |
| 193:13 205:1 | 50 12:16 41:10 | 139:24 | | |
| 30 35:9 40:7 | 41:20 192:1 | 9:00 -ish 146:9 | | |
| 98:16 163:15 | | 9:05 6:9,12 | | |
| 175:20 190:9 | 500 36:1 44:4 | 90 44:11 98:18 | | |
| 300 120:13 | 573.526.5212 | 157:9 | | |
| 32 13:24 | 5:17 | 99 47:3 | | |
| 33 27:14 | 573.751.3321 | | | |
| 34th 4:12 | 5:12 50 3:43 | | | |
| 35 13:13,14 18:16 | 58 2:12 | | | |
| 19:6 39:23 | 59 64:24,25 | | | |
| 40:23,24 | 82:5,14 | | | |
| 40.23,24 183:1 | 6 | | | |
| 39 124:16,21 | | | | |
| JJ 124.10,21 | 6 2:18 129:21,24 | | | |
| | | 1 | | 1 |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 153-12 Filed 02/09/18 Page 93 of 93

Fax: 314.644.1334